

Accelerated Slide- and LLL-Reduction

Claus Peter Schnorr

Fachbereich Informatik und Mathematik,
Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, PSF 111932,
D-60054 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.
`schnorr@cs.uni-frankfurt.de`

December 9, 2011

Abstract. We accelerate the slide-reduction algorithm of [GN08] with blocksize k to run for a given LLL-basis B of dimension $n = hk$ under reasonable assumptions within $\frac{1}{4}n^2h \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$ local SVP-computations of dimension k , where $\alpha \geq \frac{4}{3}$ is the quality of the given LLL-basis and ε is the quality of slide-reduction. If the given basis B is already slide-reduced for blocksize $k/2$ the $\frac{1}{4}n^2h \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$ bound further decreases to $nh^2(1 + \log_{1+\varepsilon} \gamma_{k/2})$, where $\gamma_{k/2}$ is the Hermite constant. These bounds are polynomial in n for arbitrary bit-length of B . We also accelerate LLL-reduction.

Keywords. Block reduction, LLL-reduction, slide reduction.

Introduction. Lattices are discrete subgroups of the \mathbb{R}^n . A basis $B = [\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of n linear independent vectors $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$ generates the lattice $\mathcal{L}(B) = \{B\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ of dimension n . Lattice reduction algorithms transform a given basis into a basis consisting of short vectors. The length of $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is $\|\mathbf{b}\| = (\mathbf{b}^t \mathbf{b})^{1/2}$. $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}) = \min_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathbf{0}} \|\mathbf{b}\|$ is the minimal length of nonzero $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}$. The determinant of \mathcal{L} is $\det \mathcal{L} = (\det B^t B)^{1/2}$. The Hermite bound $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L})^2 \leq \gamma_n (\det \mathcal{L})^{2/n}$ holds for all lattices \mathcal{L} of dimension n and the Hermite constant γ_n .

The LLL-algorithm of H.W. LENSTRA JR., A.K. LENSTRA AND L. LOVÁSZ [LLL82] transforms a given basis B in polynomial time into a basis B such that $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda_1$, where $\alpha > 4/3$. It is important to minimize the proven bound on $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/\lambda_1$ for polynomial time reduction algorithms and to optimize the polynomial time.

The best known algorithms perform blockwise basis reduction for blocksize $k \geq 2$ generalizing the blocksize 2 of LLL-reduction. SCHNORR [S87] introduced blockwise HKZ-reduction. The algorithm of [GHKN06] improves blockwise HKZ-reduction by blockwise primal-dual reduction. So far slide-reduction of [GN08b] yields the smallest proven approximation factor $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/\lambda_1 \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}}$ of polynomial time reduction algorithms. The algorithm for slide-reduction of [GN08b] performs $O(nh \cdot \text{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$ local SVP-computations, where $\text{size}(B)$ is the bit-length of B and ε is the quality of slide-reduction. This bound is polynomial in n if and only if $\text{size}(B)$ is polynomial in n . The workload of the local SVP-computations dominates the overall workload. [NSV10] shows that the bit complexity of LLL-reduction is quasi-linear in $\text{size}(B)$. The LLL-reduction is performed on the leading bits of the entries of the basis matrix (similar to Lehmer's gcd-algorithm) using fast arithmetic for the multiplication of integers and fast algorithms for matrix multiplication.

Our results. We improve the $O(nh \cdot \text{size}(B)/\varepsilon)$ bound of [GN08b] by choosing the blocks for the next local reduction step as to maximize its progress. We first analyze this strategy in minimizing $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/(\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n}$ by the concept of *almost slide reduction* and then extend this analysis to minimize $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/\lambda_1(\mathcal{L})$. Theorem 1 studies the maximal number of local SVP-computations during almost slide-reduction with blocksize k for an input LLL-basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for δ, α and dimension $n = hk$. It shows under a reasonable assumption that this number is at most $\frac{1}{4}n^2h \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$. This bound is independent of the bit-length of B . Corollary 1 shows that if the given basis is almost slide-reduced for blocksize $k/2$ the number of local SVP-computations for almost slide-reduction with blocksize k further decreases to $nh^2 \frac{1}{1-2/k} (1 + \log_{1+\varepsilon} \gamma_{k/2})$, reducing the $\frac{1}{4}n^2h \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$ bound of Theorem 1 by nearly a factor $4(k-2)^{-1} \ln \gamma_{k/2} / \ln(\alpha) < \frac{1}{2}$ for $k = 32$. For the first time this qualifies the advantage of first performing block reduction with half the blocksize.

We also accelerate LLL-reduction. Corollary 3 shows, under a reasonable assumption, that accelerated LLL-reduction computes an LLL-basis within $n^3 \log_2 \text{size}(B)/3$ local LLL-reductions of dimension 2. This bound is polynomial in n if $\log_2 \text{size}(B) = n^{O(1)}$. Lemma 2 shows that every LLL-basis for δ such that $1 - \delta \leq 2^{-4 \text{size}(B)}$ is an ideal LLL-basis for $\delta = 1$.

Notation. Let $B = [\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a basis matrix of rank $n = hk$ and $B = QR$ be its QR-decomposition, where $R = [r_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is upper triangular with positive diagonal entries $r_{i,i} > 0$ and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is isometric with pairwise orthogonal column vectors of length 1. We denote $\text{GNF}(B) = R$. Let $R_\ell = [r_{i,j}]_{k\ell-k+1 \leq i,j \leq k\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ be the submatrix of $R = [r_{i,j}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ for the ℓ -th block of blocksize $k \geq 2$, $\mathcal{D}_\ell = (\det R_\ell)^2$. Let $R'_\ell = [r_{i,j}]_{k\ell-k+2 \leq i,j \leq k\ell+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ denote the ℓ -th block slid by one unit. $R_\ell^\star = U_k R_\ell^{-t} U_k$ is the dual of $R_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, where R_ℓ^{-t} is the inverse transpose of R_ℓ and $U_k \in \{0, 1\}^{k \times k}$ is the reversed identity matrix with nonzero entries $u_{i,k-i+1} = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Note that $\text{GNF}(R_\ell^\star) = R_\ell^\star$. $R'_\ell{}^\star = (R'_\ell)^\star$ is the dual of R'_ℓ .

Let $\max_{R'_\ell T} r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}$ denote the maximum of $\bar{r}_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}$, $[\bar{r}_{i,j}]_{k\ell-k+2 \leq i,j \leq k\ell+1} := \text{GNF}(R'_\ell T)$ over all $T \in \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that $\max_{R'_\ell T} r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1} = 1/\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R'_\ell{}^\star))$. Let $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{span}(\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{i-1})^\perp$ be the orthogonal projection, and $\mathbf{b}_i^* := \pi_i(\mathbf{b}_i)$ thus $\|\mathbf{b}_i^*\| = r_{i,i}$.

LLL-bases. [LLL82] A basis $B = QR \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is LLL-basis for δ , $\frac{1}{4} < \delta \leq 1$, $\alpha = 1/(\delta - 1/4)$ if

- $|r_{i,j}| \leq \frac{1}{2} r_{i,i}$ holds for all $j > i$,
- $\delta r_{i,i}^2 \leq r_{i,i+1}^2 + r_{i+1,i+1}^2$ holds for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

An LLL-basis B for δ satisfies $\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \alpha$ for all $\ell = 1, \dots, n-1$ and

$$\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{4}} (\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n}, \quad \|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda_1.$$

Definition 1. [GN08] A basis $B = QR \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $n = hk$ is slide-reduced for $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $k \geq 2$ if

1. $\|\mathbf{b}_{k\ell+1}^*\| = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R_{\ell+1}))$ for $\ell = 0, \dots, h-1$,
2. $\max_{R'_\ell T} r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1} \leq \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} \cdot \|\mathbf{b}_{k\ell+1}^*\|$ holds for $\ell = 1, \dots, h-1$.

1 slightly relaxes the condition of [GN08] that all bases R_ℓ are HKZ-reduced. The following bounds have been proved by GAMA and NGUYEN in [GN08, Theorem 1] for slide-reduced bases:

3. $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{n-1}{k-1}} (\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n}$,
4. $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}} \lambda_1$.

Almost slide-reduced (asr-) bases. We call a basis $B = QR \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $n = hk$, an asr-basis for $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and blocksize k if clause 2 of Def. 1 holds for some $\ell = \ell_{\max}$ that maximizes $\mathcal{D}_\ell / \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$ and clause 1 of Def. 1 holds for $R_1, R_\ell, R_{\ell+1}$.

Theorem 2 shows that 3. $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{n-1}{k-1}} (\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n}$, holds for all asr-bases.

Accelerated almost slide reduction (ASR)

INPUT LLL-basis $B = QR \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$, $R = [r_{i,j}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $n = hk$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, $k \geq 2$
 LOOP Choose some $\ell = \ell_{\max}$ that maximizes $\mathcal{D}_\ell / \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$. By SVP-computations on $\mathcal{L}(R_\ell)$, $\mathcal{L}(R_{\ell+1})$ transform $R_\ell, R_{\ell+1}$ and B such that 1 of Def. 1 holds for $R_\ell, R_{\ell+1}$. By an SVP-computation on $R'_\ell{}^\star$ verify whether 2 holds for ℓ and the input ε .
 IF 2 does not hold THEN transform R'_ℓ and B such that 2 holds for $\varepsilon = 0$
 ELSE transform R_1 and B such that $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R_1))$ and terminate. end loop
 OUTPUT the resulting asr-basis B .

We can replace the 3 SVP-computations per round on $\mathcal{L}(R_\ell), \mathcal{L}(R_{\ell+1}), \mathcal{L}(R'_\ell{}^\star)$ by the stronger and faster two SVP-computations on $\mathcal{L}(R_{\ell+1}), \mathcal{L}(R_\ell^+)$, where $R_\ell^+ = [r_{i,j}]_{\ell k-k < i,j \leq \ell k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1) \times (k+1)}$. Alternatively we can perform two SVP-computations on $\mathcal{L}(R_\ell^+), \mathcal{L}(R'_{\ell+1})$ per round, where $R'_{\ell+1} := [r_{i,j}]_{\ell k \leq i,j \leq \ell k+k} \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1) \times (k+1)}$.

Theorem 1. ASR transforms a given LLL-basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for $\delta \leq 1$, $\alpha = 1/(\delta - 1/4)$, $n = hk$, within $\frac{1}{12} n^2 h \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$ rounds (passes of the loop) of three local SVP-computations of dimension k either into an almost slide-reduced basis for ε and blocksize k , or else arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$, where

$$\mathcal{D}(B) =_{\text{def}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{h-1} (\mathcal{D}_\ell / \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1})^{h\ell - \ell^2} = \mathcal{D}_1^{h-1} \mathcal{D}_2^{h-3} \dots \mathcal{D}_\ell^{h-2\ell+1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{h-1}^{-h+3} \mathcal{D}_h^{-h+1}.$$

Proof. We use the novel version $\mathcal{D}(B)$ of the Lovász invariant to measure B 's reducedness. Note that $h^2/4 - (\ell - h/2)^2 = h\ell - \ell^2$ is symmetric to $\ell = h/2$ with maximal point $\ell = \lceil h/2 \rceil = \lceil h/2 - 1/2 \rceil$. The input LLL-basis $B^{(in)}$ for $\delta \leq 1$ satisfies for $\alpha = 1/(\delta - 1/4)$ that $\mathcal{D}_\ell/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq \alpha^{k^2}$ and thus

$$\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)}) \leq \alpha^{k^2 s} \quad \text{for } s := \sum_{\ell=1}^{h-1} h\ell - \ell^2 = \frac{h^3 - h^2 - h}{6}.$$

Fact. Every non-terminal round with ℓ decreases \mathcal{D}_ℓ and $\mathcal{D}(B)$ as

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell^{new} \leq \mathcal{D}_\ell/(1 + \varepsilon) \quad \mathcal{D}(B^{new}) \leq \mathcal{D}(B)/(1 + \varepsilon)^2.$$

This is because the round changes merely the factor $\prod_{t=\ell-1, \ell, \ell+1} (\mathcal{D}_t/\mathcal{D}_{t+1})^{t(h-t)} = (\mathcal{D}_\ell \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1})^{h-2\ell-1} \mathcal{D}_\ell^2$ of $\mathcal{D}(B)$, where $\mathcal{D}_\ell \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$ does not change. Hence, after at most

$$\frac{1}{2} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}(B^{(in)}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_{1+\varepsilon} (\alpha^{k^2 s}) = \frac{1}{2} k^2 \frac{h^3 - h^2 - h}{6} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha < \frac{n^2 h}{12} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$$

rounds either B is asr-basis for ε or else $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$. Our bound on the number of rounds does not count the terminal round which does not decrease \mathcal{D} . \square

Remarks. 1. We conjecture that the time bound of Theorem 1 even holds if on termination $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$. This might be provable by the dynamical system method of [HPS11]. Anyway, $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ is very unlikely. If $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$ then $\mathbf{E}[\ln(\mathcal{D}_\ell/\mathcal{D}_{\ell+1})] < 0$ holds for the expectation \mathbf{E} for random ℓ with $\Pr(\ell) =_{\text{def}} 6 \frac{\ell h - \ell^2}{h^3 - h^2 - h}$. (Note that $\sum_{\ell=1}^{h-1} \Pr(\ell) = 1$.) In this sense $\mathcal{D}_\ell < \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$ would hold "on the average" if $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$, whereas such $\mathcal{D}_\ell, \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}$ are extremely unlikely.

2. On the other hand, if the output basis of **ASR** satisfies on average that $\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \geq \alpha^{1/t}$ then the number of rounds decreases to at most $(1 - 1/t) \frac{n^2 h}{12} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$.

Theorem 2. Every asr-basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for ε, k satisfies $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{n-1}{k-1}} (\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n}$.

Proof. We see from clause **2** of Def. 1 and the Hermite bound on $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R'_\ell)^\star) \leq 1/r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}$ that

$$\mathcal{D}'_\ell / r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}^2 \leq ((1 + \varepsilon) \gamma_k)^k r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}^{2(k-1)} \quad (1)$$

holds for $\ell = \ell_{max}$, where $\mathcal{D}'_\ell := (\det R'_\ell)^2$. Moreover, the Hermite bound for R_ℓ shows that

$$r_{k\ell-k+1, k\ell-k+1}^{2(k-1)} \leq \gamma_k^k \mathcal{D}_\ell / r_{k\ell-k+1, k\ell-k+1}^2.$$

Combining these two inequalities with $\mathcal{D}'_\ell / r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}^2 = \mathcal{D}_\ell / r_{k\ell-k+1, k\ell-k+1}^2$ yields for $\ell = \ell_{max}$:

$$r_{k\ell-k+1, k\ell-k+1} \leq ((1 + \varepsilon) \gamma_k)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}. \quad (2)$$

Next we prove

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell / \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, \dots, h-1. \quad (3)$$

Proof. As (1) holds for $\ell = \ell_{max}$ and **1** holds for $R_{\ell+1}$ the Hermite bound on $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(R_{\ell+1}))$ yields

$$\mathcal{D}'_\ell \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^k \gamma_k^k r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}^{2k} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^k \gamma_k^{2k} \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}.$$

Hence (2) yields for $\ell = \ell_{max}$

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell = r_{k\ell-k+1, k\ell-k+1}^2 \mathcal{D}'_\ell / r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}^2 \leq ((1 + \varepsilon) \gamma_k)^{\frac{2k}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}'_\ell. \quad (4)$$

Combining the two previous inequalities yields for $\ell = \ell_{max}$

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell \leq ((1 + \varepsilon) \gamma_k)^{\frac{2k}{k-1}} (1 + \varepsilon)^k \gamma_k^{2k} \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} = ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1}.$$

Moreover if (3) holds for ℓ_{max} it clearly holds for all $\ell = 1, \dots, h-1$.

3. 1 of Def.1 for R_1 and (3) imply for $\ell = 1, \dots, h$ that

$$\|\mathbf{b}_1\|^2 \leq \gamma_k \mathcal{D}_1^{1/k} \leq \gamma_k ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{2k(\ell-1)}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}_\ell^{1/k}. \quad (5)$$

The product of these h inequalities for $\ell = 1, \dots, h$ yields

$$\|\mathbf{b}_1\|^{2h} \leq \gamma_k^h ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{kh(h-1)}{k-1}} (\det \mathcal{L})^{2/k}.$$

Hence the claim $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|^2 / (\det \mathcal{L})^{2/n} \leq \gamma_k ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}} \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{n-1}{k-1}}$. \square

Strong asr-bases. We call an asr-basis $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times hk}$ strong if **2** of Def. 1 holds for $\ell = h - 1$ and **1** of Def. 1 holds for R_{h-1} and R_h .

Most likely, we obtain a strong asr-basis from any asr-basis by $O(k \ln k/\varepsilon)$ **ASR**-rounds with $\ell = h - 1$ and $\ell = \ell_{max}$ that can possibly change B . This takes at most $\frac{k^2}{k-1}(1 + \log_{1+\varepsilon} \gamma_k)$ **ASR**-rounds with $\ell = h - 1$ because $\mathcal{D}_{h-1}/\mathcal{D}_h \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{\frac{2k^2}{k-1}}$ holds for any asr-basis and each round with $\ell = h - 1$ decreases $\mathcal{D}_{h-1}/\mathcal{D}_h$ by a factor $(1 + \varepsilon)^{-2}$. Similarly we can transform an asr-basis B into a slide-reduced basis by iterating **ASR**-rounds that can possibly change B . Most likely this takes only $O(n \ln k/\varepsilon)$ **ASR**-rounds, much fewer than to transform an LLL-basis into an asr-basis.

Theorem 3. *Every strong asr-basis $B = [\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n]$ for $\varepsilon \geq 0, k \geq 2, n = hk$ satisfies*

$$\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}} \lambda_1$$

provided that some $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}(B) \setminus \mathcal{L}([\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n-k}])$ satisfies $\|\mathbf{b}\| = \lambda_1$.

Proof. (5) for $\ell = h - 1$ shows that $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|^2 \leq \gamma_k ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{2k(h-2)}{k-1}} \mathcal{D}_{h-1}^{1/k}$.

Clearly **2** for $\ell = h - 1$ implies (2) and (4) for $\ell = h - 1$, and thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{b}_1\|^2 &\leq \gamma_k ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{2k(h-2)}{k-1} + \frac{2}{k-1}} (\mathcal{D}'_{h-1})^{1/k} && \text{(by (4) for } \ell = h - 1) \\ &\leq \gamma_k ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{2kh-4k+2}{k-1}} (1 + \varepsilon) \gamma_k r_{n-k+1, n-k+1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

(we also used that $r_{n-k+1, n-k+1}^{-2} = \lambda_1^2(\mathcal{L}(R'_{h-1}^\star)) \leq \gamma_k / \mathcal{D}'_{h-1}$ holds by the Hermite bound for R'_{h-1}^\star .)

$$\leq ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{2\frac{n-k}{k-1}} r_{n-k+1, n-k+1}^2. \quad \text{(with inequality for } \varepsilon > 0)$$

The theorem assumes that $\|\mathbf{b}\| = \lambda_1$ holds for some $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{L}([\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n-k}])$. Hence $r_{n-k+1, n-k+1} \leq \|\pi_{n-k+1}(\mathbf{b})\| \leq \lambda_1$. The latter inequalities yield the claim $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1+1/k}{2}} \gamma_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k-1}} \lambda_1$.

We have roughly halved the exponent of $(1 + \varepsilon)$ in **3** and **4** multiplying it by at most $\frac{1+1/k}{2}$. \square

Iterative almost slide-reduction with increasing blocksize. Consider the blocksize $k = 2^j$. We transform the given LLL-basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for $\delta, \alpha, n = hk$ iteratively as follows:

FOR $i = 1, \dots, j$ DO transform B by calling **ASR** with blocksize 2^i and ε .

The final **ASR**-call with blocksize $k = 2^j$ dominates the overall workload of all **ASR**-calls of the iteration, including the workload for the LLL-reduction of the input basis, due to the fast increasing workload of a local SVP-computation in dimension k .

We bound the number $\#It$ of rounds of the last **ASR**-call with blocksize $k = 2^j$. Importantly, the input B of this final **ASR**-call satisfies $\mathcal{D}_\ell / \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2})^{\frac{2k^2}{k/2-1}}$ as follows from (3) with blocksize $k/2$ and $\frac{1+2/k}{2} \leq 1$ for $k \geq 2$. In fact we have that $\mathcal{D}_\ell / \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1} \leq \max_\ell (\mathcal{D}_{\ell, k/2} / \mathcal{D}_{\ell+1, k/2})^4$, where $\mathcal{D}_{\ell, k/2} = (\det R_{\ell, k/2})^2$ for the ℓ -th block $R_{\ell, k/2}$ of blocksize $k/2$ of the input basis B . Hence

$$\mathcal{D}(B) \leq ((1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2})^{\frac{2k^2}{k/2-1} \frac{h^3 - h^2 - h}{6}}$$

holds for the input B . As each round prior to termination decreases $\mathcal{D}(B)$ by a factor $(1 + \varepsilon)^{-2}$ the number $\#It$ of rounds of the last **ASR**-call is bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} \#It &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}(B) \leq \frac{k^2}{k/2-1} \frac{h^3 - h^2 - h}{6} \log_{1+\varepsilon} ((1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2}) \\ &< \frac{1}{3} \frac{nh^2}{1-2/k} \log_{1+\varepsilon} ((1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2}), \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\mathcal{D}(B) \geq 1$ holds on termination. This proves

Corollary 1. *Given an almost slide-reduced-basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ and blocksize $k/2, n = hk$, **ASR** finds within $\frac{1}{3} \frac{nh^2}{1-2/k} \log_{1+\varepsilon} ((1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2})$ rounds of three local SVP-computations an asr-basis of blocksize k and ε unless it terminates with $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$.*

This shows that the upper bound on the number of rounds of **ASR** with blocksize k and ε of Theorem 1 decreases for $\varepsilon \leq 0.01$ and $\alpha \approx 4/3$ by a factor

$$4/((1-2/k)k) \ln \alpha / \ln((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_{k/2}) \approx 4(k-2)^{-1} \ln \gamma_{k/2} / \ln(4/3)$$

provided that the input basis B is an asr-basis with blocksize $k/2$. For $k = 32$ this is less than a 0.5-fraction of the $\frac{n^2 h}{12} \log_{1+\varepsilon} \alpha$ bound of Theorem 1, where the input is an LLL-basis for δ, α . It halves run time. Here we assume that $\gamma_{16} \approx 2\sqrt{2}$.

Fast slide-reduction for extremely small ε . Instead of running **ASR** with a very small ε and some k on an input LLL-basis it is faster to first run **ASR** for some $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$ and $k' > k$ such that

$$((1+\varepsilon)\gamma_k)^{k'-1} > ((1+\varepsilon')\gamma_{k'})^{k-1}. \quad (6)$$

Then perform on this asr-basis **ASR**-rounds for ε, k for such ℓ that the **ASR**-round can possibly change B , and terminate when B can no more change. (6) implies that the upper bound **3** on $\|\mathbf{b}_1\|/(\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n}$ is smaller for an asr-basis with ε', k' than for an asr-basis with ε, k . This suggests that there are most likely only a few **ASR**-rounds for ε, k .

Lemma 1. Any asr-basis $B = [\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n] \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for $\varepsilon < 1/M_0^{2n}$, $M_0 := \max(\|\mathbf{b}_1\|^2, \dots, \|\mathbf{b}_n\|^2)$, is asr-basis for $\varepsilon = 0$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be minimal such that B is asr-basis for ε, k . We see from the proof of (3) that the inequality **2** of Def. 1 holds with equality for some $\ell = \ell_{max}$. Consider an artificial **ASR**-round performed on B with $\ell = \ell_{max}$ resulting in $r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}^{new} = \max_{R'_\ell T} r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}$. Let $\mathbf{D}_\ell := (\det[\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{k\ell}])^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote the value before and \mathbf{D}_ℓ^{new} after this round. Then $\mathbf{D}_\ell^{new} < \mathbf{D}_\ell$ because $\det R_\ell$ decreases in that round. Importantly, the values $(r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1})^2 \mathbf{D}_\ell, (r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}^{new})^2 \mathbf{D}_\ell^{new}$ before and after this round are integers – this claim is analogous to [LLL82, (1.28)]. As **2** of Def. 1 holds with equality we have $(r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}^{new})^2 = (1+\varepsilon)(r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1})^2$ and thus

$$\varepsilon, (r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1})^2, (r_{k\ell+1, k\ell+1}^{new})^2 \in \mathbb{Z}/(\mathbf{D}_\ell \mathbf{D}_\ell^{new}).$$

Hence $\varepsilon \geq 1/(\mathbf{D}_\ell \mathbf{D}_\ell^{new}) \geq 1/M_0^{2n-2k}$ since $\mathbf{D}_\ell^{new} < \mathbf{D}_\ell \leq M_0^{k\ell} \leq M_0^{n-k}$. Therefore, the minimality of ε implies that either $\varepsilon = 0$ or $\varepsilon > 1/M_0^{2n}$. This proves the claim. \square

Accelerating LLL-reduction (ALR). We accelerate LLL-reduction by performing either Gauß-reductions, i.e., LLL-reductions with $\delta = 1$, or LLL-swaps on $\mathbf{b}_\ell, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ for an ℓ that promises maximal reduction progress.

We value the reduction of the basis B satisfying $\max_\ell \|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 > \frac{4}{3}$ the integer μ defined by

$$2^{2\mu-1} < \max_\ell \|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq 2^{2\mu}. \quad (7)$$

ALR iterates the following loop:

```

WHILE the loop changes  $B$  DO
  IF  $\mu \geq 0$  THEN for an  $\ell$  that maximizes  $\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|/\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|$  LLL-reduce  $\pi_\ell(\mathbf{b}_\ell), \pi_\ell(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})$ 
    with  $\delta = 1$ . ( this is a Gauß-reduction of  $\pi_\ell(\mathbf{b}_\ell), \pi_\ell(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})$  )
  ELSE choose an  $\ell$  that after the size-reduction  $\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1} := \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1} - \lceil r_{\ell, \ell+1}/r_{\ell, \ell} \rceil \mathbf{b}_\ell$ 
    maximizes  $\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\pi_\ell(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})\|^2$ . If  $\|\pi_\ell(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})\|^2 \leq \delta \|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2$  swap  $\mathbf{b}_\ell, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ 
    and size-reduce  $\mathbf{b}_\ell, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$  against  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{\ell-1}$ . end while
  termination size-reduce the basis  $B$  to satisfy  $|r_{i,j}| \leq \frac{1}{2} r_{i,i}$  for all  $j > i$ .

```

Theorem 4. Given an LLL-basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ for $\delta' < 1$, $\alpha' = 1/(\delta' - 1/4)$ **ALR** with δ such that $1 > \delta > \max(\delta', \frac{1}{2})$ terminates within $\frac{n^3}{12} \log_{1/\delta} \alpha'$ rounds of Gauß-reductions, resp. LLL-swaps at an LLL-basis for δ , unless it arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) := \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2)^{n\ell-\ell^2} < 1$.

Theorem 4 proves that the number of rounds of **ALR** is $O(n^3)$ for input LLL-bases of arbitrary quality δ, α , a bound that is independent of $\text{size}(B)$, whereas the number of rounds is for the original LLL-algorithm [LLL82] merely polynomial in $\text{size}(B)$.

Proof. We use $\mathcal{D}(B)$ for blocksize 1, $\mathcal{D}(B) := \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2)^{\ell(n-\ell)}$. Each round decreases $\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2$ by a factor δ , and both $\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2$, $\mathcal{D}(B)$ by a factor δ^2 . Then the number of rounds until either an LLL-basis for δ appears or else $\mathcal{D}(B) \leq 1$ is at most

$$\frac{1}{2} \log_{1/\delta} \mathcal{D}(B) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_{1/\delta} (\alpha')^{\frac{n^3 - n^2 - n}{6}} \leq \frac{n^3}{12} \log_{1/\delta} \alpha'. \quad \square$$

The workload per round. If each round completely size-reduces $\mathbf{b}_\ell, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ against $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{\ell-1}$ it requires $O(n^2)$ arithmetic steps. If we only size-reduce $\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ against \mathbf{b}_ℓ then a round costs merely $O(n)$ arithmetic steps but the length of the integers might explode. This explosion can be prevented at low costs by doing size-reduction in segments, see [S06], [KS01]. Note that the bit complexity of the round can be made quasi-linear in $\text{size}(B)$ by the method of [NSV10]: perform the arithmetic steps of the round on the leading bits of the entries of the basis matrix using fast integer arithmetic.

Corollary 2. *The μ -value of the input basis satisfies $\mu \leq \log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 M_0$, let $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ $c \geq 0$ be constant. Within $\frac{2n^3}{3}(\mu + 2^c)$ rounds **ALR** either decreases the initial μ to $\mu \leq -c$ or else arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$. This number of rounds is polynomial in n if $\log_2 \log_2 M_0 \leq n^{O(1)}$.*

Proof. As initially $\frac{4}{3} 2^{2\mu-1} \leq \max_\ell \|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3} 2^{2\mu}$ Each round of **ALR** with $\ell = \ell_{max}$ decreases $\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2$ by a factor $2^{-2\mu-1}$, where μ is the initial value of the round. Following the fact in the proof of Theorem 1 this decreases $\mathcal{D}(B) =_{def} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2)^{n\ell - \ell^2}$ for $k = 1$ as $\mathcal{D}(B^{new}) / \mathcal{D}(B^{old}) \leq 2^{-2\mu-1}$. This bounds the number $\#It_\mu$ of **ALR**-rounds for the reduction of μ to $\mu - 1$ to

$$\#It_\mu \leq \frac{n^3 - n^2 - n}{3} (2^\mu + \log_2 \frac{4}{3}) / 2^{\mu-1}$$

unless **ALR** arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$. Similarly **ALR** decreases the μ of the input-basis within at most

$$\frac{n^3}{3} (2(\mu + c) + \log_2 \frac{4}{3} \sum_{i=-c}^{\mu} 2^{-i+1}) < \frac{2n^3}{3} (\mu + c + 2^{c+1} \log_2 \frac{4}{3}) < \frac{2n^3}{3} (\mu + \cdot 2^c)$$

rounds to $-|c|$ unless it arrives at $\mathcal{D}(B) < 1$.

The bound $\mu \leq \log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 M_0$ follows from (7) and $\|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \geq 1/M_0^{2n}$. \square

LLL-reduction for extremely small $1 - \delta$. It follows from Cor. 2 that LLL-reduction with $\delta = 1$ is in polynomial time $n^{O(1)}$ if $\log_2 \text{size}(B) = n^{O(1)}$. For this first compute an LLL-basis for $\delta = 3/4$, transform it into a strong asr-basis for $k = 3$ and $\varepsilon = 0.07$. As $\gamma_2^2 > 1.07 \gamma_3$ the proven bound for $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| / (\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n}$ is smaller for this asr-basis than for an LLL-basis with $\delta = 1$. Transform the asr-basis by iterating **ALR**-rounds with $\varepsilon = 0$ that can possibly change B . The work load of the latter **ALR**-rounds should be negligible compared to the previous reductions.

Next we study LLL-reduction for extremely small $1 - \delta$ by block reduction of dimension 2.

Lemma 2. *Every LLL-basis $B = [\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n]$ for $\delta > 1 - 1/M_0^{2n}$, $M_0 := \max(\|\mathbf{b}_1\|^2, \dots, \|\mathbf{b}_n\|^2)$, is LLL-basis for $\delta = 1$ and thus $\max_\ell \|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}$.*

Proof. Follow the proof of Lemma 1 for $\varepsilon = 1 - \delta$. Let δ be maximal such that B is LLL-basis for δ . Consider the effect of an artificial **ALR**-round with $\ell = \ell_{max}$ that maximizes $r_{\ell,\ell}^2 / r_{\ell+1,\ell+1}^2$ performed on the LLL-basis B for $\delta < 1$ and resulting in $(r_{\ell,\ell}^{new})^2 = \delta r_{\ell,\ell}^2$. This holds as δ is maximal such that B is LLL-basis for δ . Then

$$\mathbf{D}_\ell = (\det[\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_\ell])^2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad r_{\ell,\ell}^2 \mathbf{D}_{\ell-1}, (r_{\ell,\ell}^{new})^2 \mathbf{D}_{\ell-1}^{new} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$1 - \delta \geq 1 / (\mathbf{D}_{\ell-1} \mathbf{D}_{\ell-1}^{new}) > 1 / M_0^{2n-2}, \text{ and thus } 1 - \delta < 1 / M_0^{2n} \text{ implies } \delta = 1. \quad \square$$

Comparison with previous algorithms for LLL-reduction. The original LLL for $\delta = \frac{3}{4}$ [LLL82] has bit-complexity $O(n^{5+\varepsilon} (\log_2 M_0)^{2+\varepsilon})$ performing $O(n^2 \log_{1/\delta} M_0)$ rounds, each round size-reduces some \mathbf{b}_ℓ in n^2 arithmetic steps on integers of bit-length $n \log_2 M_0$; ε in the exponent comes from the fast FFT-multiplication of integers. The $n \log_2 M_0$ bit-length of integers has been reduced to $n + \log_2 M_0$ by orthogonalizing the basis in floating point arithmetic. It is

well known that the LLL-time can be reduced by 10 - 15 % by successively increasing δ from $3/4, 7/8, 15/16, 31/32, 63/64$ to 0.99.

To minimize the workload of size-reduction **ALR** should be organized according to segment reduction of [KS01], [S06] doing most of the size-reductions locally on segments of k basis vectors. The bit-complexity of Gauß-reduction of $\pi_\ell(\mathbf{b}_\ell), \pi_\ell(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})$ is quasi-linear in the bit-length of $\mathbf{b}_\ell, \mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}$ [NSV10]. Therefore we do not split up this LLL-reduction into LLL-swaps. Gauß-reduction of $\pi_\ell(\mathbf{b}_\ell), \pi_\ell(\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1})$ for $\ell = \ell_{max}$ decreases $\mathcal{D}(B)$ by the factor $2^{-2^\mu-1}$ while LLL-swaps guarantee only a decrease by the factor $\frac{3}{4}$.

A result that is very close to Cor. 2 and Cor. 3 has been proved independently in Lemma 12 of [HPS11]: $\max_\ell \|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3} + \varepsilon$ can be achieved in polynomial time $n^{O(1)} \text{size}(B)^{1+o(1)}$ for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ by block reduction in dimension 2.

Early Termination (ET). Terminate as soon as $\mathcal{D}(B) < (\frac{4}{3})^{\frac{n^3-n^2-n}{6}}$.

$\mathcal{D}(B) < (\frac{4}{3})^{\frac{n^3-n^2-n}{6}}$ implies that $\mathbf{E}[\ln(\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^*\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}^*\|^2)] < \ln(4/3)$ holds for random ℓ and $\mathbf{Pr}(\ell) = 6 \frac{\ell h - \ell^2}{h^3 - h^2 - h}$. In this sense the output basis approximates "on the average" the logarithm of the inequality $\|\mathbf{b}_1\| / (\det \mathcal{L})^{1/n} \leq (\frac{4}{3})^{\frac{n-1}{4}}$ that holds for ideal LLL-bases with $\delta = 1$.

Corollary 3. **ALR** terminates under **ET** in $n^3(\mu + |\mu_0|)/3$ rounds, where μ, μ_0 are the μ -values of the input and output basis. Moreover $|\mu_0| \leq n \log_2 M_0$ and $\mu \leq \log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 M_0$.

Proof. Consider the number $\#It_\mu$ of rounds until either the current μ decreases to $\mu - 1$ or else $\mathcal{D}(B)$ becomes less than $(4/3)^{\frac{n^3-n^2-n}{6}}$. As in the proof of Corollary 2 each round with μ results in Gauß-reduction under π_ℓ if $\mu \geq 0$, resp. an LLL-swap if $\mu < 0$, results in

$$\|\mathbf{b}_\ell^{*new}\|^2 < \|\mathbf{b}_\ell^{*old}\|^2 2^{-2^{\mu-2}} \quad \text{hence} \quad \mathcal{D}(B^{new}) < \mathcal{D}(B^{old}) 2^{-2^{\mu-1}}.$$

Under **ET** this shows as in the proof of Cor. 1 that

$$\#It_\mu < \log_2(\mathcal{D}(B^{(in)}) / (\mathcal{D}(B^{(fin)}))) / 2^{\mu-1} \leq (2^\mu \frac{n^3-n^2-n}{6}) / 2^{\mu-1} = \frac{n^3-n^2-n}{3}.$$

Hence μ decreases to $\mu - 1$ under **ET** in less than $\frac{n^3-n^2-n}{3}$ rounds. The proof of Lemma 1 shows that $|m_0| \leq n \log_2 M_0$. \square

Open problem. Does **ALR** realize $\max_\ell \|\mathbf{b}_\ell\|^2 / \|\mathbf{b}_{\ell+1}\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}$ in a polynomial number of rounds? Can **ALR** perform for $\mu \ll 0$ without **ET** more than $O(n^3)$ rounds until either the current μ decreases to $\mu - 1$ or that $\mathcal{D}(B) \leq 1$? We can exclude this for $\mu \geq 0$ and under **ET** also for $\mu < 0$.

References

- [GHKN] N. Gama, N. Howgrave-Graham, H. Koy and P. Q. Nguyen, Rankin's constant and block-wise lattice reduction. In Proc. of CRYPTO'06, LNCS 4117, Springer, pp. 112–130, 2006.
- [GN08] N. Gama and P. Nguyen, Finding Short Lattice Vectors within Mordell's Inequality, In Proc. of the ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing **STOC'08**, pp. 208–216, 2008.
- [GN08b] N. Gama and P.Q. Nguyen, Predicting lattice reduction, in Proc. EUROCRYPT 2008, LNCS 4965, Springer-Verlag, pp. 31–51, 2008.
- [HPS11] G. Hanrot, X. Pujol and D. Stehlé, Terminating BKZ, Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 198, 2011, personal communication 21.2.2011, final version in Proc. CRYPTO'11, LNCS 6841, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [KS01] H. Koy and C.P. Schnorr Segment LLL-reduction of lattice bases, In *Proceedings of the 2001 Cryptography and Lattice Conference (CACL'01)*, LNCS 2146, Springer-Verlag, pp. 67–80, 2001.
- [LLL82] H.W. Lenstra Jr., A.K. Lenstra and L. Lovász, Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients, *Mathematische Annalen* 261, pp. 515–534, 1982.
- [NSV10] A. Novocia, D. Stehlé and G. Villard An LLL-reduction algorithm with quasilinear time complexity. Technical Report, version 1, Nov. 2010.
- [S87] C.P. Schnorr, A hierarchy of polynomial time lattice basis reduction algorithms. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, **53**, pp. 201–224, 1987.
- [S06] C.P. Schnorr, Fast LLL-type lattice reduction, *Information and Computation* 204, pp. 1–25, 2006.