



Gap Amplification Fails Below $1/2$

Andrej Bogdanov

June 1, 2005

Abstract

The gap amplification lemma of Dinur (ECCC TR05-46) states that the satisfiability gap of every d -regular constraint expander graph G (with self-loops) can be amplified by graph powering, as long as the satisfiability gap of G is not too large. We show that the last requirement is necessary. Namely, for infinitely many d and every t there exists an integer n and a d -regular constraint expander G on n vertices over alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ such that $\overline{\text{SAT}}(G) \geq 1/2 - O(1/\sqrt{d})$, but $\overline{\text{SAT}}(G^t) \leq 1/2$.

The main technical tool in Dinur's recent combinatorial proof of the PCP theorem [Din05] is the following gap amplification lemma:

Lemma 1 ([Din05, Lemma 3.4]). *Let $\lambda < d$, and $|\Sigma|$ be arbitrary constants. There exists a constant $\beta = \beta(\lambda, d, |\Sigma|)$ such that for every t and every d -regular constraint graph G over alphabet Σ with self-loops and $\lambda(G) < \lambda$, $\overline{\text{SAT}}(G^t) \geq \beta\sqrt{t} \min(\overline{\text{SAT}}(G), 1/t)$.*

Here $\lambda(G)$ denotes the second largest eigenvalue of the graph G , and $\overline{\text{SAT}}(G)$ denotes the *satisfiability gap* of G , namely the fraction of constraints of G that every assignment leaves unsatisfied.

A question of interest is whether the dependency on $1/t$ is necessary in the above statement. In particular, is it true that for large enough $t = t(\beta)$, say, $\overline{\text{SAT}}(G^t) \geq 2\overline{\text{SAT}}(G)$? Such a result would imply, for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, the NP-hardness of distinguishing whether instances of a certain type of 2-CSP are satisfiable or $1 - \epsilon$ far from satisfiable,¹ thereby providing an alternative to Raz's parallel repetition theorem [Raz95] in certain applications.

This is, however, not the case. In fact, we show that for every pair of constants d and t there exists an integer n and a d -regular constraint expander G with self-loops on n vertices over alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ such that $\overline{\text{SAT}}(G) \leq 1/2 + O(1/\sqrt{d})$, but $\overline{\text{SAT}}(G^t) \geq 1/2$. We make use of the following construction:

Construction 2. *For infinitely many integers d there exist infinitely many n and a d -regular graph on n vertices G with: (1) G has girth $\frac{2}{3} \log_d n$; (2) $\lambda(G) = 2\sqrt{d-1}$; (3) every two-partition of G is violated by at least a $1/2 - 2/\sqrt{d-1}$ fraction of edges.*

Proof. The non-bipartite expanders of Lubotzky et al. [LPS88] have the desired properties. Properties (1) and (2) are explicit in [LPS88]. We derive (3) from (2). By the expander mixing lemma, for every set S of vertices of size θn ,

$$|e(S, \overline{S}) - \theta(1 - \theta)dn| \leq \lambda(G)\sqrt{\theta(1 - \theta)}n,$$

¹The alphabet size would depend on ϵ but not on the instance size.

where $e(S, \bar{S})$ is the number of edges crossing the cut (S, \bar{S}) . Since $\theta(1-\theta)$ is maximized at $\theta = 1/2$, we have that

$$e(S, \bar{S}) \leq dn/4 + \sqrt{d-1}n.$$

Therefore every partition is violated by at least $dn/4 - \sqrt{d-1}n$ edges, establishing property (3). \square

Take a graph G given by the construction, and add a self-loop to every vertex. Now consider the following constraint satisfaction problem on G : The alphabet is $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$, the edge constraints are dummy (always satisfied) on loops, and inequality constraints on the other edges. By property (3) of the construction, $\overline{\text{SAT}}(G) \geq 1/2 - O(1/\sqrt{d})$. On the other hand, if we choose $n > d^{8t}$, the graph G^t will have girth at least $4t$, so the t -neighborhood of every vertex in G is a tree, and for every edge e in G^t , the union of t -neighborhoods of the endpoints of e in G is also a tree.

An assignment $\bar{\sigma} : V \rightarrow \Sigma^{d^t}$ in G^t describes, for each $v \in V$, v 's "view" $\bar{\sigma}_v$ of assignments to vertices at distance at most t from v . Notice that for each $v \in V$ there are exactly two possibilities for $\bar{\sigma}_v$ that are consistent with local constraints. Namely, choose an arbitrary value (0 or 1) for v 's view of itself, and propagate this assignment to v 's view of its neighbors, their neighbors, etc., in a way that is consistent with the inequality constraints. For example, if $\bar{\sigma}_v(v) = 0$, then all vertices w at even distance from v (up to $2\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$) are assigned $\bar{\sigma}_v(w) = 0$, and all w s at odd distance from v are assigned $\bar{\sigma}_v(w) = 1$.

To show $\overline{\text{SAT}}(G^t) \geq 1/2$, we choose $\bar{\sigma}$ at random. That is, for each v , we choose between the two possibilities for $\bar{\sigma}_v$ by tossing a fair independent coin. Now for an arbitrary edge (u, v) of G^t , the assignments $\bar{\sigma}_u$ and $\bar{\sigma}_v$ will be consistent with probability $1/2$, so this random $\bar{\sigma}$ satisfies half the constraints in expectation. It follows that there must exist an assignment satisfying half the constraints in G^t .

Acknowledgments. I thank Omid Etesami, Elchanan Mossel, and Luca Trevisan for discussions.

References

- [Din05] Irit Dinur. The PCP theorem via gap amplification. Technical Report TR05-46, Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity, 2005.
- [LPS88] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak. Ramanujan graphs. *Combinatorica*, 8:261–277, 1988.
- [Raz95] Ran Raz. A parallel repetition theorem. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 447–456, 1995.