

Accelerated Slide- and LLL-Reduction

Claus Peter Schnorr

Fachbereich Informatik und Mathematik, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, PSF 111932, D-60054 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. schnorr@cs.uni-frankfurt.de

May 2, 2011

Abstract. Given an LLL-basis *B* of dimension n = hk we accelerate slide-reduction with blocksize k to run under a reasonable assumption in $\frac{1}{6}n^2h\log_{1+\varepsilon}\alpha$ local SVP-computations in dimension k, where $\alpha \geq \frac{4}{3}$ measures the quality of the given LLL-basis and ε is the quality of slide-reduction. If the given basis *B* is already slide-reduced for blocksize k/2 then the number of local SVP-computations for slide-reduction with blocksize k reduces to $\frac{2}{3}h^3(1+\log_{1+\varepsilon}\gamma_{k/2})$. This bound is polynomial for arbitrary bit-length of *B*, it improves previous bounds considerably. We also accelerate LLL-reduction.

Keywords. Block reduction, LLL-reduction, slide reduction.

Introduction. Lattices are discrete subgroups of the \mathbb{R}^n . A basis $B = [\mathbf{b}_1, ..., \mathbf{b}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of n linear independent vectors $\mathbf{b}_1, ..., \mathbf{b}_n$ generates the lattice $\mathcal{L}(B) = \{B\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ of dimension n. Lattice reduction algorithms transform a given basis into a basis consisting of short vectors. $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}) = \min_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}} (\mathbf{b}^t \mathbf{b})^{1/2}$ is the minimal length of nonzero $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}$. The determinant of \mathcal{L} is det $\mathcal{L} = (\det B^t B)^{1/2}$. The Hermite bound $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L})^2 \leq \gamma_n (\det \mathcal{L})^{2/n}$ holds for all lattices \mathcal{L} of dimension n and the Hermite constant γ_n .

The LLL-algorithm of H.W. LENSTRA JR., A.K. LENSTRA AND L. LOVÁSZ [LLL82] transforms a given basis *B* in polynomial time into a basis *B* such that $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda_1$, where $\alpha > 4/3$. It is important to minimize the proven bound on $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/\lambda_1$ for polynomial time reduction algorithms and to optimize the polynomial time.

The best known algorithms perform blockwise basis reduction for blocksize $k \geq 2$ generalising the blocksize 2 of LLL-reduction. SCHNORR [S87] introduced blockwise HKZ-reduction. The algorithm of [GHKN06] improves blockwise HKZ-reduction by blockwise primal-dual reduction. So far slide-reduction of [GN08b] yields the smallest approximation factor $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/\lambda_1 \leq (1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}}$ of polynomial time reduction algorithms. The algorithm for slide-reduction of [GN08b] performs $O(nh \cdot \text{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$ local SVP-computations, where size(B) is the bit-length of B and ε is the quality of slide-reduction. This bound is polynomial in n if and only if size(B) is polynomial in n. The workload of the local SVP-computations dominates all the other workload. [NSV10] show that the bit complexity of LLL-reduction is quasi-linear in size(B). To obtain this quasi-linear bit-complexity the LLL-reduction is performed on the leading bits of the entries of the basis matrix (similar to Lehmer's gcd-algorithm) using fast arithmetic for the multiplication of integers and fast algorithms for matrix multiplication.

Our results. We improve the $O(nh \cdot \text{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$ bound of [GN08b] in two ways. We concentrate the required conditions for slide-reduced bases in the concept of *almost slide-reduced bases* which enables faster reduction. We study the algorithm for slide-reduction on input bases that are LLL-bases. As LLL-reduction takes a minor part of the workload of slide-reduction this better characterizes the intrinsic workload of slide-reduction. Theorem 1 studies the number of local SVP-computations for slide-reduction with blocksize k of an input LLL-basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for δ, α and dimension n = hk. It shows under a reasonable assumption that this number is at most $\frac{1}{6} n^2 h \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$. This bound holds for arbitrary bit-length of B. Corollary 1 shows that if the given basis is already slide-reduced for blocksize k/2 the number of local SVP-computations for slide-reduction with blocksize k further decreases to $\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{1-2/k} h^3 (1 + \log_{1+\varepsilon} \gamma_{k/2})$, reducing the number by a factor $2k^{-2} \ln \gamma_{k/2}/\ln \alpha$. For the first time this qualifies the advantage of first performing slide-reduction with half the blocksize.

Theorem 2 shows that the bounds proven in [GN08b] on $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/\lambda_1$ and $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/(\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n}$ still hold for almost slide-reduced bases even with a minor improvement.

We also accelerate LLL-reduction. Corollary 3 shows, under a reasonable assumption, that accelerated LLL-reduction computes an LLL-basis within $\frac{n^3}{12}\log_2 \operatorname{size}(B)$ local LLL-reductions in dimension 2. The number of local LLL-reductions in dimension 2 is polynomial in n if the bit-length of B is at most exponential in n, i.e., $\operatorname{size}(B) = 2^{n^{O(1)}}$. Lemma 2 shows that every LLL-basis for δ such that $1-\delta \leq 2^{-n-2}2^{-\operatorname{size}(B)}$ satisfies the property $\max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}$ of ideal LLL-bases for $\delta = 1$.

Notation. Let B = QR, n = hk be the QR-decomposition of $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Let $R_{\ell} = [r_{i,j}]_{k\ell-k+1 \leq i,j \leq k\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ be the submatrix of $R = [r_{i,j}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ for the ℓ -th block, $\mathcal{D}_{\ell} = (\det R_{\ell})^2$, and $R'_{\ell} = [r_{i,j}]_{k\ell-k+2 \leq i,j \leq k\ell+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ for the ℓ -th block slided by one unit. $R'_{\ell}^* = (R'_{\ell})^*$ is the dual of R'_{ℓ} . $(R^*_k = U_k R^{-t}_k U_k$ for $R_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, where R^{-t}_k is the inverse transpose of R_k and $U_k \in \{0,1\}^{k \times k}$ is the reversed identity matrix with non-zero entries $u_{i,k-i+1} = 1$ for i = 1, ..., k.) Let $\max_{R'_{\ell}T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$ denote the maximum of $\bar{r}_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$, $[\bar{r}_{i,j}] := \operatorname{GNF}(R'_{\ell}T)$ for all $T \in \operatorname{GL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$ with QR-decomposition $R'_{\ell}T = Q' \cdot \operatorname{GNF}(R'_{\ell}T)$. Note that $\max_{R'_{\ell}T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} = 1/\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R'^*_{\ell}))$. Let $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{b}_1, ..., \mathbf{b}_{i-1})^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal projection, and $\mathbf{b}^*_i := \pi_i(\mathbf{b}_i)$ thus $\|\mathbf{b}^*_i\| = r_{i,i}$.

$$\begin{split} \textbf{LLL-bases.} & [\text{LLL82}] \text{ A basis } B = QR \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \text{ is LLL-basis for } \delta, \ \frac{1}{4} < \delta \leq 1 \text{ if} \\ \bullet \ |r_{i,j}| \leq \frac{1}{2} r_{i,i} \text{ holds for all } j > i, \\ \bullet \ \delta r_{i,i}^2 \leq r_{i,i+1}^2 + r_{i+1,i+1}^2 \text{ holds for } i = 1, ..., n-1. \\ \text{An LLL-basis } B \text{ for } \delta \text{ satisfies } \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \alpha \text{ for all } \ell = 1, ..., n-1 \\ \|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{4}} (\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n}, \\ \|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda_1. \end{split}$$

Definition 1. [GN08] An LLL-basis $B = QR \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, n = kh is slide-reduced for $\varepsilon \ge 0$ if **1.** $r_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1} = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R_\ell))$ for $\ell = 1, ..., h$, **2.** $\max_{R'_\ell T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} \le \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \cdot r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$ holds for $\ell = 1, ..., h - 1$.

1 slightly relaxes the condition of [GN08] that all bases R_{ℓ} are HKZ-reduced. The following bounds have been proved by GAMA and NGUYEN in [GN08, Theorem 1] for slide-reduced bases:

3. $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \le ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{n-1}{k-1}} (\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n},$ **4.** $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \le ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}}\lambda_1.$

Almost slide-reduced bases. We call an LLL-basis $B = QR \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, n = hk, almost slidereduced for $\varepsilon \geq 0$ if for some $\ell = \ell_{max}$ that maximizes $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$,

1. $r_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1} = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R_\ell))$ for $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = \ell_{max}$,

2. $\max_{R'_{\ell}T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} \leq \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \cdot r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$ holds for $\ell = \ell_{max}$ and $\ell = h-1$.

Theorem 2 shows that the bounds 3, 4 hold for almost slide-reduced bases.

Accelerated slide-reduction (ASR). In each round find some $\ell = \ell_{max}$ that maximizes $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$. Compute a shortest vector of $\mathcal{L}(R_{\ell+1})$ and transform $R_{\ell+1}$ and B such that $r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R_{\ell+1}))$. By an SVP-computation for $\mathcal{L}(R'_{\ell})$ check that **2** holds for ℓ and if **2** does not hold transform R'_{ℓ} and B such that **2** holds for $\varepsilon = 0$ (this decreases \mathcal{D}_{ℓ} by a factor $\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$) otherwise terminate.

On termination continue with this transform on R_{ℓ} , $R_{\ell+1}$, B for $\ell = \ell_{max}$ and $\ell = h - 1$ until **2** holds for both $\ell = \ell_{max}$ and $\ell = h - 1$. Finally make sure that **1** holds for $\ell = 1$ and size-reduce B.

Theorem 1. Accelerated slide-reduction transforms a given LLL-basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for $\delta \leq 1$, $\alpha = 1/(\delta - 1/4)$, n = hk, within $\frac{1}{12}n^2h \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha = n^2h \frac{1+O(\varepsilon)}{12\cdot\varepsilon} \ln \alpha$ rounds of 2 local SVPcomputations either into an almost slide-reduced basis for $\varepsilon > 0$, or else arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$, where $\mathcal{D}(B) =_{def} \prod_{\ell=1}^{h-1} (\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1})^{h\ell-\ell^2} = (\det \mathcal{L})^{2h} / \prod_{i=1}^{h} \prod_{j=i}^{h} \mathcal{D}_j^2$.

Proof. We use the novel version $\mathcal{D}(B)$ of the Lovász invariant to measure *B*'s reduction. Note that $h^2/4 - (\ell - h/2)^2 = h\ell - \ell^2$ is symmetric to $\ell = h/2$ with maximal point $\ell = \lceil h/2 \rfloor$. The input LLL-basis $B^{(in)}$ for $\delta \leq 1$ satisfies for $\alpha = 1/(\delta - 1/4)$ that $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq \alpha^{k^2}$ and thus

$$\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)}) \le \alpha^{k^2 s}$$
 for $s := \sum_{\ell=1}^{h-1} h\ell - \ell^2 = \frac{h^3 - h}{6}$.

Fact. Each round that does not lead to termination results in

$$1 + \varepsilon$$
) $\mathcal{D}(B^{new}) \le \mathcal{D}(B)/(1 + \varepsilon)^2$.

This is because the round changes merely the factor $\prod_{t=\ell-1,\ell,\ell+1} (\mathcal{D}_t/\mathcal{D}_{t+1})^{t(h-t)} = (\mathcal{D}_\ell\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1})\mathcal{D}_\ell^2 \text{ of }$

of $\mathcal{D}(B)$, where $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$ does not change. Hence, after at most

 $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}^{new} < \mathcal{D}_{\ell}/(2)$

 $\frac{1}{2}\log_{1+\varepsilon}\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\log_{1+\varepsilon}(\alpha^{k^{2}s}) = \frac{1}{2}k^{2}\frac{h^{3}-h}{6}\log_{1+\varepsilon}\alpha < \frac{n^{2}h}{12}\log_{1+\varepsilon}\alpha$

rounds either *B* is almost slide-reduced for ε or else $\mathcal{D}(B) \leq 1$. The $\frac{n^2h}{12}\log_{1+\varepsilon}\alpha$ bound includes the rounds on termination. Clearly $\log_{1+\varepsilon}\alpha = \ln \alpha / \ln(1+\varepsilon)$ and $1/\ln(1+\varepsilon) = \frac{1+O(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$.

Conjecture. We conjecture that $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ does not appear for output bases obtained after a maximal number of rounds. If $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ then $\mathbf{E}[\ln(\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}] < 0$ holds for the expectation \mathbf{E} for random ℓ with $\mathbf{Pr}(\ell) = 6\frac{\ell h - \ell^2}{h^3 - h}$. (We have $\sum_{\ell=1}^{h-1} \mathbf{Pr}(\ell) = 1$.) In this sense $\mathcal{D}_{\ell} < \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$ would hold "on the average" if $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ whereas such $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}, \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$ are extremely unlikely in practice.

Time bound compared to [GN08]. The algorithm for slide-reduction of [GN08] has been shown to perform $O(nh \operatorname{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$ local SVP-computations, where $\operatorname{size}(B)$ is the bit-length of B. The number of rounds of Theorem 1 is polynomial in n even if $\operatorname{size}(B)$ is exponential in n.

Note that **ASR** can accelerate the [GN08] algorithm at best by a factor h because the [GN08] algorithm iterates all rounds for $\ell = 1, ..., h$ which also covers ℓ_{max} , whereas **ASR** iterates all rounds for the current ℓ_{max} . Theorem 1 decreases the $O(nh \operatorname{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$ bound of [GN08] to $\frac{n^2h}{6} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$ and requires only minor conditions on the input and output basis. In general it decreases the $O(nh \operatorname{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$ bound of [GN08] to $\frac{n^2h}{6} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$ and requires only minor conditions on the input and output basis. In general it decreases the $O(nh \operatorname{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$ bound of [GN08] by the factor $\frac{n}{6} \ln \alpha/\operatorname{size}(B) = \Theta(1/(6n \max_{\ell} \log_2 \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}\|))$.

Iterative slide-reduction with increasing blocksize. Consider the blocksize $k = 2^j$. We transform the given LLL-basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for $\delta, \alpha, n = hk$ iteratively as follows:

FOR i = 1, ..., j DO transform B by calling **ASR** with blocksize 2^i and ε .

We bound the number #*It* of rounds of the last **ASR**-call with blocksize $k = 2^{j}$. The input *B* of this final **ASR**-call satisfies $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2})^{\frac{k/2}{k/2-1}4}$ as follows from (3) with blocksize k/2. Hence $\mathcal{D}(B) \leq ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2})^{\frac{2k}{k/2-1}\frac{h^3-h}{6}}$.

As each round decreases $\mathcal{D}(B)$ by a factor $(1 + \varepsilon)^{-2}$ we see that

$$t It \le \frac{1}{2} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}(B) \le \frac{k}{k/2-1} \frac{h^3 - h}{6} \log_{1+\varepsilon} ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2}) = \frac{h^3 - h}{1 - 2/k} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon)}{3 \cdot \varepsilon} \ln \gamma_{k/2}$$

provided that $\mathcal{D}(B) \geq 1$ holds on termination. Here $\log_{1+\varepsilon} \gamma_{k/2} = \ln \gamma_{k/2} / \ln(1+\varepsilon) = \frac{1+O(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \gamma_{k/2}$. For k = 4, resp. k = 8 this is less than a 0.603, resp. 0.201 fraction of the number of rounds $\frac{n^2 h}{12} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$ of Theorem 1, where the input is an LLL-basis for δ, α . The final **ASR**-call dominates the workload of all other calls together, including the workload for the LLL-reduction of the input basis. We see that iterative slide-reduction for $k = 2^j$ requires only an $O(k^{-2} \ln \gamma_{k/2})$ -fraction of the workload of the direct **ASR**-call as in Theorem 1. In particular we have proved

Corollary 1. Given an almost slide-reduced basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ and blocksize k/2, n = hk, **ASR** finds within $\frac{1}{3} \frac{h^3 - h}{(1-2/k)} \log_{1+\varepsilon}((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2})$ rounds of two local SVP-computations either an almost slide-reduced basis for blocksize k and ε or else arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$.

Theorem 2. The bounds **3**, **4** hold for every almost slide-reduced basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ and the exponent of $(1 + \varepsilon)$ in **3**, **4** can roughly be halved, multiplying it by $\frac{1+1/k}{2}$.

Proof. We see from **2** and the Hermite bound on $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R'_{\ell})^*) = 1/r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$ that

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\ell}/r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}^2 \le ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^k r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}^{2(k-1)} \tag{1}$$

holds for $\ell = \ell_{max}$ and $\ell = h - 1$, where $\mathcal{D}'_{\ell} := (\det R'_{\ell})^2$. Moreover, the Hermite bound for R_{ℓ} yields

$$r_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1}^{2(k-1)} \le \gamma_k^k \mathcal{D}_\ell / r_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1}^2.$$

Combining these two inequalities with $D'_{\ell}/r^2_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} = D_{\ell}/r^2_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1}$ yields

$$r_{k\ell-k+1,k\ell-k+1} \le ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{\kappa}{k-1}} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} \quad \text{for } \ell = \ell_{max} \text{ and } \ell = h-1.$$
(2)

Next we prove

$$\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \le \left((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k\right)^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} \quad \text{for } \ell = 0, ..., h-1.$$
 (3)

Proof. As (1) holds for $\ell = \ell_{max}$ and **1** holds for $\ell + 1$ the Hermite bound on $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R_{\ell+1}))$ yields

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\ell} \le (1+\varepsilon)^k \gamma_k^k r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}^{2k} \le (1+\varepsilon)^k \gamma_k^{2k} \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$$

 $\mathcal{D}_{\ell} = r_{k\ell-k+1}^2 {}_{k\ell-k+1} \mathcal{D}'_{\ell} / r_{k\ell+1}^2 {}_{k\ell+1} \leq \left((1+\varepsilon) \gamma_k \right)^{\frac{2k}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}'_{\ell}.$ We see from (2) that (4)Combining the two previous inequalities yields for $\ell = \ell_{max}$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\ell} \le ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{2k}{k-1}}(1+\varepsilon)^k \gamma_k^{2k} \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} = ((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}}\gamma_k)^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}.$$

Moreover if (3) holds for ℓ_{max} it clearly holds for all $\ell = 1, ..., h - 1$.

3. The Hermite bound for R_1 and (3) imply for $\ell = 1, ..., h$ that

$$\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\|^{2} \leq \gamma_{k} \mathcal{D}_{1}^{1/k} \leq \gamma_{k} \left((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_{k} \right)^{\frac{2k(\ell-1)}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}_{\ell}^{1/k}.$$
(5)

The product of these h inequalities for $\ell=1,...,h$ yields

$$\|\mathbf{b}_1\|^{2h} \leq \gamma_k^h ((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{kh(h-1)}{k-1}} (\det \mathcal{L})^{2/k}.$$

This proves and improves **3** to (without using that **2** holds for $\ell = h - 1$.)

$$\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\|^{2}/(\det \mathcal{L})^{2/n} \leq \gamma_{k} ((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_{k})^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}} = (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \frac{n-k}{k-1} \gamma_{k}^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}}$$

$$\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\|^{2} \leq \gamma_{k} ((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_{k})^{\frac{2k(h-2)}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}_{h-1}^{1/k}.$$

4. (5) for $\ell = h - 1$ shows that

Clearly 2 for $\ell = h - 1$ implies (2) and (4) for $\ell = h - 1$, and thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{b}_{1}\|^{2} &\leq \gamma_{k} \left((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_{k} \right)^{\frac{2k(h-2)}{k-1} + \frac{2}{k-1}} (\mathcal{D}'_{h-1})^{1/k} & \text{(by (4) for } \ell = h-1) \\ &\leq \gamma_{k} \left((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_{k} \right)^{\frac{2kh-4k+2}{k-1}} (1+\varepsilon) \gamma_{k} r_{n-k+1,n-k+1}^{2}. & \text{(by 2 for } \ell = h-1) \end{aligned}$$

(we also used that $r_{n-k+1,n-k+1}^{-2} = \lambda_1^2(\mathcal{L}(R_{h-1}'^*)) \le \gamma_k/D_{h-1}'$ holds by the Hermite bound for $R_{h-1}'^*$.) $< ((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{2\frac{n-k}{k-1}} r_{n-k+1,n-k+1}^2$.

W.l.o.g $\pi_{n-k+1}(\mathbf{b}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ holds for some $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\|\mathbf{b}\| = \lambda_1$, otherwise we remove the last k vectors of the basis. Hence $r_{n-k+1,n-k+1} \leq ||\pi_{n-k+1}(\mathbf{b})|| \leq \lambda_1$. The latter inequalities yield the claim

$$\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \le \left((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k \right)^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}} \lambda_1.$$

We have roughly halved the exponent of $(1 + \varepsilon)$ in **3** and **4** multiplying it by at most $\frac{1+1/k}{2}$.

Time bounds for extremely small ε . We measure the reducedness of a basis B by the integer m defined by

$$2^{2^{m-1}} < \max_{\ell} (\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}) \gamma_k^{-\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} \le 2^{2^m}.$$
(6)

This integer *m* exists if and only if $\max_{\ell}(\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}) > \gamma_k^{\overline{k-1}}$ Next we show that every round of **ASR** with initial value *m* decreases $\mathcal{D}(B)$ by a factor $2^{-2^{m-1}}$. The transform of R_{ℓ} , $R_{\ell+1}$, B for $\ell = \ell_{max}$ results in (2), (3) holding f or $\varepsilon = 0$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}^{new} / \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}^{new} \le \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$. Multiplying this inequality with $2^{2^{m-1}}\gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} < \mathcal{D}_\ell^{old}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}^{old}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\ell^{new}\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}^{new} = \mathcal{D}_\ell^{old}\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}^{old}$ yields $2^{2^{m-2}} \mathcal{D}_{\ell}^{new} < \mathcal{D}_{\ell}^{old} \quad \text{hence} \quad \mathcal{D}(B^{new}) < \mathcal{D}(B^{old}) 2^{-2^{m-1}}.$ (7)

We denote $M_0 := \max(\|\mathbf{b}_1\|^2, ..., \|\mathbf{b}_n\|^2)$ for the input basis B.

Lemma 1. If B is almost slide-reduced for $\varepsilon < \frac{k-1}{6k^2}/(2^n M_0)$ then $\max_{\ell}(\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}) \le \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be minimal such that B is almost slide-reduced for ε . It follows from the proof of Theorem 1 that $\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} = ((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$ holds for some ℓ . Then (6) implies $(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{k^2}{k-1}} \le 2^{2^m}$, thus $\varepsilon < \frac{k-1}{k^2} 2^m$. (8)

If B = QR is not almost slide-reduced for some $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ then any nearly maximal such ε' satisfies $\max_{R'_{\ell}T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} \approx (1 + \varepsilon')r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}$ for some ℓ .

It follows from [LLL82, (1.28)] for the integer matrix B that $r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1}M_0^n \ge 1$ and thus $\varepsilon' \gtrsim (\max_{R',T} r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} - r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1})/r_{k\ell+1,k\ell+1} \ge 1/M_0^n$.

This contradicts (8) if $\frac{k-1}{k^2} 2^m < 1/M_0^n$, and thus excludes that $-m > n \log_2 M_0$.

(3) and (6) imply $2^{2^{m-1}} < (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$, and thus $2^{m-1} < \frac{2k^2}{k-1}\log_2(1+\varepsilon) < \frac{2k^2}{k-1}\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln 2}$.

Hence $-m > n \log_2 M_0$ which is impossible. This implies by (6) that $\max_{\ell} \mathcal{D}_{\ell} / \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$. \Box

Next we bound the number $\#It_m$ of rounds until the current m either decreases to m-1 or arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$. During this reduction the m defined by (6) implies that (7) holds for each round. Moreover, initially $\max_{\ell} \mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} 2^{2^m}$. This shows for the initial and final bases for the reduction of m to m-1: $\#It_m \leq \log_2(\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)})/\mathcal{D}(B^{(fin)}))/2^{m-1}$ $\leq \frac{h^3-h}{2}(2^m/2^{m-1}+2^{-m+1}\frac{2k^2}{k-1}\log_2\gamma_k).$

Thus within $O(nh^2 \log_2 k)$ rounds **ASR** either decreases $m \ge 0$ to m-1 or arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$.

Open problem. Can **ASR** perform for $m \ll 0$ more than $O(nh^2 \log_2 k)$ rounds until either the current *m* decreases to m-1 or that $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$? We can exclude this by the following rule of

Early Termination (ET). Terminate as soon as $\mathcal{D}(B) < \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}\frac{h^3-h}{6}}$.

 $\mathcal{D}(B) < \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}\frac{h^3-h}{6}} \text{ implies that } \mathbf{E}[\ln(\mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1})] < \frac{2k^2}{k-1}\ln\gamma_k \text{ holds for random } \ell, \text{ where } \mathbf{Pr}(\ell) = 6\frac{\ell h - \ell^2}{h^3 - h}.$ In this sense (3), (4) and **3** hold for $\varepsilon = 0$ "on the average".

Corollary 2. ASR terminates under ET for arbitrary $\varepsilon \ge 0$ in $\frac{h^3-h}{3}(m+|m_0|)$ rounds, where m, m_0 are the m-value of the input and final basis defined by (6). Moreover $|m_0| \le n \log_2 M_0$.

Proof. Consider $\#It_m$ the number of rounds until the current m decreases to m-1. During this reduction the m of (6) satisfies $\max_{\ell} \mathcal{D}_{\ell}/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} > 2^{2^{m-1}} \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$. This implies by (7) and **ET** for the initial and final bases for the reduction of m to m-1:

$$#It_m \le \log_2(\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)})/\mathcal{D}(B^{(fin)}))/2^{m-1} \le \log_2(2^{2^m \frac{h^3 - h}{6}})/2^{m-1} = \frac{h^3 - h}{3}.$$

Thus within $\frac{h^3-h}{3}$ rounds **ASR** either decreases m to m-1 or arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < \gamma_k^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} \frac{h^3-h}{3}$.

Hence **ASR** terminates within $\frac{h^3-h}{3}(m+|m_0|)$ rounds, where $|m_0| \le n \log_2 M_0$ holds by the proof of Lemma 1.

Accelerated LLL-reduction (ALR). We accelerate LLL-reduction by performing either Gaußreductions or LLL-swaps on $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ for an ℓ that maximizes the resulting reduction progress.

We associate to a basis *B* satisfying
$$\max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 > \frac{4}{3}$$
 the integer *m* defined by

$$2^{2^{m-1}} < \max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 / \frac{4}{3} \le 2^{2^m}.$$
(9)

If $m \ge 0$ we transform in the current round $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ for an ℓ that maximizes $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2$ by

Gauß-reducing the basis $\pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell}), \pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})$ of dimension 2. (Gauß-reducing the basis $\pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell}), \pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})$ means to LLL-reduce $\pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell}), \pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})$ with $\delta = 1$.) This decreases $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2$ by a factor less than $2^{-2^m} < \frac{1}{2}$.

If m < 0 or m does not exist, we transform in the current round $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ for an ℓ that maximizes $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*)\|^2$ after size-reducing $\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ against \mathbf{b}_{ℓ} by setting $\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1} := \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1} - \lceil r_{\ell,\ell+1}/r_{\ell/\ell} \rfloor \mathbf{b}_{\ell}$. If $\|\pi_{\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*)\|^2 \le \delta \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2$ we swap $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ and otherwise terminate.

On termination we size-reduce the basis B.

Theorem 3. Given an LLL-basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for $\delta' < 1$, $\alpha' = 1/(\delta' - 1/4)$ **ALR** with δ satisfying $1 > \delta > \max(\delta', \frac{1}{2})$ arrives within $\frac{n^3}{12} \log_{1/\delta} \alpha'$ rounds of Gauß-reductions, resp. LLL-swaps either at an LLL-basis for δ , or else arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) := \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2)^{\ell(n-\ell)} < 1$.

Proof. We use $\mathcal{D}(B)$ for blocksize 1, $\mathcal{D}(B) := \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2)^{\ell(n-\ell)}$. Each round decreases $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2$ by a factor δ , and both $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2$, $\mathcal{D}(B)$ by a factor δ^2 . Then the number of rounds until either an LLL-basis for δ appears or else $\mathcal{D}(B) \leq 1$ is at most

$$\frac{1}{2}\log_{1/\delta}\mathcal{D}(B) \le \frac{1}{2}\log_{1/\delta}(\alpha')^{\frac{n^3-n}{6}} \le \frac{n^3}{12}\log_{1/\delta}\alpha'.$$

The workload per round. If each round completely size-reduces $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ against $\mathbf{b}_1, ..., \mathbf{b}_{\ell-1}$ it requires $O(n^2)$ arithmetic steps. If we only size-reduce $\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ against \mathbf{b}_{ℓ} then a round costs merely O(n) arithmetic steps but the length of the integers explodes. This explosion can be prevented at low costs by doing size-reduction in segments, see [S06], [KS01].

Lemma 2. If B is LLL-basis for δ and $1 - \delta < 2^{-n-2}/M_0$ then $\max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \le \frac{4}{3}$.

Proof. The LLL-basis B satisfies $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta - 1/4} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2$. Therefore (9) implies $2^{2^{m-1}} < \frac{1}{\delta - 1/4} \frac{3}{4}$. Setting $\delta = 1 - \varepsilon$ this shows that

 $2^{m-1} < \log_2 \frac{3}{4\delta - 1} < \log_2 \frac{1}{1 - \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon} = \ln(1 - \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon) / \ln 2$ $< -1.45 \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon < 2^{-n-1} / M_0.$

This implies $m < -n \log_2 M_0$ which is impossible (by the proof of Lemma 1). This shows that m is undefined and thus $\max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / |\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}$.

Corollary 3. Let m be the m-value of the input basis and $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ $c \geq 0$ be constant. Within $\frac{n^3}{12}(m+2.22 \cdot 2^c)$ rounds **ALR** either decreases the initial m to $m \leq -c$ or else arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$. Moreover $m \leq \log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 M_0$.

Surprisingly, the number of rounds in Cor. 3 is polynomial in n if $\log_2 \log_2 M_0 \leq n^{O(1)}$.

Proof. We have shown that ASR with k = 2 either decreases within at most

$$\frac{(n/2)^3}{2} \left(2^m / 2^{m-1} + 2^{-m+1} 8 \log_2 \sqrt{4/3} \right)$$

rounds either the current m to m-1 or arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$. Therefore **ALR** either decreases the m of the input-basis within at most

$$\frac{n^3}{24}(2m+2^4\log_2\sqrt{4/3}\sum_{i=-c}^m 2^{-i}) < \frac{n^3}{12}(m+2^{c+4}\log_2\sqrt{4/3}) < \frac{n^3}{12}(m+2.22\cdot 2^c)$$
rounds to $m = -|c|$ or else arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$

The bound $m \le \log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 M_0$ follows from (9) and $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \ge 1/M_0^n$.

Comparison with previous algorithms for LLL-reduction. The LLL was originally proved [LLL82] to be of bit-complexity $O(n^{5+\varepsilon}(\log_2 M_0)^{2+\varepsilon})$ performing $O(n^2 \log_{1/\delta} M_0)$ rounds, each round size-reduces some \mathbf{b}_{ℓ} in n^2 arithmetic steps on integers of bit-length $n \log_2 M_0$; ε in the exponent comes from the fast FFT-multiplication of integers. The large bit-length of integers $n \log_2 M_0$ has been reduced to $n + \log_2 M_0$ by orthogonalizing the basis in floating point arithmetic. The number of rounds in Cor. 3 is independent of M_0 . This is because **ALR** maximizes the reduction

The number of rounds in Cor. 3 is independent of M_0 . This is because **ALR** maximizes the reduction progress per round. To minimize the workload of size-reduction **ALR** should be organized according

to segment reduction of [KS01], [S06] doing most of the size-reductions locally on segments of k basis vectors. The bit-complexity of Gauß-reduction of $\pi_{\ell}(b_{\ell}), \pi_{\ell}(b_{\ell+1})$ is quasi-linear in size(B) [NSV10]. Therefore we do not split up this Gauss-reduction into LLL-swaps. If the current m is large then Gauß-reduction of $\pi_{\ell}(b_{\ell}), \pi_{\ell}(b_{\ell+1})$ for $\ell = \ell_{max}$ decreases $\mathcal{D}(B)$ be the factor 2^{-m} while LLL-swaps guarantee only a decrease by the factor $\frac{3}{4}$.

A result that is very close to Cor. 3 and Cor. 4 has been proved independently in Lemma 12 of [HPS11]: $\max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3} + \varepsilon$ can be achieved in polynomial time for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

Early Termination (ET). Terminate as soon as $\mathcal{D}(B) < (\frac{4}{3})^{\frac{n^3-n}{6}}$.

 $\mathcal{D}(B) < \frac{4}{3} \frac{n^3 - n}{6}$ implies that $\mathbf{E}[\ln(\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2)] < \ln(4/3)$ holds for random ℓ and $\mathbf{Pr}(\ell) = 6 \frac{\ell h = \ell^2}{h^3 - h}$. In this sense the output basis approximates "on the average" the logarithm of the inequality $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/(\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n} \leq (\frac{4}{3})^{\frac{n-1}{4}}$ that holds for ideal LLL-bases with $\delta = 1$.

Corollary 4. ALR terminates under ET in $n^3(m+|m_0|)/3$ rounds, where m, m_0 are the m-values of the input and output basis. Moreover $|m_0| \le n \log_2 M_0$ and $m \le \log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 M_0$.

Proof. Consider the number $\#It_m$ of rounds until either the current m decreases to m-1 or else $\mathcal{D}(B)$ becomes less than $(4/3)^{\frac{n^3-n}{6}}$. As in the proof of Corollary 2 each round with m results in Gauß-reduction under π_ℓ if $m \ge 0$, resp. an LLL-swap if m < 0, results in

 $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^{*new}\|^2 < \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}^{*old}\|^2 2^{-2^{m-2}}$ hence $\mathcal{D}(B^{new}) < \mathcal{D}(B^{old}) 2^{-2^{m-1}}$.

Under **ET** this shows as in the proof of Cor. 1 that

$$#It_m < \log_2(\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)})/(\mathcal{D}(B^{(fin)}))/2^{m-1} \le (2^m \frac{n^3 - n}{6})/2^{m-1} = \frac{n^3 - n}{3}.$$

Hence *m* decreases to m-1 under **ET** in less than $\frac{n^3-n}{3}$ rounds. The proof of Lemma 1 shows that $|m_0| \leq n \log_2 M_0$.

Open problem. Does **ALR** realize $max_{\ell} \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell}\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}$ in a polynomial number of rounds ? Can **ALR** perform for $m \ll 0$ without **ET** more than $O(n^3)$ rounds until either the current m decreases to m-1 or that $\mathcal{D}(B) \leq 1$? We can exclude this for $m \geq 0$ and under **ET** also for m < 0.

References

- [NSV10] A. Novocia, D. Stehlé and G. Villard An LLL-reduction algorithm with quasilinear time complexity. Technical Report, version 1, Nov. 2010.
- [GHKN] N. Gama, N. Howgrave-Graham, H. Koy and P, Q. Nguyen, Rankin's constant and blockwise lattice reduction. In Proc. of CRYPTO'06, LNCS 4117, Springer, pp. 112–130, 2006.
- [HPS10] G. Hanrot, X. Pujol and D. Stehlé, Terminating BKZ. Preprint, submitted for publication, personal communication, 21.2.2011.
- [GN08] N. Gama and P. Nguyen, Finding Short Lattice Vectors within Mordell's Inequality, In Proc. of the ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing **STOC'08**, pp. 208–216, 2008.
- [GN08b] N. Gama and P.Q. Nguyen, Predicting lattice reduction, in Proc. EUROCRYPT 2008, LNCS 4965, Springer-Verlag, pp. 31–51, 2008.
- [KS01] H. Koy and C.P. Schnorr Segment LLL-reduction of lattice bases, In Proceedings of the 2001 Cryptography and Lattice Conference (CACL'01), LNCS 2146, Springer-Verlag, pp. 67-80, 2001.
- [LLL82] H.W. Lenstra Jr., A.K. Lenstra and L. Lovász, Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients, Mathematische Annalen 261, pp. 515–534, 1982.
- [S87] C.P. Schnorr, A hierarchy of polynomial time lattice basis reduction algorithms. Theoret. Comput. Sci., 53, pp. 201–224, 1987.
- [S06] C.P. Schnorr, Fast LLL-type lattice reduction, Information and Computation 204, pp. 1–25, 2006.

ECCC