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Pierre McKenzie and Sambuddha Roy pointed out that the proof of statements (b) and (c) in Theorem 7.3 are buggy. The main flaw is that the identity $e$ of the group $F$ may not be the identity of the monoid, and so the claim that $w \in\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*} \Longleftrightarrow w \notin$ Test does not work.

In this corrigendum, we show:

- With a slight change to Definition 7.1, the statement of Theorem 7.3 holds unchanged. In our opinion, this is the most interesting way to correct the error in the original paper. We present a complete proof below. For completeness, we also mention another way to correct the error:
- Leaving Definition 7.1 unchanged, a weaker version of Theorem 7.3 holds (with only minor adjustments to the proof given in the paper).

First, we present the modified version of Theorem 7.3 that holds using the original version of Definition 7.1

Theorem 7.3 (Variant) (a) Let $A$ be any finite nonsolvable monoid. Then there exists a group $F \subseteq A$ and a constant $r>0$ such that the $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ closure problem is $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$ complete.
(b) Let $A$ be any finite monoid, and let $F$ be a group contained in $A$, with the same identity $e$ as the monoid identity. Then the $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ closure problem is reducible via $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$-Turing reductions to the word problem over the finite monoid $A$.
(c) If $A$ is a finite solvable monoid and $F$ is a group in it with the same identity as $A$, then the $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ closure problem is in $\mathrm{ACC}^{0}$. Furthermore, if $A$ is an aperiodic monoid then the $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ closure problem is in $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$.

We now proceed to give a modification to Definition 7.1, with the property that that both Corollary 7.2 and Theorem 7.3 are true, as stated in the original paper.

Definition 7.4 (Modified from Definition 7.1 in the paper) Let $A$ be a finite monoid. There is a natural homomorphism $v: A^{*} \mapsto A$ that maps a word $w$ to its valuation $v(w)$ in the monoid $A$. Let $F$ be a group contained in $A$, let e denote the identity of $F$, and let $r$ be a positive integer. The language $A_{F, r} \subseteq A^{*}$ is defined as $A_{F, r}=\left\{w \in A^{*}| | w \mid \leq r, v(e w) \in F\right\}$.

The original definition required that $v(w)$ be a group element; instead, we now require $v(e w)$ to be a group element.

The $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ closure problem is the decision problem $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$. Since $A_{F, r}$ is finite, $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ is a regular language, and thus the $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ closure problem is always in $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$.

With the revised definition, Corollary 7.2 still holds (with the same proof), because the monoid $A=S_{5}$ is itself a group, and $F$ is a subgroup.

We now state and prove Theorem 7.3 (using the revised definition of $A_{F, r}$ ).
Theorem 7.3 (a) Let $A$ be any nonsolvable monoid. Then there exists a group $F \subseteq A$ and a constant $r>0$ such that the $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ closure problem is $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$-complete.
(b) The $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ closure problem is reducible via $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$-Turing reductions to the word problem over the finite monoid $A$.
(c) If $A$ is a solvable monoid then the $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ closure problem is in $\mathrm{ACC}^{0}$. Furthermore, if $A$ is an aperiodic monoid then the $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ closure problem is in $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$.

Proof.
(a) Since $A$ is a nonsolvable monoid, $A$ contains a nontrivial nonsolvable group $G$ with identity $e .{ }^{1}$ Since the word problem over $G$ is $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$-complete [Bar89], it suffices to show an $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$ reduction from the word problem over $G$ to an appropriate $A_{F, r}^{*}$ closure problem. To be precise, the word problem we consider is

$$
W:=\left\{w \in G^{*} \mid v(w)=e\right\}
$$

Let $G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m}\right\}$. Consider the word $u=\prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} g_{i}^{-1} g_{i}$ in $A^{*}$. Let $w=$ $w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{n}$ be an instance of $W$. We map the instance $w$ to the word $z=\left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} w_{i} u\right) w_{n}$. Notice that $v(z)=v(w)$. Furthermore, it is not hard to see that by virtue of inserting the word $u$ between $w_{i}$ and $w_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ we have ensured that the word $z$ can be decomposed into $z=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{n}$, where for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ we have $\left|\alpha_{i}\right|<4 m$, $w_{i}$ is included in $\alpha_{i}$, and $v\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=e$. Since $v(z)=v(w)$, it follows that $w \in W$ iff $z$ can be decomposed as $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{n}$, where each $\alpha_{i}$ is of length at most $4 m-1$ and $v\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=e$ for all $i$. Clearly, $v\left(e \alpha_{i}\right)=v(e) v\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=e$ as well.
Note: The last sentence above is the only new thing in the proof of part (a).
Letting $F=\{e\}$ and $r=4 m-1$ the above argument shows that $w \mapsto z$ is an $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$ reduction from the $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$-complete word problem $W$ to the $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ closure problem.
(b) We devise a test that characterizes membership in $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$, using the following claim.

Claim 7.4 Let $x, y$ be words in $A^{*}$, and suppose $v(e x) \in F$. Then

$$
v(e y) \in F \Longleftrightarrow v(e x y) \in F
$$

Proof. ( $\Leftarrow$ :)

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(e y) & =v(e) v(y)=e v(y) \\
& =[v(e x)]^{-1} v(e x) v(y) \quad \text { (since } v(e x) \in F, \text { it has an inverse) } \\
& =[v(e x)]^{-1} v(e x y), \quad \text { which is in } F \text { because } v(e x y) \in F .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]$(\Rightarrow:)$
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(e x y) & =v(e x) v(y)=v(e x) e v(y) \quad \text { (since } v(e x) \in F, v(e x)=v(e x) e) \\
& =v(e x) v(e) v(y)=v(e x) v(e y), \quad \text { which is in } F \text { because } v(e x), v(e y) \in F .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

For any $w=w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{n}$ with each $w_{i} \in A$, and for $0 \leq i<j \leq n$, let $w[i, j]$ denote the subword $w_{i+1} \ldots w_{j}$. We construct a circuit for $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$ that uses oracle gates for the following word problem $W$ over the monoid $A$ :

$$
W:=\left\{w \in A^{*} \mid v(e w) \in F\right\}
$$

The circuit will have an oracle gate for $w[0, j]$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let the output of the oracle gate be the bit $b_{j}$; thus

$$
\text { For } 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad b_{j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } v(e w[0, j]) \in F \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We set $b_{0}=1$. Now we place circuitry to check that
(a) $b_{n}=1$, and
(b) the string $b=b_{0} b_{1} \ldots b_{n}$ does not have $r$ consecutive zeroes.

It is clear that these checks can be performed in $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$. To see why these checks characterize membership in $\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$, note that:
If $w \in\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$, then we can decompose $w$ into short strings $w=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{m}$ such that each $x_{i}$ has length at most $r$ and each $v\left(e x_{i}\right)$ is in $F$. By the claim above, $v(e y) \in F$ for each prefix $y$ of the form $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{j}$. Thus at each such position, the string $b$ will have a 1 , and these positions are at most $r$ positions apart.

If the 1 s in $b$ are never separated by $r$ or more zeroes, then there is a sequence $0=l_{0}<$ $l_{1}<l_{2}<\ldots<l_{m}=n$ such that for each $j, l_{j}-l_{j-1} \leq r$, and $v\left(e w\left[0, l_{j}\right]\right) \in F$. By the above claim, each $v\left(e w\left[l_{j-1}, l_{j}\right]\right)$ is also in $F$. This gives the required decomposition witnessing $w \in\left(A_{F, r}\right)^{*}$.

This completes the proof of part (b).
(c) This is an immediate consequence of part (b) and the results of [Bar89, BT88].
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Notice that $e$ could be different from the monoid identity.

