

NP-Hard Sets are Exponentially Dense Unless $coNP \subseteq NP/poly$

Harry Buhrman^{*} John M. Hitchcock[†]

Abstract

We show that hard sets S for NP must have exponential density, i.e. $|S_{=n}| \ge 2^{n^{\epsilon}}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and infinitely many n, unless coNP \subseteq NP/poly and the polynomial-time hierarchy collapses. This result holds for Turing reductions that make $n^{1-\epsilon}$ queries.

In addition we study the instance complexity of NP-hard problems and show that hard sets also have an exponential amount of instances that have instance complexity n^{δ} for some $\delta > 0$. This result also holds for Turing reductions that make $n^{1-\epsilon}$ queries.

1 Introduction

The density of NP-complete and hard sets was an early object of study in complexity theory. Assuming that P is not equal to NP, the real question is how many instances are indeed hard? In principle it could be that $P \neq$ NP only because of a few instances that are hard to compute, but almost all instances can be decided by an efficient algorithm. This question was formalized and investigated in a large body of work starting with that of Berman and Hartmanis [2], Meyer and Paterson [9], Fortune [4], Karp and Lipton [7], Mahaney [8], and many others.

It is problematic for this question to just focus on a fixed NP-complete set for the following reason. Suppose that $P \neq NP$, and suppose there is

^{*}CWI Kruislaan 409, 1098 SJ Amsterdam, the Netherlands, and University of Amsterdam; e-mail:buhrman@cwi.nl. Supported by an NWO VICI grant.

[†]Department of Computer Science, University of Wyoming; e-mail: jhitchco@cs.uwyo.edu. Supported in part by NSF grants 0515313 and 0652601.

a machine M that runs in polynomial time on all but $2^{n^{\epsilon}}$ many formulae of length n. We can then solve SAT in randomized polynomial time, by simple padding. Given any formula ϕ we can construct 2^n many different other formulae ϕ'_i of roughly the same length that are satisfiable if and only if ϕ is satisfiable. It is easy to see that M will with high probability run in polynomial time on a randomly chosen ϕ_i . For this reason the focus has been on the density of all NP-complete or NP-hard problems. This simple padding trick cannot work for an arbitrary NP-complete problem, since the reduction can map the equivalent formula ϕ_i back to the original ϕ . Therefore attention has been on the density of NP-complete and NP-hard sets under various types of reductions.

Mahaney [8] showed that if there exists a *sparse* many-one hard set for NP then P = NP. A set is sparse if for every length n it contains no more than p(n) strings for some polynomial p. This result shows that many-one hard sets for NP are super-polynomially dense unless P = NP. Mahaney's result has been extended to weaker notions of reductions, notably by Ogihara and Watanabe for bounded truth-table reductions [10]. But it remains an open question to show the same result for log(n)-truth-table reductions, let alone for the more general Turing reductions. Karp and Lipton [7] showed that if there exists a sparse Turing hard set for NP, or equivalently if NP is in P/poly, then the polynomial-time hierarchy collapses to its second level $(\Sigma_2^p = \Pi_2^p)$. Hence Turing hard sets for NP are also super-polynomially dense unless the polynomial-time hierarchy collapses.

In this paper we improve these results from sparse to subexponential density. A set S has subexponential density if for every $\epsilon > 0$, $||S_{=n}|| \leq 2^{n^{\epsilon}}$ for almost all n. We show that if there exists an NP-hard set with subexponential density then $\operatorname{coNP} \subseteq \operatorname{NP}/\operatorname{poly}$ and by a result of Yap [12] it follows that the polynomial-time hierarchy collapses to its third level $(\Sigma_3^p = \Pi_3^p)$. Our result holds for Turing reductions that make $n^{1-\epsilon}$ queries (any $\epsilon > 0$). This shows that NP-hard sets have exponential density $2^{n^{\epsilon}}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, unless $\operatorname{coNP} \subseteq \operatorname{NP}/\operatorname{poly}$. This is the best possible result for NP-hard sets with respect to their density, since simple padding shows that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an NP-hard set with density less than $2^{n^{\epsilon}}$. Our results make use of a recent combinatorial lemma due to Fortnow and Santhanam [3].

Another way to make the notion of hard instances precise is that of *in-stance complexity* due to Orponen et. al. [11]. The instance complexity of an instance x with respect to some set A, ic(x : A), is the size of the smallest (polynomial-time) program p that correctly decides x and for all other

instances either outputs no decision or the correct decision. It is easy to see that $ic(x : A) \leq |x| + O(1)$. Strings with high instance complexity do not have small efficient programs that decide them. The instance complexity of NP-complete sets has been studied. The best known bound [11] is that if every instance of SAT (or any NP-complete problem) has logarithmic instance complexity, i.e. $ic(\phi : SAT) \leq O(\log |\phi|)$ for all ϕ , then P = NP. We show that if SAT has sublinear instance complexity, that is $ic(\phi : SAT) \leq |\phi|^{1-\epsilon}$ for all ϕ and some $\epsilon > 0$, then $coNP \subseteq NP/poly$.

2 Preliminaries

We shall consider decision problems for languages over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$. The length of a string $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ is denoted |x|; λ denotes the empty string. Given strings x, y, we denote with $x \cdot y$ the concatenation of x and y: xy. We represent the pair $\langle x, y \rangle$ as the string $\bar{x}10y$, where \bar{x} denotes x with each of its characters doubled.

For a set B and number $n, B_{=n} = \{x \in B \mid |x| = n\}$ and $B_{\leq n} = \{x \in B \mid |x| \leq n\}$. The cardinality of a finite set C is denoted ||C||.

A set S has subexponential density if for every $\epsilon > 0$, $||S_{=n}|| \leq 2^{n^{\epsilon}}$ for all but finitely many n. We write SUBEXPD for the class of languages with subexponential density. A set is exponentially dense if it does not have subexponential density.

An AND-function for a set A is a polynomial-time computable function g such that for all strings $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in A$ iff $x_i \in A$ for all i. Similarly, and OR-function g satisfies $g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in A$ iff $x_i \in A$ for some i. We say that g has order s if $|g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)| = O((\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|)^s)$. Observe that if g is an AND-function for A, then g is also an OR-function for \overline{A} .

3 Reductions

To introduce the technique we will begin with the easier case of many-one reductions. This result has the corollary that if $\overline{\text{SAT}}$ many-one reduces to a set of subexponential density, then $\text{coNP} \subseteq \text{NP/poly}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let A be any set that has an AND-function. If there is a set S with subexponential density such that $A \leq_m^p S$ then $A \in NP/poly$.

Proof. Let $g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be the AND-function for A. Let f be the many-one reduction from A to S. We say that a string $z \in S$ is NP-proof for $x \in A$, with |x| = n, iff there exist x_1, \ldots, x_n , such that for all $i, |x_i| = n$ and there exists an i, with $x = x_i$, and in addition $f(g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = z$.

The idea is to show that there exists a string $z_1 \in S$ that is NP-proof for half the strings in $A_{=n}$. We will then recurse on the remaining strings in $A_{=n}$, for which z_1 is not NP-proof, until we end up with a sequence of at most n strings z_1, \ldots, z_k such that for all $x \in A_{=n}$ there is an i such that z_i is NP-proof for x. These NP proofs serve as advice to show that $A \in NP/poly$.

First observe that if z is NP-proof for precisely t strings $x \in A$ then

$$\|\{\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid |x_i| = n \text{ and } f(g(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = z\}\| \le t^n \qquad (3.1)$$

Assume that f and g both run in time n^c for some c. Let $m_n = n^{2c^2}$, hence $|f(g(x_1, \ldots, x_n))| \leq m_n$. Since S has subexponential density, for large enough n it holds that $||S_{\leq m_n}|| < 2^n$.

Let t be the largest such that some z_1 is NP-proof for t elements of length n in A. Since for every n-tuple $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ with for all $i, x_i \in A$, $f(g(\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle))$ maps to some string z in $S_{\leq n_m}$, we now have:

$$t^{n} \|S_{\leq m_{n}}\| \geq \|A_{=n}\|^{n} \tag{3.2}$$

and hence

$$t^{n}2^{n} \ge \|A_{=n}\|^{n} \tag{3.3}$$

which implies that $t \geq ||A_{=n}||/2$, and hence z_1 is NP-proof for half the elements in A of length n. The proof now continues by finding a z_2 that is NP-proof for half of the elements in A for which z_1 is not NP-proof, resulting ultimately in the desired sequence z_1, \ldots, z_k $(k \leq n)$. The inductive generation of z_i is possible because all the strings in A for which none of the z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1} is NP-proof, let's call them A', have the following property. For every $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in A'$ it holds that $f(g(y_1, \ldots, y_n)) \in S \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}\}$. Hence the counting arguments in equations (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3) still hold for A'.

Our main technical tool, Lemma 3.2 below, is a generalization of Theorem 3.1. Instead of a many-one reduction to a subexponentially dense set, we consider a nondeterministic disjunctive reduction to a family of sets where the density can be exponential.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{B} = (B_n \mid n \geq 0)$ be a family of subsets of $\{0, 1\}^*$. We say that A NP-reduces to \mathcal{B} if there is an NPMV function N such that for all n, for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $x \in A$ iff at least one output of N(x) is in B_n .

Lemma 3.2. Let A have an AND-function of order s and let $\alpha < 1/s$. Let $\mathcal{B} = (B_n \mid n \geq 0)$ be a family of sets with $||B_n|| \leq 2^{n^{\alpha}}$ for sufficiently large n. If A NP-reduces to \mathcal{B} , then $A \in \text{NP/poly}$.

Proof. Let M compute the NPMV function for the reduction from A to \mathcal{B} . Let g be the AND-function for A. For simplicity we assume that for all $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}^m$, the length of $g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is exactly $(nm)^s$. The general case when the length is $O((nm)^s)$ is similar.

Choose a constant k so that $\frac{k}{k+1} \ge \alpha s$. Fix an input length m, let $n = m^k$, and let $N = (nm)^s$. Note that we have

$$||B_N|| \le 2^{N^{\alpha}} = 2^{m^{(k+1)s\alpha}} \le 2^{m^k} = 2^n.$$

For any $x \in \{0, 1\}^m$,

 $x \in A \iff$ there exist $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}^m$ with $x_i = x$ for some *i* such that M on input $g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ outputs some string $z \in B_N$.

Call such a string z an NP-proof that $x \in A$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we claim that there exists a collection of at most m strings z_1, \ldots, z_l such that each $x \in A_{=m}$ has an NP-proof in the collection.

Suppose that z is an NP-proof for exactly t strings in $A_{=m}$. Then

$$\|\{\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \mid M(g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) \text{ outputs } z\}\| \leq t^n$$

Let t be the maximal such that some string z is an NP-proof for t strings. Then

$$||A_{=m}||^n \le ||B_N|| \cdot t^n \le 2^n t^n,$$

so $t \ge ||A_{=m}||/2$. Therefore there is a string z_1 that works for at least half of the strings in $A_{=m}$. Repeating this argument yields a string z_2 that works for at least half of the remaining strings. After at most m repetitions we have NP-proofs for all the strings.

As our first application of Lemma 3.2 we extend Theorem 3.1 to disjunctive reductions. **Theorem 3.3.** If A has an AND-function and $A \leq_{d}^{p} SUBEXPD$, then $A \in NP/poly$.

Proof. Suppose that $A \leq_{d}^{p} S \in$ SUBEXPD via a reduction g in p(n) time. Define an NPMV function N that on input x guesses and outputs one of the queries in g(x). Let $B_n = S_{\leq p(n)}$. Then A NP-reduces to the family $(B_n \mid n \geq 0)$ via N.

Let $\alpha < 1/s$ where s is the order of the AND-function. We have $||B_n|| \le 2^{n^{\alpha}}$ for sufficiently large n because S has subexponential density. By Lemma 3.2 we have $A \in \text{NP/poly}$.

We apply Theorem 3.3 with $\overline{\text{SAT}}$ to obtain the following:

Theorem 3.4. If coNP $\not\subseteq$ NP/poly, then every \leq_{d}^{p} -hard set for coNP is exponentially dense.

Allender, Hemachandra, Ogiwara, and Watanabe [1] showed that if $A \leq_{\text{btt}}^{\text{p}}$ reduces to a sparse set, then $A \leq_{\text{d}}^{\text{p}}$ -reduces to another sparse set. Part of the proof shows that the complement of any sparse set disjunctively reduces to a sparse set. This argument also applies to subexponentially dense sets. For completeness we include a proof. Here we write that S has density d(n) if $||S_{\leq n}|| = d(n)$.

Lemma 3.5. Let S be a set with density d(n). Then there is a set T with density at most nd(n)+n such that $\overline{S} \leq_{d}^{p} T$. In particular, if $S \in \text{SUBEXPD}$, then $\overline{S} \leq_{d}^{p} T$ for some $T \in \text{SUBEXPD}$.

Proof. We isolate the part we need of the proof in [1]. Let T be the set of all $0^n 1wb$ where b is a bit and w has an extension in $S_{=n}$, but wb does not have an extension in $S_{=n}$. If $S_{=n} = \emptyset$, we add $0^n 1$ to T.

We claim that a string y is in $\overline{S}_{=n}$ if and only if y has a prefix z such that $0^n 1z \in T$.

- If $y \notin S$ and $S_{=n} \neq \emptyset$, then let z be the longest prefix of y that has an extension in S. The string $0^n 1z$ is in T. If $S_{=n} = \emptyset$, then $0^n 1$ is in T, so the claim holds for $z = \lambda$.
- If $y \in S$, then every prefix z of y has an extension in S and $0^n 1z \notin T$.

Therefore $\overline{S} \leq_{d}^{p} T$ via the reduction that lists the prefixes of its input.

For each length n, we added at most $(n + 1) \|S_{=n}\| + 1$ strings to T. Therefore $\|T_{\leq n}\| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (m+1) \|S_{=m}\| + 1 \leq nd(n) + n$. Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.5 yield the following for conjunctive reductions.

Theorem 3.6. If A has an OR-function and $A \leq_{c}^{p}$ SUBEXPD, then $A \in coNP/poly$.

Proof. Suppose that $A \leq_{c}^{p} S \in SUBEXPD$. Then $\overline{A} \leq_{d}^{p} \overline{S}$ and by Lemma 3.5 there is a $T \in SUBEXPD$ such that $\overline{S} \leq_{d}^{p} T$. Composing reductions yields $\overline{A} \leq_{d}^{p} T$, so $\overline{A} \in NP/poly$ by Theorem 3.3, because the OR-function for A is an AND-function for \overline{A} .

Theorem 3.7. If coNP $\not\subseteq$ NP/poly, then every \leq_{c}^{p} -hard set for NP is exponentially dense.

Our next theorem concerns query-bounded Turing reductions. In the proof we use techniques from [1, 5] to convert the Turing reduction into an NP disjunctive reduction.

Theorem 3.8. Let A have an AND-function of order s and let $\alpha < 1/s$. If $A \leq_{n^{\alpha}-T}^{p}$ SUBEXPD, then $A \in NP/poly$.

Proof. Suppose $A \leq_{n^{\alpha}-T}^{p} S \in$ SUBEXPD via M. Fix an input length n. For an input $x \in \{0,1\}^{n}$, consider using each $z \in \{0,1\}^{n^{\alpha}}$ as the sequence of yes/no answers to M's queries. Each z causes M to produce a sequence of queries $w_{1}^{x,z}, \ldots, w_{n^{\alpha}}^{x,z}$ and an accepting or rejecting decision. (We can assume that M always makes n^{α} queries.) Let $Z_{x} \subseteq \{0,1\}^{n^{\alpha}}$ be the set of all query answer sequences that cause M to accept x. Then we have $x \in A$ if and only if

$$(\exists z \in Z_x) (\forall 1 \le j \le n^{\alpha}) \ S[w_j^{x,z}] = z[j],$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\exists z \in Z_x) (\forall 1 \le j \le n^{\alpha}) \ z[j] \cdot w_j^{x,z} \in \overline{S} \oplus S,$$

where $\overline{S} \oplus S$ is the disjoint union $\{0x \mid x \in \overline{S}\} \cup \{1x \mid x \in S\}$.

By Lemma 3.5 there is a set $T \in \text{SUBEXPD}$ such that $\overline{S} \leq_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{p}} T$. Let $U = T \oplus S$. We then have $\overline{S} \oplus S \leq_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{p}} U$ via some reduction g. For each $z \in Z_x$, let

$$H_{x,z} = \{ \langle u_1, \dots, u_{n^{\alpha}} \rangle \mid (\forall j) \ u_j \in g(z[j] \cdot w_j^{x,z}) \}.$$

Let r(n) be a polynomial bounding the run time of g on inputs of the form $z[j] \cdot w_i^{x,z}$, where |x| = n. Define

$$B_n = \{ \langle u_1, \dots, u_{n^{\alpha}} \rangle \mid (\forall j) \ u_j \in U_{\leq r(n)} \}.$$

Then we have

$$x \in A \iff (\exists z \in Z_x) (\exists y \in H_{x,z}) y \in B_n.$$

Define an NPMV function N that on input x chooses some $z \in Z_x$ and tuple $y \in H_{x,z}$ and outputs y. Then N is an NP-reduction of A to the family $(B_n \mid n \geq 0)$.

Let $\delta = (1/s - \alpha)/2$. Then since $U \in \text{SUBEXPD}$, $||U_{\leq r(n)}|| \leq 2^{n^{\delta}}$ for sufficiently large n. This implies

$$||B_n|| = ||U_{\leq r(n)}||^{n^{\alpha}} \leq 2^{n^{\alpha+\delta}} = 2^{n^{(1/s)-\delta}}.$$

Lemma 3.2 applies to show $A \in NP/poly$.

We now have the main result of this paper:

Theorem 3.9. If coNP $\not\subseteq$ NP/poly, then for all $\epsilon > 0$, every $\leq_{n^{1-\epsilon}-T}^{p}$ -hard set for NP is exponentially dense.

Proof. Suppose that SAT $\leq_{n^{1-\epsilon}-T}^{p}$ -reduces to a subexponentially dense set. Then $\overline{\text{SAT}} \leq_{n^{1-\epsilon}-T}^{p}$ -reduces to the same set by inverting the reduction's answers. Moreover $\overline{\text{SAT}}$ has an AND-function of order s = 1. Theorem 3.8 applies to show coNP ⊆ NP/poly. □

In fact, we can show a slightly stronger result. Theorem 3.8 still holds if the Turing reduction uses nondeterminism:

Theorem 3.10. Let A have an AND-function of order s and let $\alpha < 1/s$. If $A \in NP^{S[n^{\alpha}]}$ for some $S \in SUBEXPD$, then $A \in NP/poly$.

Proof. We extend the proof of Theorem 3.8. Suppose $A = L(M^{S[n^{\alpha}]})$ where M is an NP machine running in time t(n). For an input $x \in \{0,1\}^n$, we can use any pair $\langle p, z \rangle$ where $p \in \{0,1\}^{t(n)}$ and $z \in \{0,1\}^{n^{\alpha}}$ to run M on input x. We use p to provide the nondeterministic choices and z to provide the query answers. In this computation M produces a sequence of queries

 $w_0^{x,p,z}, \ldots, w_n^{x,p,z}$ and an accepting or rejecting decision. Let Z_x be the set of all $\langle p, z \rangle$ that cause M to accept x. Then we have $x \in A$ if and only if

$$(\exists < p, z > \in Z_x) (\forall 1 \le j \le n^{\alpha}) S[w_i^{x,p,z}] = z[j]$$

The remainder of the proof carries through with z replaced by $\langle p, z \rangle$ throughout.

We obtain an extension of Theorem 3.10 to strong nondeterministic polynomialtime reductions.

Theorem 3.11. If coNP $\not\subseteq$ NP/poly, then for all $\epsilon > 0$, every $\leq_{n^{1-\epsilon}-T}^{\text{SNP}}$ -hard set for NP is exponentially dense.

Proof. Suppose that S has subexponential density and is $\leq_{n^{1-\epsilon}-T}^{\text{SNP}}$ -hard for NP. Then $\overline{\text{SAT}} \leq_{n^{1-\epsilon}-T}^{\text{SNP}} S$, so $\overline{\text{SAT}} \in \text{NP}^{S[n^{1-\epsilon}]}$. Theorem 3.10 implies $\overline{\text{SAT}} \in \text{NP}/\text{poly}$.

All our results to this point are conditional. For an unconditional result we go to the $\tilde{P}H$ hierarchy, where \tilde{P} means $n^{O(\log n)}$.

Theorem 3.12. For all $\epsilon > 0$, every $\leq_{n^{1-\epsilon}-T}^{p}$ -hard set for $\Sigma_{3}^{\tilde{P}}$ is exponentially dense.

Proof. First, we claim that $\Sigma_3^{\tilde{P}} \not\subseteq NP/poly$. This is similar to Kannan's proof that Σ_2^{P} does not have n^k -size circuits [6]. We can show that there is a set $H \in \Sigma_4^{\tilde{P}} - NP/poly$ by a direct counting argument. Then we consider two cases: if coNP $\not\subseteq NP/poly$, the claim holds immediately because coNP $\subseteq \Sigma_3^{\tilde{P}}$. Otherwise coNP $\subseteq NP/poly$ and we have PH = Σ_3^{P} by Yap's theorem [12]. From this padding gives $\tilde{P}H = \Sigma_3^{\tilde{P}}$ and therefore $H \in \Sigma_3^{\tilde{P}}$.

There is a many-one complete set A for $\Sigma_3^{\tilde{P}}$ with an AND-function of order 1. Suppose that $A \leq_{n^{1-\epsilon}-T}^{p}$ -reduces to a set S of subexponential density. Theorem 3.8 implies $A \in NP/poly$, so $\Sigma_3^{\tilde{P}} \subseteq NP/poly$, a contradiction. \Box

We remark that Theorem 3.12 also holds for conjunctive, disjunctive, and SNP $n^{1-\epsilon}$ -Turing reductions.

4 Instance Complexity

Let A be a set and let t(n) be a time bound. A program p is consistent with A for all $x, p(x) \in \{0, 1, ?\}$, and whenever $p(x) \neq ?$, p(x) = A(x). The *t*-instance complexity of x with respect to A, written $ic^t(x : A)$ is the length of a shortest program p such that

- p is consistent with A,
- p(x) halts within t(|x|) steps, and
- p(x) = A(x).

Formally, p(x) = U(p, x) where U is an efficient universal machine. See [11] for more information on instance complexity.

Theorem 4.1. Let A have an AND-function of order s, let $\alpha < 1/s$, and let q be a polynomial. If $ic^q(x : A) \leq n^{\alpha}$ for all but finitely many $x \in A$, then $A \in NP/poly$.

Proof. For each n, let $B_n = \{p \mid p \text{ is consistent with } A \text{ and } |p| \leq n^{\alpha}\}$. Then $||B_n|| \leq 2^{n^{\alpha}+1}$. Define an NPMV function N that on input x guesses a program p and outputs p if the program accepts x within q(|x|) steps. Then N reduces A to the family $(B_n \mid n \geq 0)$, so Lemma 3.2 yields $A \in \text{NP/poly.}$

Corollary 4.2. If NP $\not\subseteq$ coNP/poly, then for every polynomial q and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist infinitely many $\phi \in \overline{\text{SAT}}$ with $ic^q(\phi : \text{SAT}) > |\phi|^{1-\epsilon}$.

Corollary 4.2 should be contrasted with the result that if $P \neq NP$, then there are infinitely many ϕ with $ic^q(\phi : SAT) \geq c \log |\phi|$. With the stronger $NP \not\subseteq coNP/poly$ hypothesis, we get a nearly linear lower bound on the instance complexity of SAT instances. Since $ic^{t(n)}(\phi : SAT) \leq |\phi| + O(1)$ for $t(n) = O(n \log n)$, this bound is fairly tight.

We can also show that the lower bound holds for a large set of SAT instances. Our next theorem is an extension of Theorem 4.1 that accounts for the density of the hard instances.

Theorem 4.3. Let A have an AND-function of order s, let $\alpha < 1/s$, and let q be a polynomial. Define $H = \{x \in A \mid ic^q(x : A) > |x|^{\alpha}\}$. If $||H_{\leq n}|| \leq 2^{n^{\alpha}}$ for sufficiently large n, then $A \in NP/poly$. *Proof.* Let $P_n = \{p \mid p \text{ is consistent with } A \text{ and } |p| \leq n^{\alpha}\}$. We define B_n as the disjoint union of $H_{\leq n}$ and P_n :

$$B_n = 0H_{< n} \cup 1P_n.$$

Then $||B_n|| \leq 2^{n^{\alpha}+2}$ for large *n*. Define an NPMV function *N* that on input *x* either

- (i) outputs 0x, or
- (ii) guesses a program p and outputs 1p if p accepts x within q(|x|) steps.

Then N reduces A to the family $(B_n \mid n \ge 0)$ and Lemma 3.2 implies $A \in NP/poly$.

Corollary 4.4. Suppose NP $\not\subseteq$ coNP/poly. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$ and polynomials q,

$$\left\|\left\{\phi \in \overline{\mathrm{SAT}}_{\leq n} \mid \mathrm{ic}^{q}(\phi : \mathrm{SAT}) > |\phi|^{1-\epsilon}\right\}\right\| \geq 2^{n^{1-\epsilon}}$$

for infinitely many n.

Next we consider reductions to sets that have low instance complexity.

Theorem 4.5. Let A have an AND-function of order s and let $\alpha < 1/s$. Let C be a set where for all $\delta > 0$, there is a polynomial r such that $ic^r(x : C) < |x|^{\delta}$ for all but finitely many x. If $A \leq_{n^{\alpha}-T}^{p} C$, then $A \in NP/poly$.

Proof. Let M compute the reduction from A to C in t(n) time. Let $\epsilon = [(1/s) - \alpha]/2$. Choose $\delta > 0$ so that $t(n)^{\delta} < n^{\epsilon}$ for sufficiently large n. There is a polynomial r such that $ic^{r}(x : C) < |x|^{\delta}$ for almost all x.

Let x have length n. We can assume that M makes exactly n^{α} queries on input x. Define an NP machine N that on input x simulates M. When M makes a query q_i , N does the following:

- (i) Guess a program p_i with $|p_i| < |q_i|^{\delta}$.
- (ii) Run p_i on input q_i , aborting the computation if it runs for more than $r(|q_i|)$ steps.
- (iii) If p_i produces a decision, use that as the answer for query q_i in the simulation of M.

(iv) If p_i was aborted or did not output a decision, N halts and outputs nothing.

If M accepts x at the end of this simulation, then N outputs the tuple $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_{n^{\alpha}} \rangle$ of programs it guessed.

Each query q_i has $|q_i| \leq t(n)$. Then for sufficiently large n,

$$\operatorname{ic}^r(q_i:C) < |q_i|^{\delta} \le t(n)^{\delta} < n^{\epsilon}.$$

Define

 $E_n = \{ p \mid p \text{ is consistent with } C \text{ and } |p| < n^{\epsilon} \}$

and

$$B_n = \{ \langle p_1, \dots, p_{n^{\alpha}} \rangle \mid \text{each } p_i \in E_n \}.$$

Then $||B_n|| \leq (2^{n^{\epsilon}})^{n^{\alpha}} = 2^{n^{(1/s)-\epsilon}}$ and N reduces A to the family $(B_n \mid n \geq 0)$. Lemma 3.2 now applies to show $A \in NP/poly$.

We can also extend Theorem 4.5 to consider the density of the hard instances.

Theorem 4.6. Let A have an AND-function of order s and let $\alpha < 1/s$. Let C be a set where for all $\delta > 0$, there is a polynomial r such that the collection of hard instances

$$H^{\delta,r} = \{x \mid \mathrm{ic}^r(x:C) \ge n^\delta\}$$

has subexponential density. If $A \leq_{n^{\alpha}-T}^{p} C$, then $A \in NP/poly$.

Proof. Let M compute the reduction from A to C in t(n) time. We assume that M makes exactly n^{α} queries. Let $\epsilon = [(1/s) - \alpha]/2$ and choose $\delta > 0$ such that $t(n)^{\delta} < n^{\epsilon}$ for large n. There is a polynomial r such that $H^{\delta,r}$ has subexponential density.

Let x have length n. Define an NP machine N that on input x simulates M. When M makes a query q_i , N nondeterministically chooses (I) or (II) below to answer the query:

- (I) Guess a bit b and use it as the answer for query q_i . Record $z_i = \langle b, q_i \rangle$.
- (II) (i) Guess a program p_i with $|p_i| < |q_i|^{\delta}$.
 - (ii) Run p_i on input q_i , aborting the computation if it runs for more than $r(|q_i|)$ steps.

- (iii) If p_i was aborted or did not output a decision, N halts and outputs nothing.
- (iv) If p_i produces a decision, use that as the answer for query q_i . Record $z_i = \langle \lambda, p_i \rangle$.

If M accepts x at the end of the simulation, then N outputs the tuple $\langle z_1, \ldots, z_{n^{\alpha}} \rangle$. We have $\|H_{\leq t(n)}^{\delta, r}\| < 2^{n^{\epsilon}}$ for sufficiently large *n*. Define

$$E_n = \{ \langle \lambda, p \rangle \mid p \text{ is consistent with } C \text{ and } |p| < n^{\epsilon} \},$$

$$D_n = \{ <1, q > | q \in H^{\delta, r}_{\leq t(n)} \cap C \} \cup \{ <0, q > | q \in H^{\delta, r}_{\leq t(n)} \cap \overline{C} \},\$$

and

$$B_n = \{ \langle z_1, \dots, z_{n^{\alpha}} \rangle \mid \text{each } z_i \in D_n \cup E_n \}.$$

Then

$$||B_n|| = \left(||E_n|| + ||H_{\leq t(n)}^{\delta, r}||\right)^{n^{\alpha}} \le (2^{n^{\epsilon}+1})^{n^{\alpha}} \approx 2^{n^{(1/s)-\epsilon}}$$

We apply Lemma 3.2 to obtain $A \in NP/poly$.

Corollary 4.7. Suppose that NP $\not\subseteq$ coNP/poly and let C be $\leq_{n^{1-\epsilon}-T}^{p}$ -hard for NP. There is a $\delta > 0$ such that for every polynomial r, the set

$$\left\{ x \left| \mathrm{ic}^r(x:C) \ge |x|^{\delta} \right. \right\}$$

has exponential density.

Just like Theorem 3.11 we can show that Corollary 4.7 also holds for strong nondeterministic polynomial-time reductions. Also, by following the line of argument in Theorem 3.12, we can obtain an absolute result for instance complexity in $\Sigma_3^{\tilde{P}}$ -hard sets.

Acknowledgements. We thank Lance Fortnow and Rahul Santhanam for sharing a preliminary version of [3], and for useful discussions. We also thank Scott Aaronson, Steve Fenner, Kolya Vereshchagin, and John Rogers for interesting discussions.

References

- E. Allender, L. A. Hemachandra, M. Ogiwara, and O. Watanabe. Relating equivalence and reducibility to sparse sets. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 21(3):521–539, 1992.
- [2] L. Berman and H. Hartmanis. On isomorphisms and density of NP and other complete sets. SIAM J. Comput., 6:305–322, 1977.
- [3] L. Fortnow and R. Santhanam. Infeasibility of instance compression and succinct PCPs for NP. Technical Report TR07-096, Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity, 2007.
- [4] S. Fortune. A note on sparse complete sets. SIAM J. Comput., 8(3):431–433, 1979.
- [5] J. M. Hitchcock. Online learning and resource-bounded dimension: Winnow yields new lower bounds for hard sets. SIAM Journal on Computing, 36(6):1696–1708, 2007.
- [6] R. Kannan. Circuit-size lower bounds and non-reducibility to sparse sets. *Information and Control*, 55(1–3):40–56, October/November/December 1982.
- [7] R. Karp and R. Lipton. Some connections between nonuniform and uniform complexity classes. In Proc. 12th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pages 302–309, 1980.
- [8] S. Mahaney. Sparse complete sets for NP: solution of a conjecture of Berman and Hartmanis. J. Comput. System Sci., 25:130–143, 1982.
- [9] A. Meyer and M. Paterson. With what frequency are apparently intractable problems difficult? Technical Report MIT/LCS/TM-126, M.I.T., 1979.
- [10] M. Ogiwara and O. Watanabe. On polynomial-time bounded truth-table reducibility of NP sets to sparse sets. SIAM Journal on Computing, 20(3):471–483, 1991.
- [11] P. Orponen, K-I Ko, U. Schöning, and O. Watanabe. Instance complexity. J. Assoc. Comput. Mach, 41(1):96–121, 1994.

[12] C. K. Yap. Some consequences of non-uniform conditions on uniform classes. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 26:287–300, 1983.

15

ECCC

ISSN 1433-8092

http://eccc.hpi-web.de/