

# Note on Direct Product Testing with Nearly Identical Sets

Irit Dinur\*

May 5, 2016

We show a simple reduction from direct product testing with large intersection size  $(1 - \delta)n$  to direct product testing with linear intersection size  $\theta(n)$ . The linear intersection regime was analyzed in [1] by the author and Steurer. Moshkovitz [3] is interested in the large-intersection regime because of its possible connection to unique games questions, see [2].

Let  $f : U^n \rightarrow R^n$ . We will consider the direct product test in which the function  $f$  is queried in two locations  $x$  and  $x'$  and the values  $f(x)$  and  $f(x')$  are compared on a set of indices ("the intersection") where  $x_i = x'_i$ .

Let  $B_\alpha$  be the distribution over triples  $x, T, x'$  parameterized by  $0 < \alpha < 1$  as follows.

1. Select  $T \subset [n]$  from the binomial distribution  $B(n, \alpha)$ , i.e. for each  $i$  independently put  $i$  in  $T$  with probability  $\alpha$ .
2. Select  $x \in U^n$  uniformly, select  $x' \in U^n$  uniformly conditioned on  $x'_T = x_T$ .

Let

$$\text{test}(\alpha) = \mathbb{P}_{x, T, x' \sim B_\alpha} [f(x)_T = f(x')_T].$$

The main point in this note is that

**Proposition 1.** For every  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $\text{test}((1 - \delta)^2) \geq (\text{test}(1 - \delta))^2$ .

**Corollary 2.** Fix  $0 < \delta, \beta < 1$ . If  $\text{test}(1 - \delta) > \beta^{\delta n}$  then  $\text{test}(\alpha) > \beta^n$ , where  $\frac{1}{e^2} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{e}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \geq 0$  be an integer such that  $2^{-p} < \delta \leq 2^{-p+1}$ . By repeating the inequality  $p$  times we can deduce that

$$\text{test}(\alpha) \geq (\text{test}(1 - \delta))^{2^p} \geq (\text{test}(1 - \delta))^{2/\delta}$$

where  $\alpha = (1 - \delta)^{2^p}$  is a constant which is between  $1/e^2$  and  $1/e$ . □

---

\*Department of Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, Weizmann Institute.

This almost suffices for applying the local-structure lemma of the author and Steurer [1, Lemma 1.2]. We must make one small tweak since the test distribution in [1] is not  $B_\alpha$  but rather  $B_{=k}$ , defined by selecting a random subset  $T \subset [n]$  of size exactly  $k$  and then two random strings  $x, x' \in U^n$  such that  $x_T = (x')_T$ . Denote

$$\text{test}(k) = \mathbb{P}_{(x, T, x') \sim B_{=k}} [f(x)_T = f(x')_T].$$

Clearly  $\text{test}(\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \alpha^k (1-\alpha)^{n-k} \text{test}(k)$  and using standard tail bounds we can deduce that if  $\text{test}(\alpha) > \beta^n$  then  $\text{test}(k) > \beta^n - \exp(-n)$  for some  $k \approx \alpha n$  where  $\exp(-n)$  is an error term that comes from a tail inequality. Thus,

**Corollary 3.** Fix  $0 < \delta, \beta < 1$ . If  $\text{test}(1 - \delta) > \beta^{\delta n}$  then there is some  $n/10 \leq k \leq n/2$  such that  $\text{test}(k) > \beta^n - \exp(-n)$ .

The main theorem in [3] follows directly from this corollary together with the direct product testing result [1, Lemma 1.2] for linear intersection size, (a more friendly version appears as Lemma 1.1 in [3]). This is meaningful even for  $\delta = 1/n$ , i.e. for the largest possible intersection, of  $n - 1$  elements (in expectation).

*Proof.* (of Proposition 1) For an event  $A$  denote by  $\mathbf{1}(A)$  the corresponding indicator variable.

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{test}(1 - \delta))^2 &= \left( \mathbb{E}_x \mathbb{E}_{T, x' | x} \mathbf{1}(f(x)_T = f(x')_T) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_x \left( \mathbb{E}_{T, x' | x} \mathbf{1}(f(x)_T = f(x')_T) \right)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left( \mathbb{E}_{T_1, x_1 | x} \mathbf{1}(f(x_1)_{T_1} = f(x)_{T_1}) \right) \left( \mathbb{E}_{T_2, x_2 | x} \mathbf{1}(f(x_2)_{T_2} = f(x)_{T_2}) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left( \mathbb{E}_{x_1, T_1, x_2, T_2 | x} \mathbf{1}(f(x_1)_{T_1} = f(x)_{T_1}) \cdot \mathbf{1}(f(x_2)_{T_2} = f(x)_{T_2}) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x, T_1, x_1, T_2, x_2} \mathbf{1}(f(x_1)_{T_1} = f(x)_{T_1} \text{ and } f(x_2)_{T_2} = f(x)_{T_2}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{x, T_1, x_1, T_2, x_2} \mathbf{1}(f(x_1)_{T_1 \cap T_2} = f(x_2)_{T_1 \cap T_2}) \\ &= \text{test}((1 - \delta)^2) \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is Jensen's inequality, and the last equality is because the triple  $x_1, T_1 \cap T_2, x_2$  is distributed exactly according to  $B_{(1-\delta)^2}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Irit Dinur and David Steurer. Direct product testing. In *IEEE 29th Conference on Computational Complexity, CCC 2014, Vancouver, BC, Canada, June 11-13, 2014*, pages 188–196, 2014. 1, 2
- [2] Subhash Khot and Dana Moshkovitz. Candidate lasserre integrality gap for unique games. In *Proc. 48th ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing*, 2016. 1
- [3] Dana Moshkovitz. Direct product testing with nearly identical sets. *Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity (ECCC)*, 21:182, 2014. 1, 2