

## Accelerated Slide- and LLL-Reduction

Claus Peter Schnorr

Fachbereich Informatik und Mathematik, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, PSF 111932, D-60054 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. schnorr@cs.uni-frankfurt.de

April 19, 2011

**Abstract.** Given an LLL-basis *B* of dimension n = hk we accelerate slide-reduction with blocksize k to run under a reasonable assumption in  $\frac{1}{6}n^2h\log_{1+\varepsilon}\alpha$  local SVP-computations in dimension k, where  $\alpha \geq \frac{4}{3}$  measures the quality of the given LLL-basis and  $\varepsilon$  is the quality of slide-reduction. If the given basis *B* is already slide-reduced for blocksize k/2 then the number of local SVP-computations for slide-reduction with blocksize k reduces to  $\frac{2}{3}h^3(1+\log_{1+\varepsilon}\gamma_{k/2})$ . This bound is polynomial for arbitrary bit-length of *B*, it improves previous bounds considerably. We also accelerate LLL-reduction.

Keywords. Block reduction, LLL-reduction, slide reduction.

Introduction. Lattices are discrete subgroups of the  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A basis  $B = [\mathbf{b}_1, ..., \mathbf{b}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  of n linear independent vectors  $\mathbf{b}_1, ..., \mathbf{b}_n$  generates the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(B) = \{B\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  of dimension n. Lattice reduction algorithms transform a given basis into a basis consisting of short vectors.  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}) = \min_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}} (\mathbf{b}^t \mathbf{b})^{1/2}$  is the minimal length of nonzero  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}$ . The determinant of  $\mathcal{L}$  is det  $\mathcal{L} = (\det B^t B)^{1/2}$ . The Hermite bound  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L})^2 \leq \gamma_n (\det \mathcal{L})^{2/n}$  holds for all lattices  $\mathcal{L}$  of dimension n and the Hermite constant  $\gamma_n$ .

The LLL-algorithm of H.W. LENSTRA JR., A.K. LENSTRA AND L. LOVÁSZ [LLL82] transforms a given basis *B* in polynomial time into a basis *B* such that  $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda_1$ , where  $\alpha > 4/3$ . It is important to minimize the proven bound on  $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/\lambda_1$  for polynomial time reduction algorithms and to optimize the polynomial time.

The best known algorithms perform blockwise basis reduction for blocksize  $k \geq 2$  generalising the blocksize 2 of LLL-reduction. SCHNORR [S87] introduced blockwise HKZ-reduction. The algorithm of [GHKN06] improves blockwise HKZ-reduction by blockwise primal-dual reduction. So far slide-reduction of [GN08b] yields the smallest approximation factor  $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/\lambda_1 \leq (1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}}$ of polynomial time reduction algorithms. The algorithm for slide-reduction of [GN08b] performs  $O(nh \cdot \text{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$  local SVP-computations, where size(B) is the bit-length of B and  $\varepsilon$  is the quality of slide-reduction. This bound is polynomial in n if and only if size(B) is polynomial in n. The workload of the local SVP-computations dominates all the other workload. [NSV10] show that the bit complexity of LLL-reduction is quasi-linear in size(B). To obtain this quasi-linear bit-complexity the LLL-reduction is performed on the leading bits of the entries of the basis matrix (similar to Lehmer's gcd-algorithm) using fast arithmetic for the multiplication of integers and fast algorithms for matrix multiplication.

**Our results.** We improve the  $O(nh \cdot \text{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$  bound of [GN08b] in two ways. We concentrate the required conditions for slide-reduced bases in the concept of *almost slide-reduced bases* which enables faster reduction. We study the algorithm for slide-reduction on input bases that are LLL-bases. As LLL-reduction takes a minor part of the workload of slide-reduction this better characterizes the intrinsic workload of slide-reduction. Theorem 1 studies the number of local SVP-computations for slide-reduction with blocksize k of an input LLL-basis  $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$  for  $\delta, \alpha$  and dimension n = hk. It shows under a reasonable assumption that this number is at most  $\frac{1}{6} n^2 h \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$ . This bound holds for arbitrary bit-length of B. Corollary 1 shows that if the given basis is already slide-reduced for blocksize k/2 the number of local SVP-computations for slide-reduction with blocksize k further decreases to  $\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{1-2/k} h^3 (1 + \log_{1+\varepsilon} \gamma_{k/2})$ , reducing the number by a factor  $2k^{-2} \ln \gamma_{k/2}/\ln \alpha$ . For the first time this qualifies the advantage of first performing slide-reduction with half the blocksize.

Theorem 2 shows that the bounds proven in [GN08b] on  $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/\lambda_1$  and  $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/(\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n}$  still hold for almost slide-reduced bases even with a minor improvement.

We also accelerate LLL-reduction. Corollary 3 shows, under a reasonable assumption, that accelerated LLL-reduction computes an LLL-basis within  $\frac{n^3}{12}\log_2 \operatorname{size}(B)$  local LLL-reductions in dimension 2. The number of local LLL-reductions in dimension 2 is polynomial in n if the bit-length of B is at most exponential in n, i.e.,  $\operatorname{size}(B) = 2^{n^{O(1)}}$ . Lemma 2 shows that every LLL-basis for  $\delta$  such that  $1-\delta \leq 2^{-n-2}2^{-\operatorname{size}(B)}$  satisfies the property  $\max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}$  of ideal LLL-bases for  $\delta = 1$ .

**Notation.** Let B = QR, n = hk be the QR-decomposition of  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Let  $R_{\ell} = [r_{i,j}]_{k\ell-k+1 \leq i,j \leq k\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  be the submatrix of  $R = [r_{i,j}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  for the  $\ell$ -th block,  $\mathcal{D}_{\ell} = (\det R_{\ell})^2$ , and  $R'_{\ell} = [r_{i,j}]_{k\ell-k+2 \leq i,j \leq k\ell+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  for the  $\ell$ -th block slided by one unit.  $R'_{\ell}^* = (R'_{\ell})^*$  is the dual of  $R'_{\ell}$ .  $(R^*_k = U_k R^{-t}_k U_k$  for  $R_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ , where  $R^{-t}_k$  is the inverse transpose of  $R_k$  and  $U_k \in \{0,1\}^{k \times k}$  is the reversed identity matrix with non-zero entries  $u_{i,k-i+1} = 1$  for i = 1, ..., k.) Let  $\max_{R'_{\ell}T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$  denote the maximum of  $\bar{r}_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$ ,  $[\bar{r}_{i,j}] := \operatorname{GNF}(R'_{\ell}T)$  for all  $T \in \operatorname{GL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$  with QR-decomposition  $R'_{\ell}T = Q' \cdot \operatorname{GNF}(R'_{\ell}T)$ . Note that  $\max_{R'_{\ell}T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} = 1/\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R'^*_{\ell}))$ . Let  $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{b}_1, ..., \mathbf{b}_{i-1})^{\perp}$  be the orthogonal projection, and  $\mathbf{b}^*_i := \pi_i(\mathbf{b}_i)$  thus  $\|\mathbf{b}^*_i\| = r_{i,i}$ .

$$\begin{split} \textbf{LLL-bases.} & [\text{LLL82}] \text{ A basis } B = QR \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \text{ is LLL-basis for } \delta, \ \frac{1}{4} < \delta \leq 1 \text{ if} \\ \bullet \ |r_{i,j}| \leq \frac{1}{2} r_{i,i} \text{ holds for all } j > i, \\ \bullet \ \delta r_{i,i}^2 \leq r_{i,i+1}^2 + r_{i+1,i+1}^2 \text{ holds for } i = 1, ..., n-1. \\ \text{An LLL-basis } B \text{ for } \delta \text{ satisfies } \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \alpha \text{ for all } \ell = 1, ..., n-1 \\ \|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{4}} (\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n}, \\ \|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda_1. \end{split}$$

**Definition 1.** [GN08] An LLL-basis  $B = QR \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , n = kh is slide-reduced for  $\varepsilon \ge 0$  if **1.**  $r_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1} = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R_\ell))$  for  $\ell = 1, ..., h$ , **2.**  $\max_{R'_\ell T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} \le \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \cdot r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$  holds for  $\ell = 1, ..., h - 1$ .

1 slightly relaxes the condition of [GN08] that all bases  $R_{\ell}$  are HKZ-reduced. The following bounds have been proved by GAMA and NGUYEN in [GN08, Theorem 1] for slide-reduced bases:

**3.**  $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \le ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{n-1}{k-1}} (\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n},$  **4.**  $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \le ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}} \lambda_1.$ 

Almost slide-reduced bases. We call an LLL-basis  $B = QR \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , n = hk, almost slidereduced for  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  if for some  $\ell = \ell_{max}$  that maximizes  $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$ ,

1.  $r_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1} = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R_\ell))$  for  $\ell = 1$  and  $\ell = \ell_{max}$ ,

2.  $\max_{R'_{\ell}T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} \leq \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \cdot r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$  holds for  $\ell = \ell_{max}$  and  $\ell = h-1$ .

Theorem 2 shows that the bounds 3, 4 hold for almost slide-reduced bases.

Accelerated slide-reduction (ASR). In each round find some  $\ell = \ell_{max}$  that maximizes  $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$ . Compute a shortest vector of  $\mathcal{L}(R_{\ell+1})$  and transform  $R_{\ell+1}$  and B such that  $r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R_{\ell+1}))$ . By an SVP-computation for  $\mathcal{L}(R'_{\ell})$  check that **2** holds for  $\ell$  and if **2** does not hold transform  $R'_{\ell}$ and B such that **2** holds for  $\varepsilon = 0$  (this decreases  $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}$  by a factor  $\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$ ) otherwise terminate.

On termination continue with this transform on  $R_{\ell}$ ,  $R_{\ell+1}$ , B for  $\ell = \ell_{max}$  and  $\ell = h - 1$  until **2** holds for both  $\ell = \ell_{max}$  and  $\ell = h - 1$ . Finally make sure that **1** holds for  $\ell = 1$  and size-reduce B.

**Theorem 1.** Accelerated slide-reduction transforms a given LLL-basis  $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$  for  $\delta \leq 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1/(\delta - 1/4)$ , n = hk, within  $\frac{1}{12}n^2h \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha = n^2h \frac{1+O(\varepsilon)}{12\cdot\varepsilon} \ln \alpha$  rounds of 2 local SVPcomputations either into an almost slide-reduced basis for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , or else arrives at  $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(B) =_{def} \prod_{\ell=1}^{h-1} (\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1})^{h\ell-\ell^2} = (\det \mathcal{L})^{2h} / \prod_{i=1}^{h} \prod_{j=i}^{h} \mathcal{D}_j^2$ .

*Proof.* We use the novel version  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  of the Lovász invariant to measure *B*'s reduction. Note that  $h^2/4 - (\ell - h/2)^2 = h\ell - \ell^2$  is symmetric to  $\ell = h/2$  with maximal point  $\ell = \lceil h/2 \rfloor$ . The input LLL-basis  $B^{(in)}$  for  $\delta \leq 1$  satisfies for  $\alpha = 1/(\delta - 1/4)$  that  $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq \alpha^{k^2}$  and thus

$$\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)}) \le \alpha^{k^2 s}$$
 for  $s := \sum_{\ell=1}^{h-1} h\ell - \ell^2 = \frac{h^3 - h}{6}$ .

Fact. Each round that does not lead to termination results in

$$1 + \varepsilon$$
)  $\mathcal{D}(B^{new}) \le \mathcal{D}(B)/(1 + \varepsilon)^2$ .

This is because the round changes merely the factor  $\prod_{t=\ell-1,\ell,\ell+1} (\mathcal{D}_t/\mathcal{D}_{t+1})^{t(h-t)} = (\mathcal{D}_\ell\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1})\mathcal{D}_\ell^2 \text{ of }$ 

of  $\mathcal{D}(B)$ , where  $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$  does not change. Hence, after at most

 $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}^{new} < \mathcal{D}_{\ell}/(2)$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}\log_{1+\varepsilon}\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\log_{1+\varepsilon}(\alpha^{k^{2}s}) = \frac{1}{2}k^{2}\frac{h^{3}-h}{6}\log_{1+\varepsilon}\alpha < \frac{n^{2}h}{12}\log_{1+\varepsilon}\alpha$ 

rounds either *B* is almost slide-reduced for  $\varepsilon$  or else  $\mathcal{D}(B) \leq 1$ . The  $\frac{n^2h}{12}\log_{1+\varepsilon}\alpha$  bound includes the rounds on termination. Clearly  $\log_{1+\varepsilon}\alpha = \ln \alpha / \ln(1+\varepsilon)$  and  $1/\ln(1+\varepsilon) = \frac{1+O(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$ .

**Conjecture.** We conjecture that  $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$  does not appear for output bases obtained after a maximal number of rounds. If  $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$  then  $\mathbf{E}[\ln(\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}] < 0$  holds for the expectation  $\mathbf{E}$  for random  $\ell$  with  $\mathbf{Pr}(\ell) = 6\frac{\ell h - \ell^2}{h^3 - h}$ . (We have  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{h-1} \mathbf{Pr}(\ell) = 1$ .) In this sense  $\mathcal{D}_{\ell} < \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$  would hold "on the average" if  $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$  whereas such  $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}, \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$  are extremely unlikely in practice.

**Time bound compared to [GN08].** The algorithm for slide-reduction of [GN08] has been shown to perform  $O(nh \operatorname{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$  local SVP-computations, where  $\operatorname{size}(B)$  is the bit-length of B. The number of rounds of Theorem 1 is polynomial in n even if  $\operatorname{size}(B)$  is exponential in n.

Note that **ASR** can accelerate the [GN08] algorithm at best by a factor h - 1 because the [GN08] algorithm iterates all rounds for  $\ell = 1, ..., h$  which also covers  $\ell_{max}$ , whereas **ASR** iterates all rounds for the current  $\ell_{max}$ . Theorem 1 decreases the  $O(nh \operatorname{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$  bound of [GN08] to  $\frac{n^2h}{6} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$  and requires only minor conditions on the input and output basis. In general it decreases the  $O(nh \operatorname{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$  bound of [GN08] to  $\frac{n^2h}{6} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$  and requires only minor conditions on the input and output basis. In general it decreases the  $O(nh \operatorname{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$  bound of [GN08] by the factor  $\frac{n}{6} \ln \alpha/\operatorname{size}(B) = \Theta(1/(6n \max_{\ell} \log_2 \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}\|))$ .

Iterative slide-reduction with increasing blocksize. Consider the blocksize  $k = 2^j$ . We transform the given LLL-basis  $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$  for  $\delta, \alpha, n = hk$  iteratively as follows:

FOR i = 1, ..., j DO transform B by calling **ASR** with blocksize  $2^i$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

We bound the number #*It* of rounds of the last **ASR**-call with blocksize  $k = 2^{j}$ . The input *B* of this final **ASR**-call satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2})^{\frac{k/2}{k/2-1}4}$  as follows from (3) with blocksize k/2. Hence  $\mathcal{D}(B) \leq ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2})^{\frac{2k}{k/2-1}\frac{h^3-h}{6}}$ .

As each round decreases  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  by a factor  $(1+\varepsilon)^{-2}$  we see that

$$t It \le \frac{1}{2} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}(B) \le \frac{k}{k/2-1} \frac{h^3 - h}{6} \log_{1+\varepsilon} ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2}) = \frac{h^3 - h}{1 - 2/k} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon)}{3 \cdot \varepsilon} \ln \gamma_{k/2}$$

provided that  $\mathcal{D}(B) \geq 1$  holds on termination. Here  $\log_{1+\varepsilon} \gamma_{k/2} = \ln \gamma_{k/2} / \ln(1+\varepsilon) = \frac{1+O(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \gamma_{k/2}$ . For k = 4, resp. k = 8 this is less than a 0.603, resp. 0.201 fraction of the number of rounds  $\frac{n^2 h}{12} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$  of Theorem 1, where the input is an LLL-basis for  $\delta, \alpha$ . The final **ASR**-call dominates the workload of all other calls together, including the workload for the LLL-reduction of the input basis. We see that iterative slide-reduction for  $k = 2^j$  requires only an  $O(k^{-2} \ln \gamma_{k/2})$ -fraction of the workload of the direct **ASR**-call as in Theorem 1. In particular we have proved

**Corollary 1.** Given an almost slide-reduced basis  $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and blocksize k/2, n = hk, **ASR** finds within  $\frac{1}{3} \frac{h^3 - h}{(1-2/k)} \log_{1+\varepsilon}((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2})$  rounds of two local SVP-computations either an almost slide-reduced basis for blocksize k and  $\varepsilon$  or else arrives at  $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ .

**Theorem 2.** The bounds **3**, **4** hold for every almost slide-reduced basis  $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$  and the exponent of  $(1 + \varepsilon)$  in **3**, **4** can roughly be halved, multiplying it by  $\frac{1+1/k}{2}$ .

*Proof.* We see from **2** and the Hermite bound on  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R'_{\ell})^*) = 1/r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$  that

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\ell}/r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}^2 \le ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^k r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}^{2(k-1)} \tag{1}$$

holds for  $\ell = \ell_{max}$  and  $\ell = h - 1$ , where  $\mathcal{D}'_{\ell} := (\det R'_{\ell})^2$ . Moreover, the Hermite bound for  $R_{\ell}$  yields

$$r_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1}^{2(k-1)} \le \gamma_k^k \mathcal{D}_\ell / r_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1}^2.$$

Combining these two inequalities with  $D'_{\ell}/r^2_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} = D_{\ell}/r^2_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1}$  yields

$$r_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1} \le ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{\kappa}{k-1}} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} \quad \text{for } \ell = \ell_{max} \text{ and } \ell = h-1.$$
(2)

Next we prove

$$\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \le \left((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k\right)^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} \quad \text{for } \ell = 0, ..., h-1.$$
 (3)

*Proof.* As (1) holds for  $\ell = \ell_{max}$  and **1** holds for  $\ell + 1$  the Hermite bound on  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R_{\ell+1}))$  yields

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\ell} \le (1+\varepsilon)^k \gamma_k^k r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}^{2k} \le (1+\varepsilon)^k \gamma_k^{2k} \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$$

 $\mathcal{D}_{\ell} = r_{k\ell-k+1}^2 {}_{k\ell-k+1} \mathcal{D}'_{\ell} / r_{k\ell+1}^2 {}_{k\ell+1} \leq \left( (1+\varepsilon) \gamma_k \right)^{\frac{2k}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}'_{\ell}.$ We see from (2) that (4)Combining the two previous inequalities yields for  $\ell = \ell_{max}$ 

$$\mathcal{D}_{\ell} \le ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{2k}{k-1}}(1+\varepsilon)^k \gamma_k^{2k} \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} = ((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}}\gamma_k)^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}.$$

Moreover if (3) holds for  $\ell_{max}$  it clearly holds for all  $\ell = 1, ..., h - 1$ .

**3.** The Hermite bound for  $R_1$  and (3) imply for  $\ell = 1, ..., h$  that

$$\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\|^{2} \leq \gamma_{k} \mathcal{D}_{1}^{1/k} \leq \gamma_{k} \left( (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_{k} \right)^{\frac{2k(\ell-1)}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}_{\ell}^{1/k}.$$
(5)

The product of these h inequalities for  $\ell=1,...,h$  yields

$$\|\mathbf{b}_1\|^{2h} \leq \gamma_k^h ((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{kh(h-1)}{k-1}} (\det \mathcal{L})^{2/k}.$$

This proves and improves **3** to (without using that **2** holds for  $\ell = h - 1$ .)

$$\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\|^{2}/(\det \mathcal{L})^{2/n} \leq \gamma_{k} ((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_{k})^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}} = (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \frac{n-k}{k-1} \gamma_{k}^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}}$$
  
$$\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\|^{2} \leq \gamma_{k} ((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_{k})^{\frac{2k(h-2)}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}_{h-1}^{1/k}.$$

4. (5) for  $\ell = h - 1$  shows that

Clearly 2 for  $\ell = h - 1$  implies (2) and (4) for  $\ell = h - 1$ , and thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{b}_{1}\|^{2} &\leq \gamma_{k} \left( (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_{k} \right)^{\frac{2k(h-2)}{k-1} + \frac{2}{k-1}} (\mathcal{D}'_{h-1})^{1/k} & \text{(by (4) for } \ell = h-1) \\ &\leq \gamma_{k} \left( (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_{k} \right)^{\frac{2kh-4k+2}{k-1}} (1+\varepsilon) \gamma_{k} r_{n-k+1,n-k+1}^{2}. & \text{(by 2 for } \ell = h-1) \end{aligned}$$

(we also used that  $r_{n-k+1,n-k+1}^{-2} = \lambda_1^2(\mathcal{L}(R_{h-1}'^*)) \le \gamma_k/D_{h-1}'$  holds by the Hermite bound for  $R_{h-1}'^*$ .)  $< ((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{2\frac{n-k}{k-1}} r_{n-k+1,n-k+1}^2$ .

W.l.o.g  $\pi_{n-k+1}(\mathbf{b}) \neq \mathbf{0}$  holds for some  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $\|\mathbf{b}\| = \lambda_1$ , otherwise we remove the last k vectors of the basis. Hence  $r_{n-k+1,n-k+1} \leq ||\pi_{n-k+1}(\mathbf{b})|| \leq \lambda_1$ . The latter inequalities yield the claim

$$\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \le \left( (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k \right)^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}} \lambda_1.$$

We have roughly halved the exponent of  $(1 + \varepsilon)$  in **3** and **4** multiplying it by at most  $\frac{1+1/k}{2}$ . 

Time bounds for extremely small  $\varepsilon$ . We measure the reducedness of a basis B by the integer m defined by

$$2^{2^{m-1}} < \max_{\ell} (\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}) \gamma_k^{-\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} \le 2^{2^m}.$$
(6)

This integer *m* exists if and only if  $\max_{\ell}(\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}) > \gamma_k^{\overline{k-1}}$ Next we show that every round of **ASR** with initial value *m* decreases  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  by a factor  $2^{-2^{m-1}}$ . The transform of  $R_{\ell}$ ,  $R_{\ell+1}$ , B for  $\ell = \ell_{max}$  results in (2), (3) holding f or  $\varepsilon = 0$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}^{new} / \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}^{new} \le \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$ . Multiplying this inequality with  $2^{2^{m-1}}\gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} < \mathcal{D}_\ell^{old}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}^{old}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\ell^{new}\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}^{new} = \mathcal{D}_\ell^{old}\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}^{old}$  yields  $2^{2^{m-2}} \mathcal{D}_{\ell}^{new} < \mathcal{D}_{\ell}^{old} \quad \text{hence} \quad \mathcal{D}(B^{new}) < \mathcal{D}(B^{old}) 2^{-2^{m-1}}.$ (7)

We denote  $M_0 := \max(\|\mathbf{b}_1\|^2, ..., \|\mathbf{b}_n\|^2)$  for the input basis B.

**Lemma 1.** If B is almost slide-reduced for  $\varepsilon < \frac{k-1}{6k^2}/(2^n M_0)$  then  $\max_{\ell}(\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}) \le \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$ .

Proof. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be minimal such that B is almost slide-reduced for  $\varepsilon$ . It follows from the proof of Theorem 1 that  $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} = ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$  holds for some  $\ell$ . Then (6) implies  $(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{k^2}{k-1}} \le 2^{2^m}$ , thus  $\varepsilon < \frac{k-1}{k^2} 2^m$ . (8)

If B = QR is not almost slide-reduced for some  $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$  then any nearly maximal such  $\varepsilon'$  satisfies  $\max_{R'_{\ell}T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} \approx (1 + \varepsilon')r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$  for some  $\ell$ .

It follows from [LLL82, (1.28)] for the integer matrix B that  $r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}M_0^n \ge 1$  and thus  $\varepsilon' \gtrsim (\max_{R',T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} - r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1})/r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} \ge 1/M_0^n$ .

This contradicts (8) if  $\frac{k-1}{k^2} 2^m < 1/M_0^n$ , and thus excludes that  $-m > n \log_2 M_0$ .

(3) and (6) imply  $2^{2^{m-1}} < (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$ , and thus  $2^{m-1} < \frac{2k^2}{k-1}\log_2(1+\varepsilon) < \frac{2k^2}{k-1}\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln 2}$ .

Hence  $-m > n \log_2 M_0$  which is impossible. This implies by (6) that  $\max_{\ell} \mathcal{D}_{\ell} / \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$ .  $\Box$ 

Next we bound the number  $\#It_m$  of rounds until the current m either decreases to m-1 or arrives at  $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ . During this reduction the m defined by (6) implies that (7) holds for each round. Moreover, initially  $\max_{\ell} \mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} 2^{2^m}$ . This shows for the initial and final bases for the reduction of m to m-1:  $\#It_m \leq \log_2(\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)})/\mathcal{D}(B^{(fin)}))/2^{m-1}$  $\leq \frac{h^3-h}{2}(2^m/2^{m-1}+2^{-m+1}\frac{2k^2}{k-1}\log_2\gamma_k).$ 

Thus within  $O(nh^2 \log_2 k)$  rounds **ASR** either decreases  $m \ge 0$  to m-1 or arrives at  $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ .

**Open problem.** Can **ASR** perform for  $m \ll 0$  more than  $O(nh^2 \log_2 k)$  rounds until either the current *m* decreases to m-1 or that  $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ ? We can exclude this by the following rule of

**Early Termination (ET).** Terminate as soon as  $\mathcal{D}(B) < \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}\frac{h^3-h}{6}}$ .

 $\mathcal{D}(B) < \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}\frac{h^3-h}{6}} \text{ implies that } \mathbf{E}[\ln(\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1})] < \frac{2k^2}{k-1}\ln\gamma_k \text{ holds for random } \ell, \text{ where } \mathbf{Pr}(\ell) = 6\frac{\ell h - \ell^2}{h^3 - h}.$  In this sense (3), (4) and **3** hold for  $\varepsilon = 0$  "on the average".

**Corollary 2.** ASR terminates under ET for arbitrary  $\varepsilon \ge 0$  in  $\frac{h^3-h}{3}(m+|m_0|)$  rounds, where  $m, m_0$  are the m-value of the input and final basis defined by (6). Moreover  $|m_0| \le n \log_2 M_0$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $\#It_m$  the number of rounds until the current m decreases to m-1. During this reduction the m of (6) satisfies  $\max_{\ell} \mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} > 2^{2^{m-1}} \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$ . This implies by (7) and **ET** for the initial and final bases for the reduction of m to m-1:

$$#It_m \le \log_2(\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)})/\mathcal{D}(B^{(fin)}))/2^{m-1} \le \log_2(2^{2^m \frac{h^3 - h}{6}})/2^{m-1} = \frac{h^3 - h}{3}.$$

Thus within  $\frac{h^3-h}{3}$  rounds **ASR** either decreases m to m-1 or arrives at  $\mathcal{D}(B) < \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} \frac{h^3-h}{3}$ .

Hence **ASR** terminates within  $\frac{h^3-h}{3}(m+|m_0|)$  rounds, where  $|m_0| \le n \log_2 M_0$  holds by the proof of Lemma 1.

Accelerated LLL-reduction (ALR). We accelerate LLL-reduction by performing either Gaußreductions or LLL-swaps on  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$  for an  $\ell$  that maximizes the resulting reduction progress.

We associate to a basis *B* satisfying 
$$\max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 > \frac{4}{3}$$
 the integer *m* defined by  

$$2^{2^{m-1}} < \max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 / \frac{4}{3} \le 2^{2^m}.$$
(9)

If  $m \ge 0$  we transform in the current round  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$  for an  $\ell$  that maximizes  $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2$  by

Gauß-reducing the basis  $\pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell}), \pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})$  of dimension 2. (Gauß-reducing the basis  $\pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell}), \pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})$  means to LLL-reduce  $\pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell}), \pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})$  with  $\delta = 1$ .) This decreases  $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2$  by a factor less than  $2^{-2^m} < \frac{1}{2}$ .

If m < 0 or m does not exist, we transform in the current round  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$  for an  $\ell$  that maximizes  $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*)\|^2$  after size-reducing  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$  against  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}$  by setting  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1} := \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1} - \lceil r_{\ell,\ell+1}/r_{\ell/\ell} \rfloor \mathbf{b}_{\ell}$ . If  $\|\pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*)\|^2 \le \delta \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2$  we swap  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$  and otherwise terminate.

On termination we size-reduce the basis B.

**Theorem 3.** Given an LLL-basis  $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$  for  $\delta' < 1$ ,  $\alpha' = 1/(\delta' - 1/4)$  **ALR** with  $\delta$  satisfying  $1 > \delta > \max(\delta', \frac{1}{2})$  arrives within  $\frac{n^3}{12} \log_{1/\delta} \alpha'$  rounds of Gauß-reductions, resp. LLL-swaps either at an LLL-basis for  $\delta$ , or else arrives at  $\mathcal{D}(B) := \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2)^{\ell(n-\ell)} < 1$ .

*Proof.* We use  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  for blocksize 1,  $\mathcal{D}(B) := \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2)^{\ell(n-\ell)}$ . Each round decreases  $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2$  by a factor  $\delta$ , and both  $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  by a factor  $\delta^2$ . Then the number of rounds until either an LLL-basis for  $\delta$  appears or else  $\mathcal{D}(B) \leq 1$  is at most

$$\frac{1}{2}\log_{1/\delta}\mathcal{D}(B) \le \frac{1}{2}\log_{1/\delta}(\alpha')^{\frac{n^3-n}{6}} \le \frac{n^3}{12}\log_{1/\delta}\alpha'.$$

The workload per round. If each round completely size-reduces  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$  against  $\mathbf{b}_1, ..., \mathbf{b}_{\ell-1}$  it requires  $O(n^2)$  arithmetic steps. If we only size-reduce  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$  against  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}$  then a round costs merely O(n) arithmetic steps but the length of the integers explodes. This explosion can be prevented at low costs by doing size-reduction in segments, see [S06], [KS01].

**Lemma 2.** If B is LLL-basis for  $\delta$  and  $1 - \delta < 2^{-n-2}/M_0$  then  $\max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \le \frac{4}{3}$ .

*Proof.* The LLL-basis B satisfies  $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta - 1/4} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2$ . Therefore (9) implies  $2^{2^{m-1}} < \frac{1}{\delta - 1/4} \frac{3}{4}$ . Setting  $\delta = 1 - \varepsilon$  this shows that

 $2^{m-1} < \log_2 \frac{3}{4\delta - 1} < \log_2 \frac{1}{1 - \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon} = \ln(1 - \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon) / \ln 2$ <  $-1.45 \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon < 2^{-n-1} / M_0.$ 

This implies  $m < -n \log_2 M_0$  which is impossible ( by the proof of Lemma 1 ). This shows that m is undefined and thus  $\max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / |\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}$ .

**Corollary 3.** Let m be the m-value of the input basis and  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$   $c \geq 0$  be constant. Within  $\frac{n^3}{12}(m+2.22 \cdot 2^c)$  rounds **ALR** either decreases the initial m to  $m \leq -c$  or else arrives at  $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ . Moreover  $m \leq \log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 M_0$ .

Surprisingly, the number of rounds in Cor. 3 is polynomial in n if  $\log_2 \log_2 M_0 \leq n^{O(1)}$ .

*Proof.* We have shown that ASR with k = 2 either decreases within at most

$$\frac{(n/2)^3}{2} \left( 2^m / 2^{m-1} + 2^{-m+1} 8 \log_2 \sqrt{4/3} \right)$$

rounds either the current m to m-1 or arrives at  $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ . Therefore **ALR** either decreases the m of the input-basis within at most

$$\frac{n^3}{24}(2m+2^4\log_2\sqrt{4/3}\sum_{i=-c}^m 2^{-i}) < \frac{n^3}{12}(m+2^{c+4}\log_2\sqrt{4/3}) < \frac{n^3}{12}(m+2.22\cdot 2^c)$$
rounds to  $m = -|c|$  or else arrives at  $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ 

The bound  $m \le \log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 M_0$  follows from (9) and  $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \ge 1/M_0^n$ .

**Comparison with previous algorithms for LLL-reduction.** The LLL was originally proved [LLL82] to be of bit-complexity  $O(n^{5+\varepsilon}(\log_2 M_0)^{2+\varepsilon})$  performing  $O(n^2 \log_{1/\delta} M_0)$  rounds, each round size-reduces some  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}$  in  $n^2$  arithmetic steps on integers of bit-length  $n \log_2 M_0$ ;  $\varepsilon$  in the exponent comes from the fast FFT-multiplication of integers. The large bit-length of integers  $n \log_2 M_0$  has been reduced to  $n + \log_2 M_0$  by orthogonalizing the basis in floating point arithmetic. The number of rounds in Cor. 3 is independent of  $M_0$ . This is because **ALR** maximizes the reduction

The number of rounds in Cor. 3 is independent of  $M_0$ . This is because **ALR** maximizes the reduction progress per round. To minimize the workload of size-reduction **ALR** should be organized according

to segment reduction of [KS01], [S06] doing most of the size-reductions locally on segments of k basis vectors. The bit-complexity of Gauß-reduction of  $\pi_{\ell}(b_{\ell}), \pi_{\ell}(b_{\ell+1})$  is quasi-linear in size(B) [NSV10]. Therefore we do not split up this Gauss-reduction into LLL-swaps. If the current m is large then Gauß-reduction of  $\pi_{\ell}(b_{\ell}), \pi_{\ell}(b_{\ell+1})$  for  $\ell = \ell_{max}$  decreases  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  be the factor  $2^{-m}$  while LLL-swaps guarantee only a decrease by the factor  $\frac{3}{4}$ .

A result that is very close to Cor. 3 and Cor. 4 has been proved independently in Lemma 12 of [HPS11]:  $\max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3} + \varepsilon$  can be achieved in polynomial time for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Early Termination (ET).** Terminate as soon as  $\mathcal{D}(B) < (\frac{4}{3})^{\frac{n^3-n}{6}}$ .

 $\mathcal{D}(B) < \frac{4}{3} \frac{n^3 - n}{6}$  implies that  $\mathbf{E}[\ln(\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2)] < \ln(4/3)$  holds for random  $\ell$  and  $\mathbf{Pr}(\ell) = 6 \frac{\ell h = \ell^2}{h^3 - h}$ . In this sense the output basis approximates "on the average" the logarithm of the inequality  $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/(\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n} \leq (\frac{4}{3})^{\frac{n-1}{4}}$  that holds for ideal LLL-bases with  $\delta = 1$ .

**Corollary 4.** ALR terminates under ET in  $n^3(m+|m_0|)/3$  rounds, where  $m, m_0$  are the m-values of the input and output basis. Moreover  $|m_0| \le n \log_2 M_0$  and  $m \le \log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 M_0$ .

*Proof.* Consider the number  $\#It_m$  of rounds until either the current m decreases to m-1 or else  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  becomes less than  $(4/3)^{\frac{n^3-n}{6}}$ . As in the proof of Corollary 2 each round with m results in Gauß-reduction under  $\pi_\ell$  if  $m \ge 0$ , resp. an LLL-swap if m < 0, results in

 $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^{*new}\|^2 < \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^{*old}\|^2 2^{-2^{m-2}}$  hence  $\mathcal{D}(B^{new}) < \mathcal{D}(B^{old}) 2^{-2^{m-1}}$ .

Under **ET** this shows as in the proof of Cor. 1 that

$$#It_m < \log_2(\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)})/(\mathcal{D}(B^{(fin)}))/2^{m-1} \le (2^m \frac{n^3 - n}{6})/2^{m-1} = \frac{n^3 - n}{3}.$$

Hence *m* decreases to m-1 under **ET** in less than  $\frac{n^3-n}{3}$  rounds. The proof of Lemma 1 shows that  $|m_0| \leq n \log_2 M_0$ .

**Open problem.** Does **ALR** realize  $max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}$  in a polynomial number of rounds ? Can **ALR** perform for  $m \ll 0$  without **ET** more than  $O(n^3)$  rounds until either the current m decreases to m-1 or that  $\mathcal{D}(B) \leq 1$ ? We can exclude this for  $m \geq 0$  and under **ET** also for m < 0.

## References

- [NSV10] A. Novocia, D. Stehlé and G. Villard An LLL-reduction algorithm with quasilinear time complexity. Technical Report, version 1, Nov. 2010.
- [GHKN] N. Gama, N. Howgrave-Graham, H. Koy and P, Q. Nguyen, Rankin's constant and blockwise lattice reduction. In Proc. of CRYPTO'06, LNCS 4117, Springer, pp. 112–130, 2006.
- [HPS10] G. Hanrot, X. Pujol and D. Stehlé, Terminating BKZ. Preprint, submitted for publication, personal communication, 21.2.2011.
- [GN08] N. Gama and P. Nguyen, Finding Short Lattice Vectors within Mordell's Inequality, In Proc. of the ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing **STOC'08**, pp. 208–216, 2008.
- [GN08b] N. Gama and P.Q. Nguyen, Predicting lattice reduction, in Proc. EUROCRYPT 2008, LNCS 4965, Springer-Verlag, pp. 31–51, 2008.
- [KS01] H. Koy and C.P. Schnorr Segment LLL-reduction of lattice bases, In Proceedings of the 2001 Cryptography and Lattice Conference (CACL'01), LNCS 2146, Springer-Verlag, pp. 67-80, 2001.
- [LLL82] H.W. Lenstra Jr., A.K. Lenstra and L. Lovász, Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients, Mathematische Annalen 261, pp. 515–534, 1982.
- [S87] C.P. Schnorr, A hierarchy of polynomial time lattice basis reduction algorithms. Theoret. Comput. Sci., 53, pp. 201–224, 1987.
- [S06] C.P. Schnorr, Fast LLL-type lattice reduction, Onformation and Computation 204, pp. 1–25, 2006.

ECCC