



Comment on “Uniform Derandomization from Pathetic Lower Bounds”

Eric Allender*
Department of Computer Science
Rutgers University
New Brunswick, NJ 08855, USA
allender@cs.rutgers.edu

V Arvind
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences
C.I.T. Campus
Chennai 600 113, India
arvind@imsc.res.in

Rahul Santhanam
School of Informatics
University of Edinburgh
Edinburgh EH8 9AD, UK
rsanthan@inf.ed.ac.uk

Fengming Wang[†]
Google, Inc.
76 9th Ave.
New York, NY, 10011 USA
wfengm@gmail.com

December 18, 2012

1 Permutation Problems Complete for L

In Definition 18 of our ECCC paper [AASW10] (which corresponds to Definition 3.5 of the journal version of this work [AASW12]), we define the language PWP and state that it was shown to be complete by Cook and McKenzie [MC87]. We thank Eric Miles and Emanuele Viola [MV12] for calling our attention to the following facts:

*Supported in part by NSF Grants CCF-0830133, CCF-0832787, and CCF-1064785. Some of this work was performed while this author was a visiting scholar at the University of Cape Town.

[†]Supported in part by NSF Grants CCF-0830133, CCF-0832787 and CCF-1064785.

- The correct citation for this paper is [CM87], instead of [MC87], and
- The problem that Cook and McKenzie actually show is complete, which they call Permutation Product (PP), is not obviously equivalent to PWP.

In this comment, we provide a simple reduction, to establish our claim that PWP is, indeed, complete for L. It suffices to provide a reduction from the L-complete language PP to PWP.

2 Reduction from PP to PWP

First, we present the problem PP, as defined in Cook-Mckenzie, which is L-complete: Given a list of permutations $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_t \in S_n$ and indices $i, j \in [n]$, check if the product $\prod_{k=1}^t \pi_k$ maps i to j .

Now, for completeness, we remind the reader of the definition of the problem PWP: For permutations $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_t \in S_n$ check if their product $\prod_{k=1}^t \pi_k$ is the identity.

There is a direct reduction from PP to PWP as explained below:

Firstly, we reduce the PP instance to one in which $i = j$ by considering the list of permutations $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_t, \pi_{t+1}$, where π_{t+1} is the transposition $(i j)$. Clearly, their product maps i to i iff the first t of them map i to j .

Next, enlarge the domain by one element, so that we will consider permutations in S_{n+1} instead of S_n : Replace each π_k by $\sigma_k \in S_{n+1}$, where σ_k coincides with π_k on $[n]$ and $\sigma_k(n+1) = n+1$. Let $\tau \in S_{n+1}$ denote the transposition $(i n+1)$. Let g denote the permutation $\prod_{k=1}^{t+1} \sigma_k$.

Claim. $\prod_{k=1}^{t+1} \pi_k$ maps i to i if and only if $g\tau g^{-1}\tau$ is the identity permutation.

Proof.

Suppose $\prod_{k=1}^{t+1} \pi_k$ maps i to i . Then $g(i) = i$. Since $g(n+1) = n+1$ we can see that $g\tau g^{-1}\tau$ maps i to i and $n+1$ to $n+1$. As for the other points $j \in [n+1]$, τ doesn't interfere and the combination of g and g^{-1} fixes them all.

Conversely, suppose $g\tau g^{-1}\tau$ is the identity. Then, in particular, $g\tau g^{-1}\tau(i) = i$ which means $g\tau g^{-1}(n+1) = i$ which implies $g\tau(n+1) = i$ which implies $g(i) = i$ which implies $\prod_{k=1}^{t+1} \pi_k$ maps i to i .

In summary, the reduction from PP to PWP is:

$$\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{t+1} \mapsto \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{t+1}\tau\pi_{t+1}^{-1} \dots \pi_1^{-1}\tau.$$

References

- [AASW10] E. Allender, V. Arvind, R. Santhanam, and F. Wang. Uniform derandomization from pathetic lower bounds. Technical Report TR10-069, Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity (ECCC), 2010.
- [AASW12] E. Allender, V. Arvind, R. Santhanam, and F. Wang. Uniform derandomization from pathetic lower bounds. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society Series A*, 370:3512–3535, 2012.
- [CM87] Stephen A. Cook and Pierre McKenzie. Problems complete for deterministic logarithmic space. *J. Algorithms*, 8(3):385–394, 1987.
- [MC87] Pierre McKenzie and Stephen A. Cook. The parallel complexity of Abelian permutation group problems. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 16(5):880–909, 1987.
- [MV12] E. Miles and E. Viola. Personal communication. 2012.