Comment

Bernd Borchert[*]   *Lane A. Hemaspaandra*[†]   *Jörg Rothe*[‡]

September 10, 1996

Richard Beigel has mentioned to the authors that he can generalize our Theorem 3.1 to the case of the EP analog based on any integer n, via an elegant combinatorial argument. This is a very nice observation. We mention that the technique—which essentially is Cai and Hemachandra's [MST, 1990, V. 23, pp. 95–106] proof that FewP $\subseteq$ $\oplus$P plus a rate-of-growth argument—used in our proof of Theorem 3.1 is a general technique that clearly works for *any* P-printable not-too-widely-spaced target set (including for example the EP analog based on any integer n, and also many acceptance-path sets having nothing to do with powers).

By the way, even the "P-printability" mentioned above can clearly be further generalized to the case of not-too-widely spaced target sets where given an integer the next integer in the set is easy to find.

[*]Mathematisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany. Email: bb@math.uni-heidelberg.de.

[†]Department of Computer Science, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA. Email: lane@cs.rochester.edu.

[‡]Institut für Informatik, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, 07743 Jena, Germany. Email: rothe@mipool.uni-jena.de.