

# Monotone expanders - constructions and applications

Zeev Dvir\*      Avi Wigderson\*

## Abstract

The main purpose of this work is to formally define monotone expanders and motivate their study with (known and new) connections to other graphs and to several computational and pseudorandomness problems. In particular we explain how monotone expanders of constant degree lead to:

1. Constant degree dimension expanders in finite fields, resolving a question of [BISW04].
2.  $O(1)$ -page and  $O(1)$ -pushdown expanders, resolving a question of [GKS86], and leading to tight lower bounds on simulation time for certain Turing Machines.

Bourgain [Bou09] gave recently an ingenious construction of such constant degree monotone expanders. The first application (1) above follows from a reduction in [DS08]. We give a short exposition of both construction and reduction.

The new contributions of this paper are simple. First, we explain the observation leading to the second application (2) above, and some of its consequences. Second, we observe that a variant of the zig-zag graph product preserves monotonicity, and use it to give a simple alternative construction of monotone expanders, with near-constant degree.

## 1 Introduction

Expander graphs are families of highly connected sparse graphs. These combinatorial objects have found numerous applications in diverse areas of mathematics and computer science and have drawn an enormous amount of attention in past years (see [HLW06] for a survey). Many of the applications require explicit constructions of such graphs. It is easy to show that a random sparse graph is an expander, but proving that some ‘specific’ graph is an expander is considerably harder. Today there are many known constructions of expander graphs ranging from group theoretic constructions (e.g. [LPS88, MKN06]) to purely combinatorial ones [RVW02, ASS08].

---

\*Institute for advanced study, Princeton, NJ. Research partially supported by NSF grants CCF-0832797 and DMS-0835373.

The question of whether restricted classes of graphs can be expanders of constant degree has also received attention, and there are many negative examples for natural classes, e.g. planar graphs (and graphs with other excluded minors) [LT80, AST90] and Cayley graphs of Abelian and near-Abelian groups [Kla84, LW93]. More relevant to us are the classes of  $k$ -page graphs and  $k$ -pushdown graphs (which we define later) that arise as computation graphs of Turing machines, for which the expansion question remained open and is key to resolving some complexity questions [GKS86, San01].

The focus of this paper are *monotone* graphs and their expansion properties. Monotone graphs are defined by monotone mappings. Throughout the paper the vertex set of graphs will be  $[n]$ , the first  $n$  integers with their natural ordering. A partial monotone function  $f : [n] \rightarrow [n]$  satisfies  $f(x) > f(y)$  for all pairs  $x > y$  for which the function is defined. such a function defines a 1-monotone graph whose edges are simply all  $(i, f(i))$  for all  $i \in \text{Dom}(f)$ . Similarly,  $d$  (partial) monotone functions define a  $d$ -monotone graph. Note that such graphs have degree at most  $d$ . The most natural way to think of these graphs is as bipartite directed graphs with  $n$  left vertices and  $n$  right vertices and edges going from left to right<sup>1</sup>. In this setting a graph is expanding if every set of left vertices of size  $t \leq n/2$  has at least  $(1 + \alpha) \cdot t$  neighbors for some constant  $\alpha > 0$ . Monotonicity (with degree  $d$ ) is equivalent to saying that the graph edges can be partitioned into  $d$  disjoint monotone matchings (that is, in each matching the edges do not cross).

Unlike the question in general graphs, there is no obvious way of even proving the *existence* of  $d$ -monotone expanders for small  $d$ . Attempting the probabilistic method one is faced with the choice of distribution on monotone mappings, and then with the analysis. One fundamental problem seems to be that there are only  $\exp(O(n))$  partial monotone mappings on  $[n]$ , whereas there are  $\exp(n \log(n))$  unrestricted mappings. While It is trivial to prove that  $O(1)$  random mappings create an expander with high probability, we have no analogous result for monotone mappings. To give an example of the difficulty of analyzing a random monotone construction consider the following natural way of sampling a monotone mapping: pick two sets  $S, T \subset [n]$  of size  $n/2$  uniformly at random and map  $S$  onto  $T$  in a one-to-one way (mapping the  $i$ 'th element of  $S$  to the  $i$ 'th element of  $T$ ). It is easy to see that the set  $[1, t]$  will not expand w.h.p for  $t = \Omega(n)$  since this set will have all of its neighbors in the interval  $[1, t + O(\sqrt{(n)})]$  with high probability.

Lets return to explicit constructions (which are anyway more interesting for applications). The first construction of monotone expanders was given in [DS08] in connection with dimension expanders (we elaborate on this connection below). This construction has logarithmic degree and is composed mainly of shift maps (that is, maps of the form  $f(x) = x + i$ ). This construction was recently significantly improved independently in two works. One in the current paper, in which we construct  $O(\log^{(c)} n)$ -monotone expanders for every integer  $c$  (with  $\log^{(c)}(\cdot)$  denotes the  $c$ -times iterated logarithm). The second is by Bourgain [Bou09], achieving the optimal  $O(1)$ -monotone expanders. Bourgain's construction is quite involved

---

<sup>1</sup>One can easily make the transition to the usual undirected case by including the inverse functions as well.

mathematically, using in particular the recent breakthrough on the “Tits Alternative” by Breuillard [Bre08], and its analysis is even more involved, extending work on spectral gaps on the unitary group  $SU(2)$  by Bourgain and Gamburd [BG07] to the group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  (the paper [Bou09] contains only sketches of the construction and analysis). In Section 6 we provide some more details on the workings behind this theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** ([Bou09]). *There exists an explicit family of constant degree monotone expanders.*

We will give our (suboptimal) construction here for two reasons. First, it is simple: it uses an iterated replacement product [RVW02], observing that with appropriate ordering of vertices it preserves monotonicity of its components. Second, it shows that any (even nonconstructive) *existence* proof of  $O(1)$ -monotone expanders can be used as a base graph (in the style of [ASS08]) to make our construction a fully explicit one of  $O(1)$ -monotone expanders.

## 1.1 Monotone expanders and dimension expanders

Monotone graphs, and the question of their expansion for small  $d$  arose implicitly in the paper of Dvir and Shpilka [DS08]. They reduce the problem of constructing degree  $d$  *dimension expanders* (a linear-algebraic extension of expander graphs proposed by [BISW04]) to the construction of  $O(d)$ -monotone expanders, and moreover give explicit construction of  $O(\log n)$ -monotone expanders of size  $n$ , leaving possible improvements as an open question. Since this connection was never given explicitly, we sketch it below.

A degree  $d$  dimension expander is a set of  $d$  linear mappings  $T_1, \dots, T_d : \mathbb{F}^n \mapsto \mathbb{F}^n$  such that for every linear subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  with  $\dim(V) \leq n/2$  we have

$$\dim \left( \sum_{i=1}^d A_i(V) \right) \geq (1 + \alpha) \cdot \dim(V).$$

Here,  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field, possibly finite, and  $\alpha$  is a positive constant independent of  $n$ . The probabilistic method shows that families of constant degree dimension expanders exist. An explicit construction over fields of characteristic zero was given in [LZ08]. In [DS08] an approach toward constructing dimension expanders over finite fields was given. This approach involved applying a monotone expander graph on the coordinates (that is, permute the coordinates using  $d$  monotone mappings).

**Theorem 1.2** ([DS08]). *If there exists an explicit construction of  $d$ -monotone expander graphs then there is an explicit construction of degree  $d$  dimension expanders over any field  $\mathbb{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{F}^n$  denote the standard basis vectors. For each monotone partial function  $f : [n] \mapsto [n]$  in the expander we define a linear map  $L_f : \mathbb{F}^n \mapsto \mathbb{F}^n$  as follows:

$L_f(e_i) = e_{f(i)}$  if  $f(i)$  is defined and  $L_f(e_i) = 0$  otherwise. To see why these linear maps are a dimension expander consider a vector space  $V \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  of dimension  $t \leq n/2$ . For a non-zero vector  $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$  denote by  $\pi(v) \in [n]$  the largest non zero coordinate of  $v$ . Similarly, let  $\pi(V) = \{\pi(v) | v \in V\}$ . Observe that  $|\pi(V)| = \dim(V) = t$ . Monotonicity implies that

$$\pi(L_f(V)) = f(\pi(V))$$

and so, using expansion we get that

$$\left| \pi \left( \sum_{i=1}^d L_{f_i}(V) \right) \right| \geq (1 + \alpha) \cdot t$$

giving the required bound on the dimension. □

This theorem combined with Bourgain's construction gives explicit constant degree dimension expanders over any field.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Over any field  $\mathbb{F}$ , there exists an explicit family of constant degree dimension expanders.*

## 1.2 Monotone graphs and multi-pushdown graphs

The second contribution of this paper is the observation of a simple connection between  $d$ -monotone graphs and  $d$ -pushdown graphs: if the former are expanding then so are the latter (for the same  $d$ ). This is of consequence since the question of whether  $O(1)$ -pushdown graphs have small separators (and thus cannot be expanders) is intimately related (and in some cases *equivalent*) to several questions in Turing machine complexity. With this observation, Bourgain's result simultaneously proves some conjectures and refutes others in one shot. The fundamental connection to complexity arises from the basic fact [HPV77] that computation graphs of certain Turing machines are  $O(1)$ -pushdown graphs. We describe this connection and its consequences below.

A  $d$ -pushdown graph [Pip82, PPST83] is a graph on an ordered set of vertices such that, if we order the vertices along the spine of a book, the edges can be drawn on  $d$ -pages of the book such that in each page, the edges do not touch (even not at a vertex). These graphs come up naturally as the computation graphs of Turing machines with  $d$  tapes and are also a natural subclass of  $d$ -page graphs [BS84].

Let us recall the definition of a separator: A separator  $S$  is a subset of the vertices of graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices such that the vertices outside  $S$  can be partitioned into two disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B$  each of size at most  $2n/3$  and such that there are no edges between  $A$  and  $B$ . Observe that a graph with sub-linear size separator is not an expander. The planar separator theorem [LT79] says that a planar graph has a  $O(\sqrt{n})$  size separator. Since  $d$ -pushdown graphs are generalizations of planar graph it is natural to question whether such graphs also have  $o(n)$  separators. This question (conjectured to be true in [Pip82]) turns out to

be related to the time needed to simulate a deterministic TM by a non deterministic one<sup>2</sup>. A ‘segregator’ theorem (proving the existence of a weaker object than a separator) is the combinatorial ‘heart’ of the celebrated separation [PPST83] of non deterministic linear time from deterministic linear time (see also [San01] for more recent results in this spirit).

In [GKS86] it was shown that there exist 3-pushdown graphs which are ‘almost’ expanders, in the sense that every separator must be of size  $\Omega(n/\log^{(c)}(n))$  for any constant  $c$ . In fact, their construction is very similar to our construction of degree  $\log^{(c)}(n)$  monotone expanders, and is probably one of the earliest occurrence of what is now referred to as an iterative ‘Zig-Zag’ construction. In this paper we observe that  $d$ -monotone expanders can be transformed easily into  $d$ -pushdown expanders with  $d$  equal to the degree of the graph. Combining this observation with Bourgain’s result we get that there are (explicit)  $d$ -pushdown graphs which are expanders, settling an old open problem. We prove the following theorem in Section 5.

**Theorem 1.4.** *If there exists (explicit)  $d$ -monotone expanders than there exists (explicit)  $d$ -pushdown expanders.*

In [San01] the assumption that the class of  $d$ -pushdown graphs have sub-linear separators was used to derive several complexity theoretic results. In particular, it was shown in [San01] that this assumption implies the separation

$$\text{NTIME}(t) \neq \Sigma_4\text{-TIME}(t)$$

for all time bounds  $t$ . The existence of expanding  $d$ -pushdown graphs shows that this approach to prove such separation can not succeed.

**Lower bounds on simulation time.** It was shown in [GKS86] that the question of whether  $d$ -pushdown graphs are separable or not (i.e if these graphs have sub-linear size separators) is equivalent to a question regarding the simulation time of certain Turing machines. More formally, let  $t(n)$  denote the time it takes a 1-tape online non-deterministic TM to simulate a two tape real-time non-deterministic TM <sup>3</sup>. One can easily show that  $t(n) = O(n^2)$ . The question of whether or not  $t(n) = o(n^2)$  was shown in [GKS86] to be *equivalent* to whether or not  $d$ -pushdown graphs have small (sub-linear) separators. Combining Bourgain’s result with Theorem 1.4 above we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.5.** *In the notation above, we have  $t(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ .*

---

<sup>2</sup>These results apply only to sequential access Turing machines. Stronger models allowing random access are not captured by these methods.

<sup>3</sup>A *real time* TM reads a new symbol at each step and an *on-line* TM is such that the input tape is one-way.

### 1.3 Organization

In Section 2 we give formal definitions and notation that will be used later on. In Section 3 we give the analysis of the replacement product for monotone graphs. In Section 4 we describe our iterative construction of near-constant degree monotone expanders. In Section 5 we explain the connection between monotone and multi-pushdown graphs. Section 6 contains a brief outline of Bourgain’s construction of monotone expanders. We conclude in Section 7 with some open problems and directions for future research.

## 2 Preliminaries and notations

We denote  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . All logarithms are base 2 unless otherwise noted.

Let  $V$  be a finite totally ordered set. We denote by  $\mathbf{Part}[V]$  the set of partial functions  $f : V \mapsto V \cup \{\perp\}$  on the set  $V$  ( $f(x) = \perp$  means that  $f$  is undefined at  $x$ ). We say that  $f \in \mathbf{Part}[V]$  is *monotone* if, whenever  $f$  is defined at two distinct points  $x < y \in V$ , we have  $f(x) < f(y)$  (in this paper we use ‘monotone’ to mean ‘strictly increasing’). Notice that if  $f$  is monotone it is also injective and so there exists a (partial) inverse  $f^{-1} \in \mathbf{Part}[V]$  which is also monotone. Also, if  $f, g \in \mathbf{Part}[V]$  are monotone then we can define their composition  $f \circ g$  which is also monotone (the composition is defined on those points  $x$  where  $g(x) \neq \perp$  and  $f(g(x)) \neq \perp$ ). We denote the set of monotone partial functions on  $V$  as  $\mathbf{Mon}[V]$ .

An *ordered directed graph* is a pair  $G = (V, (f_1, \dots, f_d))$  with  $V$  a finite totally ordered set and  $f_i \in \mathbf{Part}[V]$  where we think of  $f_i(x)$  as mapping  $x$  to its  $i$ ’th neighbor (if one exists). In the following we will refer to these simply as ‘graphs’. We will call  $d$  the *degree* of the graph. A graph  $G = (V, (f_1, \dots, f_d))$  is *monotone* if for all  $i \in [d]$ ,  $f_i$  is monotone (w.r.t the ordering of  $V$ ). Notice that if  $G$  is monotone then for all  $x \in V$ ,  $d_{in}(x) \leq d$  (since the functions  $f_i$  are injective). Let  $G = (V, (f_1, \dots, f_d))$  be a monotone graph. We say that  $G$  is *inverse-closed* if  $d$  is even and for all  $i \in [d/2]$ ,  $f_{2i} = f_{2i-1}^{-1}$  (that is,  $G$  is composed of  $d/2$  neighbor functions and their  $d/2$  inverses).

For a graph  $G = (V, (f_1, \dots, f_d))$  and a subset  $S \subset V$  we denote the *boundary* of  $S$  in  $G$  as

$$\partial_G S \triangleq \{(x, i) \mid x \in S, f_i(x) \in V \setminus S\},$$

(we omit the subscript  $G$  if it is clear from the context). The *edge-expansion* of  $G$  is denoted by

$$h(G) \triangleq \min_{S \subset V, |S| \leq \frac{1}{2}|V|} \frac{|\partial_G S|}{d \cdot |S|}.$$

Another notion of expansion is *vertex-expansion*. For  $S \subset V$  let

$$\Gamma_G(S) \triangleq \{y \in V \mid \exists x \in S, i \in [d], f_i(x) = y\}.$$

The vertex expansion of  $G$ , denoted  $\mu(G)$ , is

$$\mu(G) \triangleq \min_{S \subset [n], |S| \leq \frac{1}{2}|V|} \frac{|\Gamma_G(S) \setminus S|}{|S|}.$$

**Claim 2.1.** *If  $G$  is monotone then  $\mu(G) \geq h(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $|S| \leq |V|/2$ . Then  $S$  has at least  $h(G) \cdot d \cdot |S|$  edges leaving it. Since  $G$  is monotone, the in-degree of a vertex is at most  $d$  and so there have to be at least  $h(G) \cdot |S|$  neighbors of  $S$  that are not in  $S$ .  $\square$

We will require the following simple claim.

**Claim 2.2.** *If  $G = (V, (f_1, \dots, f_d))$  is a monotone inverse-closed graph, then for all sets  $S \subset V$  we have*

$$|\partial_G S| \geq h(G) \cdot d \cdot \min\{|S|, |V| - |S|\}.$$

*Proof.* The inequality follows from the definition of  $h(G)$  and from the fact that, in an inverse-closed graph, the number of edges from any set  $S$  to its complement is equal to the number of edges in the opposite direction.  $\square$

### 3 The replacement product of monotone graphs

Let  $V_1, V_2$  be two finite totally ordered sets. The *reverse lexicographical ordering* of the set  $V_1 \times V_2$  is defined as follows:  $(a_1, a_2) > (b_1, b_2)$  if  $a_2 > b_2$  or,  $a_2 = b_2$  and  $a_1 > b_1$ .

Let  $G_1 = (V_1, (f_1, \dots, f_D))$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, (g_1, \dots, g_d))$  be two graphs such that  $|V_2| = D$ . The *replacement product*  $G_1 \circ G_2$  is a graph with vertex set  $V_1 \times V_2$ , ordered according to reverse lexicographical ordering and with  $2d$  neighbor functions  $s_1, \dots, s_d, t_1, \dots, t_d \in \mathbf{Part}[V_1 \times V_2]$  defined as follows: For  $i \in [d]$ ,

$$s_i(a_1, a_2) = (a_1, g_i(a_2))$$

(if  $g_i(a_2) = \perp$  then  $s_i(a_1, a_2) = \perp$ ). The functions  $t_1, \dots, t_d$  are all equal to the same function

$$t(a_1, a_2) = (f_{a_2}(a_1), a_2),$$

where the set  $V_2$  is identified in some arbitrary one-to-one way with  $[D]$  (again, if  $f_{a_2}(a_1) = \perp$  then  $t(a_1, a_2) = \perp$ ). It is clear from the definitions that, if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are monotone, then so is their product  $G_1 \circ G_2$ .

The proof of the following lemma, bounding the edge-expansion of the replacement product, is essentially the same as the proof for undirected graphs appearing in [ASS08]. Since our definitions are more involved we retrace the argument below.

**Lemma 3.1** (Replacement product of two monotone expanders). *Let  $G_1 = (V_1, (f_1, \dots, f_D))$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, (g_1, \dots, g_d))$  be two monotone inverse-closed graphs such that  $|V_2| = D$ . Let  $H = G_1 \circ G_2$ . Then  $H$  is a monotone graph (w.r.t reverse lexicographical ordering) and*

$$h(H) \geq \frac{1}{80} \cdot h(G_1)^2 \cdot h(G_2).$$

*Proof.* As was observed before, if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are monotone then so is  $G_1 \circ G_2$ . We are thus concerned only with bounding the edge expansion.

Let us denote  $n = |V_1|$ ,  $\delta_1 = h(G_1)$ ,  $\delta_2 = h(G_2)$ . Let  $S \subset V_1 \times V_2$  be such that  $|S| \leq \frac{1}{2}|V_1 \times V_2| = \frac{1}{2} \cdot nD$ . Since the degree of  $H$  is  $2d$  we need to show that  $|\partial S| \geq \frac{1}{80} \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \cdot 2d \cdot |S|$ .

For  $x \in V_1$  we define  $S_x = S \cap (\{x\} \times V_2)$ . Let

$$I' = \{x \in V_1 \mid |S_x| \leq (1 - \delta_1/4)D\}$$

and let  $I'' = V_1 \setminus I'$ . We partition the set  $S$  into two parts,  $S' = \bigcup_{x \in I'} S_x$  and  $S'' = S \setminus S'$ .

We separate the analysis into two cases. The first is when  $|S'| \geq \frac{1}{10} \delta_1 |S|$ . In this case we will get expansion using the mappings that act on the disjoint copies of  $V_2$ . For every  $x \in I'$  we have (using Claim 2.2) that

$$|\partial_{G_2} S_x| \geq \delta_2 \cdot d \cdot \min\{|S_x|, D - |S_x|\} \geq \frac{1}{4} \delta_1 \delta_2 \cdot d |S_x|,$$

(we abuse notation and treat  $S_x$  as a subset of  $V_2$ ). Therefore, using the bound on  $|S'|$ , we get that

$$|\partial_H S| \geq \frac{1}{4} \delta_1 \delta_2 \cdot |S'| \geq \frac{1}{80} \cdot \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \cdot 2d \cdot |S|.$$

We now turn to the case when  $|S'| < \frac{1}{10} \delta_1 |S|$ . In this case we have  $|S''| \geq (1 - \frac{1}{10} \delta_1) \cdot |S|$ . We will get expansion using the  $d$  identical copies of the map  $t(x, y) = (f_y(x), y)$ . Since for all  $x \in I''$  we have  $|S_x| > (1 - \frac{1}{4} \delta_1) \cdot D$  we get that

$$\frac{|S''|}{D} \leq |I''| \leq \frac{|S''|}{(1 - \frac{1}{4} \delta_1) \cdot D}.$$

In particular, since  $|S''| \leq |S| \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot nD$ , we have  $|I''| \leq \frac{2}{3} \cdot n$ . Therefore, by Claim 2.2, we have

$$M = |\partial_{G_1} I''| \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_1 \cdot D \cdot |I''|.$$

Consider the corresponding  $d \cdot M$  edges in  $H$ . Of these  $d \cdot M$  edges at most  $\frac{1}{4} \delta_1 dD \cdot |I''|$  come from outside  $S''$  and so there are at least  $\frac{1}{4} \delta_1 dD \cdot |I''|$  edges from  $S''$ . Among these edges, at most  $d \cdot |S'|$  can land in  $S'$  (here we rely on the fact that  $G_1$  is monotone and so the mappings acting on the copies of  $V_1$  are injective). We can bound this number of edges by

$$d \cdot |S'| \leq \frac{1}{10} \delta_1 \cdot d \cdot |S| \leq \frac{1}{6} \delta_1 \cdot dD |I''|.$$

We can therefore conclude that the number of edges from  $S''$  to the complement of  $S$  is at least

$$\frac{1}{4}\delta_1 \cdot dD \cdot |I''| - \frac{1}{6}\delta_1 \cdot dD \cdot |I''| = \frac{1}{12}\delta_1 \cdot dD \cdot |I''|.$$

As  $|I''| \geq |S''|/D$  and  $|S''| \geq \frac{1}{2}|S|$  we have at least  $\frac{1}{48}\delta_1 \cdot 2d \cdot |S|$  edges in  $H$  leaving  $S$ .  $\square$

## 4 An iterative construction with near optimal degree

In this section we describe a simple iterative construction of monotone expanders with almost constant degree. We give our construction in two parts: The first (Section 4.1) gives a construction of a monotone expander with logarithmic degree and the second (Section 4.2) shows how to iterate the construction, using the tools from Section 3, and reduce the degree to  $\log^{(c)}(n)$  for any constant  $c$ .

### 4.1 The base graph

In this section we describe a construction of a monotone expander graph with poly-logarithmic degree which will be the basis of the full construction. The graph we will use is similar to the one used in [DS08]. However, since we are shooting for a strongly explicit graph, we will refrain from using the base graph from [WX06] (which is not strongly explicit) and will use the expanders of [AIK<sup>+</sup>90, RSW93] instead. The degree of these graphs is poly-logarithmic (instead of logarithmic in [WX06]) but this difference will ‘disappear’ in the recursion done in the next section.

**Theorem 4.1** ([AIK<sup>+</sup>90, RSW93] Expanders for  $Z_n$ ). *There exists a constant  $h_0 > 0$  and a strongly explicit family of graphs*

$$A_n = (\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}, (f_1, \dots, f_d))$$

with  $d = \log(n)^{O(1)}$ ,  $h(G) \geq h_0$  and such that for each  $i$  there exists an integer  $a_i$  such that  $f_i(x) = x + a_i \pmod n$ .

We now turn the above graph into a monotone expander in a similar manner to [DS08]. In fact, the proof of the following theorem implies that *any* Cayley expander for  $Z_n$  with degree  $d$  gives a monotone expander with degree  $2d$ .

**Theorem 4.2** (Monotone expander with poly-log degree). *There exists a constant  $h_1 > 0$  and a strongly explicit family of monotone, inverse-closed graphs*

$$B_n = (\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}, (g_1, \dots, g_d))$$

with  $d = \log(n)^{O(1)}$  and  $h(G) \geq h_1$ .

*Proof.* W.l.o.g suppose  $n$  is even (otherwise we can run the construction on  $n - 1$  vertices and then connect a single vertex arbitrarily). Let  $m = n/2$  and let  $A_m = (\{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}, (f_1, \dots, f_{d'}))$  be the graph given by Theorem 4.1. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_{d'}$  be integers such that, for  $0 \leq x \leq m - 1$ ,

$$f_i(x) = x + a_i \pmod{m}.$$

We define the graph  $B_n$  on vertex set  $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$  to have  $d = 2d'$  neighbor functions. The first  $d'$  functions, call them  $g_1, \dots, g_{d'}$  will be defined as

$$g_i(x) = \begin{cases} x + a_i & , x \leq m - 1; \\ \perp & , \text{o/w.} \end{cases}$$

Since we can assume w.l.o.g that the integers  $a_i$  are smaller than  $m$  we have that the mappings  $g_i, i \in [d']$  are indeed monotone. We now add to  $B_n$  another  $d'$  mappings  $g_{d'+1}, \dots, g_{2d'}$  which will all be the same and will be defined as

$$g_i(x) = \begin{cases} x - m & , x \geq m; \\ \perp & , \text{o/w.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that the graphs  $B_n$  are monotone, have degree  $\log(n)^{O(1)}$  and are strongly explicit. We can also assume w.l.o.g that  $B_n$  is inverse closed simply by adding all the inverses (this will decrease the edge expansion by at most half). We now bound the edge expansion of  $B_n$ .

Let  $S \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$  be such that  $|S| \leq n/2$ . We will show that the number of edges leaving  $S$  is at least  $\frac{1}{16} \cdot h_0 \cdot d \cdot |S|$ . Define  $S_1 = S \cap \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$  and  $S_2 = S \setminus S_1$ . We separate the analysis into two cases based on the size of the set

$$I = S_2 \setminus (S_1 + m).$$

If  $|I| \geq \frac{h_0}{8} \cdot |S|$  then there will be at least  $d' \cdot |I| \geq \frac{h_0}{16} \cdot d \cdot |S|$  edges of the form  $x \mapsto x - m$  from  $S_2$  to the outside of  $S_1$  and so we are done.

We now deal with the case when  $|I| < \frac{h_0}{8} \cdot |S|$ . In this case we must have

$$|S_1| \geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{h_0}{8} \right) \cdot |S|,$$

for otherwise  $|I|$  can be bounded from below by  $|S_2| - |S_1| > \frac{h_0}{4} \cdot |S|$ . We now use the expansion of  $A_m$  to claim that there are at least  $h_0 \cdot d' \cdot |S_1| \geq h_0 \cdot d/2 \cdot (1/2 - h_0/8) \cdot |S|$  edges from  $S_1$  to the complement of  $S_1 \cup (S_1 + m)$ . Of these edges, at most  $d' \cdot |I| \leq d/2 \cdot \frac{h_0}{8} \cdot |S|$  can land in  $S_2$ . Therefore, there are at least

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[ h_0 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{h_0}{8} \right) - \frac{h_0}{8} \right] \cdot d \cdot |S| \geq \frac{h_0}{16} \cdot d \cdot |S|$$

edges from  $S$  to its complement in this case. This concludes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## 4.2 The iterative construction

We now combine Lemma 3.1 with Theorem 4.2 to give a construction of monotone expanders with degree close to constant. We denote by  $\log^{(c)}(n)$  the log function iterated  $c$ -times.

**Theorem 4.3** (Monotone expanders with degree  $\log^{(c)}(n)$ ). *For every  $c > 0$  there exists a strongly explicit family of monotone graphs  $M_k = (V_k, (f_1, \dots, f_{d_k}))$  such that*

1.  $|V_k| = \Theta(k)$ .
2.  $d_k \leq O(\log^{(c)}(k))$ .
3.  $h(M_k) \geq \Omega(\exp \exp(c)^{-1})$ .

*Proof.* We describe an algorithm for producing  $M_k$  in  $c$  iterations. We start with a graph  $G_1$  that has degree  $O(\log(k))$  and at each iteration decrease the degree to the logarithm of the previous degree using the replacement product.

Set  $G_1$  to be  $B_k$  - the graph given by Theorem 4.2 on input  $k$ . Let  $D_1$  be the degree of  $G_1$ , so  $D_1 = \log(k)^{O(1)}$ . Let  $B_{D_1}$  be given again by Theorem 4.2, this time on  $D_1$  vertices and with degree  $D_2 = O(\log(D_1))$ . We can take the replacement product

$$G_2 = G_1 \circ B_{D_1}.$$

From Lemma 3.1 we see that  $G_2$  is a monotone graph on  $k \cdot D_1$  vertices with degree  $2D_2 = O(\log \log(k))$  and

$$h(G_2) \geq \frac{1}{80} \cdot h(G_1)^2 \cdot h(B_{D_1}) \geq \frac{1}{80} \cdot h_1^3,$$

where  $h_1 > 0$  is the constant given by Theorem 4.3.

We can continue in this manner  $c$ -times. At the  $j$ 'th iteration we are given a graph  $G_j$  with degree  $D_j = O(\log^{(j)}(k))$  and we take its replacement product with  $B_{D_j}$ . If we denote by  $h_j$  the edges expansion at the  $j$ 'th iteration we see that

$$h_j \geq \frac{1}{80} h_{j-1}^2 \cdot h_1 \geq (h_1/80)^{3^j}$$

and so, after  $c$  iterations we will have expansion at least  $\Omega(\exp \exp(c)^{-1})$ .

Tracing the growth of the vertex set size we conclude that the size of the final graph is some explicit function of  $k$  of the form  $\approx k \cdot \log(k) \cdot \log \log(k) \cdots \log^{(c)}(k)$ . We can choose a starting integer  $k'$ , slightly smaller than  $k$ , so that the final graph will have size  $\theta(k)$ .

The part of the theorem claiming strong explicitness can be easily verified by induction on the number of iterations (since the base graph is strongly explicit).  $\square$

From this last proof we see that, if had an existence proof of constant degree monotone expanders, we could transform it into an explicit construction in the following manner: start

with two iterations of the replacement products as we did above, then, do an exhaustive search for a constant degree monotone expander on  $\log \log(n)$  vertices (this can be done in polynomial time) and use it in the last replacement product. This approach (introduced in [ASS08] to give a simple explicit construction of expander graphs) can be summarized in the next corollary.

**Corollary 4.4.** *If there exist constant degree monotone expanders then they can be found efficiently (i.e there exists an explicit construction).*

Notice that the only reason this last corollary is of any interest is that it doesn't rely on Bourgain's proof (which shows independently that there is an explicit construction). We hope that a simple existence proof can be found and used in conjunction with this corollary to give a simple explicit construction.

## 5 Connection to multi-pushdown graphs

In this section we deal with both monotone ordered directed graphs (as defined in Section 2) and with undirected graphs (in the usual sense). Our goal is to show that the existence of degree  $d$  monotone expanders imply the existence of expanders that are  $d$ -pushdown graphs. We start by giving a formal definition of these graphs, which are a special case of  $d$ -page graphs (for more on this interesting family of graphs and its applications see [BK79, CLR87]).

**Definition 5.1** ( $d$ -page graphs). *A 1-page graph is an undirected graph with ordered vertex set  $V = [n]$  such that for every pair of edges  $(a, b), (c, d) \in [n]^2$  in the graph, we have that  $c \in [a, b]$  iff  $d \in [a, b]$ . In other words, if we write down the vertices in a vertical ordered line, we could draw all the edges on the right side of this line without having intersections between edges. A  $d$ -page graph is a union of at most  $d$  1-page graphs on the same set of vertices.*

A  $d$ -pushdown graph is a  $d$ -page graph such that in each page the degree of each vertex is at most one. It is easy to see where these graphs get their name from – they describe a sequence of insertions/deletions from  $d$  pushdown stacks (“First in last out”).

**Definition 5.2** ( $d$ -pushdown graphs). *A 1-pushdown graph is a 1-page graph in which the degree of each vertex is at most one. A  $d$ -pushdown graph is a union of at most  $d$  1-pushdown graphs.*

The next Claim shows how a monotone graph of degree  $d$  can be transformed into a  $d$ -pushdown graph with roughly the same expansion (the vertex expansion of an undirected graph is defined in a similar way to the directed case).

**Claim 5.3.** *Let  $G = ([n], \{f_1, \dots, f_d\})$  be a monotone inverse-closed graph with  $f_1 = id$ . Define  $H$  to be an undirected graph on vertex set  $[2n]$  as follows: for every  $i \in [d]$  and every  $a \in [n]$  such that  $f_i(a) \neq \perp$ ,  $H$  contains the undirected edge  $(a, 2n - f_i(a))$ . Then*

1.  $H$  is a  $d$ -pushdown graph.
2.  $\mu(H) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mu(G)$ .

*Proof.* 1. Each set of edges in  $H$  coming from a single  $f_i$  will give us a 1-pushdown graph since two edges  $(a, 2n - f_i(a))$  and  $(b, 2n - f_i(b))$  with  $a < b < 2n - f_i(b) < 2n - f_i(a)$  and so the edges will not cross each other.

2. Denote by  $\alpha = \mu(G)$ . Let  $S \subset [2n]$  be a set of vertices of size  $k \leq n$ . We will show that  $S$  has at least  $|S| \cdot (1 + \alpha/2)$  neighbors (including vertices in  $S$ ). This will prove that  $\mu(H) \geq \alpha/2$  as was required. We will rely on the fact that the identity mapping belongs to the graph  $G$ . Let  $S_1 = S \cap [n]$  and  $S_2 = S \setminus S_1$ . Let  $k_1 = |S_1|$  and  $k_2 = |S_2|$  so that  $k = k_1 + k_2$ . Assume w.l.o.g that  $k_1 \geq k_2$ . Notice that the neighbors of  $S_1$  belong to the set  $[n + 1, 2n]$  and that the neighbors of  $S_2$  are in  $[n]$ . If  $k_2 \leq k_1 \leq n/2$  then we have that  $S_1$  has at least  $(1 + \alpha)k_1$  neighbors in  $[n + 1, 2n]$  and that  $S_2$  has at least  $(1 + \alpha)k_2$  neighbors in  $[n]$ . Put together we have at least  $(1 + \alpha)k$  neighbors for the set  $S$ . The other case to consider is when  $k_1 > n/2$ . Then  $S_1$  has at least  $(1 + \alpha)(n/2)$  neighbors in  $[n + 1, 2n]$  and so the set of neighbors of  $S$  contains at least

$$k_1 + (1 + \alpha)(n/2) \geq n/2 + (1 + \alpha)(n/2) \geq n(1 + \alpha/2) \geq k(1 + \alpha/2)$$

vertices, as was required. □

Combining Claim 5.3 with Bourgain's construction of constant degree monotone expanders [Bou09] we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.4.** *There exists an integer  $d_0$  and a family of  $n$ -vertex undirected graphs  $G_n$ , with  $n$  going to infinity such that  $G_n$  is a  $d_0$ -pushdown graph and  $\mu(G_n) \geq \alpha_0$  for  $\alpha_0 > 0$  independent of  $n$ . Furthermore, the family  $G_n$  is explicit (can be computed in polynomial time).*

## 6 Bourgain's monotone expanders

We give here a brief outline of Bourgain's construction of monotone expanders. Let  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  denote the group of  $2 \times 2$  real matrices with determinant 1. This group acts<sup>4</sup> on the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  in the following way: with each matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

---

<sup>4</sup>A group action on a space  $S$  is a homomorphism from the group to the set of automorphisms of  $S$ . That is, we identify the group elements with invertible mappings on  $S$  such that the group action corresponds to composition of these mappings.

we associate the Moebius transformation

$$\psi_A(x) = \frac{ax + b}{cx + d}$$

(the inclusion of  $\infty$  allows for division by zero). One can verify that this is indeed a group action. Furthermore, the derivative of these mappings is given by

$$\psi'_A(x) = \frac{1}{(cx + d)^2}$$

and so, these are all monotone increasing functions on any interval not containing  $-d/c$ .

Bourgain's construction proceeds to find (explicitly) a set of finite size in  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  (in fact, with rational entries) that, roughly speaking, satisfies the following two conditions:

1. The matrices in the set are all close to the identity matrix and so their associated mappings do not move any point in  $\mathbb{P}^1$  by much.
2. They satisfy a so-called 'restricted spectral gap' property. This property ensures that applying their associated maps on 'nice' subsets in  $\mathbb{P}^1$  will expand their measure by a constant factor.

Combining these two properties and limiting our attention to a specific interval (which is possible due to Property 1.) one gets the following 'continuous' analog of monotone expanders.

**Theorem 6.1** ([Bou09]). *There exists  $\alpha > 0$  and an explicit finite family  $\Psi$  of smooth increasing maps  $\psi : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, 1]$  such that for any measurable subset  $A$  of  $[0, 1]$ , if  $|A| < 1/2$  we have*

$$\max_{\psi \in \Psi} |\psi(A) \setminus A| \geq \alpha |A|.$$

The final step in the construction is to discretize the interval  $[0, 1]$  into  $n$  intervals of size  $1/n$  and to associate to each smooth mapping a discrete mapping from  $[n]$  to  $[n]$  in a natural way so that expansion in measure is transformed into expansion in set size. The property of 'strong' monotonicity (no collisions) is obtained by observing that, since the derivatives of the smooth mappings  $\psi$  used in the construction are bounded from below, one does not have more than a constant number of edges mapping to a single vertex. This allows one to 'break up' each weakly monotone mapping into a constant number of strongly monotone (partial) mappings, while preserving expansion.

## 7 Conclusions and Open Problems

This paper wishes to popularize some facts we find remarkable: explicit constant degree expanders exist, whose graph structure is extremely restricted: the vertices are ordered, and

edges decompose into few monotone maps, or few legal parenthesis sequences. In particular, these graphs are “nearly planar” in a precise sense. It would be interesting to explore the limitations of the expansion of these graphs. For example, can a constant-degree monotone graph be a lossless expander? a Ramanujan graph? Unique neighbor expander?

Consequence of these constructions are new explicit pseudorandom objects (dimension expanders) as well as a better understanding of the computation graphs of various Turing machines. We believe that more applications of these expanders will be found. Specifically, algorithms on restricted models, especially operating with limited access to input, may use be able to utilize these new expanders.

Another remarkable feature of these expanders is that while they have an explicit construction, no known direct (and simpler) existence proof is known. Few pseudorandom structures are known which have an explicit construction but whose existence does not follow from some application of the probabilistic method. We find the question of providing new *existence* proof of constant-degree monotone expanders very appealing mathematically. Here we also motivate it by showing how any such proof (even highly nonconstructive) directly implies a simple, strongly explicit construction. This reduction uses the Zig-Zag graph product adapted to monotone graphs, further revealing the generality of this paradigm.

In a different direction, while constant degree monotone expanders lead to constant degree dimension expanders via the reduction of Dvir-Shpilka [DS08], in some sense this construction is not very natural. A possibly more natural construction was conjectured by Wigderson in [Wig04], and we conclude this section with a formal statement of it. Recall the definition of dimension expanders from the introduction 1.1.

**Conjecture 7.1.** [Wig04] *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $S$  a symmetric set of generators, and assume that the associated Cayley graph  $\text{Cay}(G; S)$  is an expander – formally the (normalized) 2nd largest eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix is  $1/2$  (say). Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any field of characteristic 0 or positive characteristic relatively prime to  $|G|$ . Let  $\rho$  be any irreducible representation of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ , and denote its dimension by  $n$ . Assume  $S = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$  and define  $T_i = \rho(g_i)$  for all  $i \in [k]$ . Then  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  form a dimension expander.*

We note that the paper of Lubotzky and Zelmanov [LZ08] mentioned above constructs constant degree dimension expanders over fields of characteristic 0 precisely by proving the conjecture above for such fields (and then using any group with a constant number of expanding generators and high dimensional irreducible representations, e.g.  $SL_2(p)$ ). The same paper gives purely algebraic motivations for resolving this conjecture for finite fields.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Noga Alon and Jean Bourgain for helpful conversations. We thank Dieter van Melkebeek and Rahul Santhanam for very helpful comments on earlier drafts of this paper.

## References

- [AIK<sup>+</sup>90] M. Ajtai, H. Iwaniec, J. Komlos, J. Pintz, and E. Szemerédi. Construction of a thin set with small fourier coefficients. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 22:583–590, 1990.
- [ASS08] N. Alon, O. Schwartz, and A. Shapira. An elementary construction of constant-degree expanders. *Comb. Probab. Comput.*, 17(3):319–327, 2008.
- [AST90] N. Alon, P. Seymour, and R. Thomas. A separator theorem for graphs with an excluded minor and its applications. In *STOC '90: Proceedings of the twenty-second annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 293–299, New York, NY, USA, 1990. ACM.
- [BG07] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd. On the spectral gap for finitely-generated subgroups of  $SU(2)$ . *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 171:83–121, September 2007.
- [BISW04] B. Barak, R. Impagliazzo, A. Shpilka, and A. Wigderson, 2004. Private communication.
- [BK79] Frank Bernhart and Paul C. Kainen. The book thickness of a graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 27(3):320 – 331, 1979.
- [Bou09] J. Bourgain. Expanders and dimensional expansion. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 347, 2009.
- [Bre08] Emmanuel Breuillard. A strong tits alternative. arXiv:0804.1395, April 2008.
- [BS84] Jonathan F. Buss and Peter W. Shor. On the pagenumber of planar graphs. In *STOC '84: Proceedings of the sixteenth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 98–100, New York, NY, USA, 1984. ACM.
- [CLR87] Fan R. K. Chung, Frank Thomson Leighton, and Arnold L. Rosenberg. Embedding graphs in books: a layout problem with applications to vlsi design. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods*, 8(1):33–58, 1987.
- [DS08] Z. Dvir and A. Shpilka. Towards dimension expanders over finite fields. In *CCC '08: Proceedings of the 2008 IEEE 23rd Annual Conference on Computational Complexity*, pages 304–310, Washington, DC, USA, 2008. IEEE Computer Society.
- [GKS86] Z Galil, R Kannan, and E Szemerédi. On nontrivial separators for k-page graphs and simulations by nondeterministic one-tape turing machines. In *STOC '86: Proceedings of the eighteenth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 39–49, New York, NY, USA, 1986. ACM.
- [HLW06] S. Hoory, N. Linial, and A. Wigderson. Expander graphs and their applications. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 43:439–561, 2006.

- [HPV77] John Hopcroft, Wolfgang Paul, and Leslie Valiant. On time versus space. *J. ACM*, 24(2):332–337, 1977.
- [Kla84] Maria M. Klawe. Limitations on explicit constructions of expanding graphs. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 13(1):156–166, 1984.
- [LPS88] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak. Ramanujan graphs. *Combinatorica*, 8(3):261–277, 1988.
- [LT79] R. Lipton and R. Tarjan. A separator theorem for planar graphs. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 36(2):177–189, 1979.
- [LT80] Richard J. Lipton and Robert Endre Tarjan. Applications of a planar separator theorem. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 9(3):615–627, 1980.
- [LW93] A. Lubotzky and B. Weiss. Groups and expanders. In *Expanding graphs (Princeton, NJ, 1992)*, DIMACS Ser. 10, Providence, RI, 1993. AMS.
- [LZ08] A. Lubotzky and Y. Zelmanov. Dimension expanders. *Journal of Algebra*, 319(2):730–738, 2008.
- [MKN06] A. Lubotzky M. Kassabov and N. Nikolov. Finite simple groups as expanders. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 103(16):6116–6119, 2006.
- [Pip82] Nicholas Pippenger. Advances in pebbling (preliminary version). In *Proceedings of the 9th Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming*, pages 407–417, London, UK, 1982. Springer-Verlag.
- [PPST83] Wolfgang J. Paul, Nicholas Pippenger, Endre Szemerédi, and William T. Trotter. On determinism versus non-determinism and related problems. In *SFCS '83: Proceedings of the 24th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 429–438, Washington, DC, USA, 1983. IEEE Computer Society.
- [RSW93] Alexander Razborov, Endre Szemerédi, and Avi Wigderson. Constructing small sets that are uniform in arithmetic progressions. *Probability, Combinatorics and Complexity*, 2:513–518, 1993.
- [RVW02] Omer Reingold, Salil Vadhan, and Avi Wigderson. Entropy waves, the zig-zag graph product, and new constant-degree expanders. *Ann. Math*, 155(1):157–187, 2002.
- [San01] Rahul Santhanam. On separators, segregators and time versus space. In *CCC '01: Proceedings of the 16th Annual Conference on Computational Complexity*, page 286, Washington, DC, USA, 2001. IEEE Computer Society.
- [Wig04] A. Wigderson. A lecture at IPAM, UCLA, February 2004.

- [WX06] A. Wigderson and D. Xiao. Derandomizing the aw matrix-valued chernoff bound using pessimistic estimators and applications. *ECCC Report TR06-105*, 2006.