

# An Optimal Algorithm for Certifying Monotone Functions

Meghal Gupta \*  
Microsoft Research

Naren Sarayu Manoj†  
Toyota Technological Institute Chicago

April 4, 2022

## Abstract

Given query access to a monotone function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  with certificate complexity  $C(f)$  and an input  $x^*$ , we design an algorithm that outputs a size- $C(f)$  subset of  $x^*$  certifying the value of  $f(x^*)$ . Our algorithm makes  $O(C(f) \cdot \log n)$  queries to  $f$ , which matches the information-theoretic lower bound for this problem and resolves the concrete open question posed in the STOC '22 paper of Blanc, Koch, Lange, and Tan [BKLT22].

We extend this result to an algorithm that finds a size- $2C(f)$  certificate for a real-valued monotone function with  $O(C(f) \cdot \log n)$  queries. We also complement our algorithms with a hardness result, in which we show that finding the shortest possible certificate in  $x^*$  may require  $\Omega\left(\binom{n}{C(f)}\right)$  queries in the worst case.

## 1 Introduction

Given a function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  for some output domain  $\mathbb{D}$  and an input  $x^*$ , is there a short proof for why  $f(x^*)$  takes on the value it does? This natural question motivates the notion of *certificate complexity* in complexity theory. Loosely speaking, a certificate for  $f(x^*) = y$  is a subset of the bits of  $x^*$  that “fixes” the value of  $f(x^*)$ . In other words, every input  $x$  that agrees with  $x^*$  on the bits in the certificate will satisfy  $f(x) = f(x^*)$ . Besides being a quantity of interest in complexity theory and in the analysis of Boolean functions, certificate complexity has a natural interpretation in the context of explainable AI. Here, the practitioner aims to find simple properties of a given input that explain a classifier’s prediction on the input. We formalize the notion of a certificate in Definition 1.1.

**Definition 1.1** (Certificate (see, e.g., [AB09])). *Let  $x|_S$  denote the substring of  $x$  in the coordinates of  $S$ .*

*For a function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  and an input  $x^* \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we say a set  $S \subseteq [n]$  is a certificate if for all  $y \in \{0, 1\}^n$  such that  $x^*|_S = y|_S$ , we have  $f(x^*) = f(y)$ .*

We use Definition 1.1 to define the certificate complexity of a function  $f$ .

**Definition 1.2** (Certificate complexity (see, e.g., [AB09])). *For any function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  and  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we let  $C(f, x)$  be the smallest integer such that there exists a  $C(f, x)$ -sized certificate for  $f(x) = j$ . We now let the certificate complexity of  $f$  be  $\max_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} C(f, x)$ .*

---

\*E-mail:meghal@mit.edu

†E-mail:nsm@ttic.edu.

A natural follow-up question from Definition 1.2 is whether a short certificate can be found in a given input if we know that all inputs have a short certificate. The following problem, posed and studied in the STOC '22 paper of [BKLT22], formalizes this question.

**Problem.** *Given queries to a function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  with certificate complexity  $C(f)$  and an input  $x^*$ , output a size- $C(f)$  certificate for  $f$ 's value on  $x^*$ .*

The main result of [BKLT22] is an algorithm for the case where  $f$  is monotone and the output range  $\mathbb{D} = \{0, 1\}$ . The authors design a randomized algorithm that makes at most  $O(C(f)^8 \cdot \log n)$  queries using a novel connection to threshold phenomena. Furthermore, [BKLT22] show that  $\Omega(C(f) \cdot \log n)$  queries for the certification problem are necessary in the worst-case. The authors identify closing this gap as a concrete direction for future work.

## 1.1 Our Results

Our main result is a simple, deterministic algorithm that makes  $O(C(f) \cdot \log n)$  queries to find a size- $C(f)$  certificate for any monotone binary-valued function  $f$  and input  $x^*$ . This completely resolves the aforementioned open question from [BKLT22]. Formally, we have Theorem 1.3.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Given query access to a monotone function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and an input  $x^*$ , there exists an algorithm that makes  $O(C(f) \cdot \log n)$  queries to  $f$  and outputs a size- $C(f)$  subset  $S$  corresponding to a subset of indices of  $x^*$  certifying the value of  $f(x^*)$ .*

We can extend our result to obtain as a simple corollary an algorithm that finds a size- $2C(f)$  certificate for any monotone **real**-valued function  $f$  and input  $x^*$ . Specifically, we have Theorem 1.4.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Given query access to a monotone function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and an input  $x^*$ , there exists an algorithm that makes  $O(C(f) \cdot \log n)$  queries to  $f$  and outputs a size- $2C(f)$  subset  $S$  corresponding to a subset of indices of  $x^*$  certifying the value of  $f(x^*)$ .*

The careful reader might also wonder why we are only looking for a certificate of size- $C(f)$  on the input  $x^*$  – by definition, the shortest certificate on a fixed input  $x^*$  is size- $C(f, x^*)$ . We show that finding a certificate of length  $C(f, x^*)$  may require far more queries than simply finding one of length  $C(f)$ . In particular, in the case where  $C(f, x^*) = n/2$ , it may require exponentially many queries. Moreover, our result matches the trivial upper bound provided by an algorithm which simply queries all  $C(f, x^*)$ -size certificates. See Theorem 1.5.

**Theorem 1.5.** *For any  $k$ , for any (randomized) algorithm that queries a given function, there exists a function  $f$  and input  $x^*$  such that  $k = C(f, x^*)$ , and the algorithm must make at least  $\frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{k}$  queries to determine a certificate with probability  $> 1/2$ .*

## 1.2 Related Work

We derive our setting and problem statements from the work of [BKLT22]. The authors of [BKLT22] formally propose the problem of certifying a monotone function  $f$  on an input  $x^*$  and provide an algorithm for doing so, as mentioned earlier. They also look at the certification question for a general (non-monotone) function  $f$ . Here, they show  $\Omega(2^{C(f)} + C(f) \cdot \log n)$  queries are necessary, and

$O(2^{C(f)} \cdot C(f) \cdot \log n)$  queries suffice with high probability. Closing this gap remains an interesting open direction.

Before the work of [BKLT22], Angluin (see [Ang88]) gave a local search algorithm that can be used to certify a monotone function  $f$  on an input  $x^*$  with query complexity  $O(n)$ . See Appendix C in [BKLT22] for a detailed exposition and proof of correctness of Angluin’s algorithm.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Notation** In this work, we use the following notation.

- We denote the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : 1 \leq x \leq n\}$  as  $[n]$ . In an abuse of notation, let  $[0] = \emptyset$ .
- For a set  $S \subseteq [n]$ , we write  $x_S$  to be the indicator vector for  $S$ ; i.e.,  $x_S$  is such that  $x_i = \mathbb{1}\{i \in S\}$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ . Additionally, we write  $x|_S$  to be the substring of  $x$  in the coordinates of  $S$ . Specifically, we have  $x|_S = \{(i, x_i) \text{ for all } i \in S\}$ .
- Let  $\mathbb{1}^n$  denote the all-1s vector in  $n$  dimensions.
- For a vector  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we denote  $S_x$  to be  $\{i : x_i = 1\}$ .

In our work, it is helpful to distinguish a *minimal* certificate from a general certificate.

**Definition 2.1** (Minimal Certificate). *For a given function  $f$ , we say a certificate  $S \subseteq [n]$  is minimal if for all  $a \in S$ , we have that  $S \setminus a$  is not a certificate for  $f(x)$ .*

*If  $f$  is monotone, this is equivalent to requiring that for all  $A \subset S$ , we have  $f(x|_A) \neq f(x|_S)$ .*

Finally, we note the information-theoretic lower bound from [BKLT22] on the query complexity of any algorithm used to certify  $f(x)$  for a monotone function  $f$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Claim 1.2 in [BKLT22]). *For any  $c < 1$  and any  $k \leq l \leq n^c$ , let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algorithm which, given query access to a monotone function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  with certificate complexity  $\leq k$  and an input  $x^*$ , returns a size- $l$  certificate for  $f$ ’s value on  $x^*$  with high probability. The query complexity of  $\mathcal{A}$  must be  $\Omega(k \log n)$ .*

## 3 Our Algorithm to Certify a Binary Monotone Function

We first restate the problem.

**Problem.** *Given query access to a monotone function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  with certificate complexity  $C(f)$  and an input  $x^*$ , output a size- $C(f)$  certificate for  $f$ ’s value on  $x^*$ .*

### 3.1 Overview of Our Algorithm

We informally describe our algorithm. Without loss of generality, we let  $x^*$  be such that  $f(x^*) = 1$ . A valid certificate is any subset  $S \subset S_{x^*}$  of indices such that  $f(x_S) = 1$ .

We add elements into our certificate  $A$  one-by-one. To do this, we simply iterate the following steps until  $A$  is a valid certificate:

1. Find the smallest  $s \in S_{x^*}$  such that including all  $i \leq s \in S_{x^*}$  in the certificate, along with elements already in  $A$ , yields a valid certificate.

2. Add  $s$  to  $A$ .

Because the function is monotone,  $s$  can be found through binary search at each step. Moreover, observe that removing any one element from  $A$  no longer yields a valid certificate; thus, as we will show in Lemma 3.3, the output certificate is length at most  $C(f)$ . This also implies the algorithm makes a total of  $O(C(f) \cdot \log n)$  queries.

### 3.2 Formal Description of Our Algorithm

We state our algorithm formally. In our algorithm description and analysis, we assume without loss of generality that  $f(x^*) = 1$ . We can make this assumption since if  $f(x^*) = 0$ , we can instead run the algorithm making queries to  $g(x) := 1 - f(\mathbb{1}^n - x)$ , which is a monotone function with  $g(x^*) = 1$ .

**Definition 3.1** (search). *The procedure  $\text{search}(f, A, S)$  acts on a monotone function  $f \in \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , and two sets  $A, S \subseteq [n]$ . If  $f(x_A) = 1$  or  $f(x_{A \cup S}) = 0$ , it outputs ERROR. Else, it outputs the smallest  $s \in S$  for which  $f(x_{A \cup ([s] \cap S)}) = 1$ . The function proceeds using binary search, which can be done because  $f$  is monotone.*

#### Algorithm 1 : Algorithm to Certify a Binary Monotone Function Where $f(x^*) = 1$

1. **Input:** Query access to a function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and point  $x^*$  for which  $f(x^*) = 1$ .
2. Initialize the sets  $A \leftarrow \emptyset$  and  $S \leftarrow S_{x^*}$ .
3. Run the following procedure until  $f(x_A) = 1$ :
  - (a) Set  $s \leftarrow \text{search}(f, A, S)$ .
  - (b) Add  $s$  to  $A$ .
  - (c) Set  $S \leftarrow S \cap [s - 1]$
4. **Output:**  $A$ .

### 3.3 Analysis

**Theorem 3.2.** *The Algorithm in 3.2 outputs a certificate of length at most  $C(f)$  for  $f$  on  $x^*$  and makes at most  $O(C(f) \cdot \log n)$  queries.*

We break the proof down into a series of lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $S$  is a minimal certificate, then  $|S| \leq C(f)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the shortest certificate  $C$  for the input  $x_S$ . We must have  $f(x_C) = f(x_S)$ , and  $|C| \leq C(f)$ . The fact that  $|C| < |S|$  and  $f(x_C) = f(x_S)$  contradicts that  $S$  is minimal.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *The Algorithm in 3.2 never outputs ERROR.*

*Proof.* If the algorithm outputs ERROR, it must be in Step 3a. By definition, an error occurs if  $f(x_A) = 1$  or  $f(x_{A \cup S}) = 0$ . The former cannot be true because the algorithm checks this exact condition in Step 3. The latter cannot be true because:

- If this is the first iteration of Step 3,  $A \cup S = S_{x^*}$ , which means  $f(x_{A \cup S_{x^*}}) = f(x^*) = 1$ .
- Else, in the previous iteration of Step 3a (let the values of  $A, S, s$  at that step be  $A', S', s'$  respectively), it must have been the case that  $f(x_{A' \cup (S' \cap [s'])}) = 1$ . Note that  $A' \cup (S' \cap [s']) = A \cup (S' \cap [s' - 1]) = A \cup S$ , and so  $f(x_{A \cup S}) = 1$ .

□

**Lemma 3.5.** *If the Algorithm in 3.2 terminates, it outputs a minimal certificate for  $f$  on  $x^*$ .*

*Proof.* It must be the case that  $f(A) = 1$ ; otherwise, we could not have left Step 3. Consider any  $s \in A$  and we will show that  $f(A \setminus s) = 0$ .

At the iteration of Step 3 where  $s$  was added to  $A$  (let the temporary certificate  $A$  at the start of that step be  $A_s$ ), it must be the case that  $f(x_{(S \cap [s]) \cup A_s}) = 1$  but  $f(x_{(S \cap [s-1]) \cup A_s}) = 0$ . All future elements that are added to create the final certificate  $A$  must be a subset of  $S \cap [s - 1]$  (where  $S$  is being referenced from the current iteration of Step 3). Therefore,  $A \setminus S \subseteq (S \cap [s - 1]) \cup A_s$ , and therefore  $f(A \setminus s) = 0$ . □

**Lemma 3.6.** *The Algorithm in 3.2 terminates, making at most  $O(C(f) \log n)$  queries.*

*Proof.* Observe that in every iteration of the main loop, we add exactly one element to  $A$ . By Lemma 3.3, there are at most  $C(f)$  coordinates in the output  $A$ . Hence, we run the main loop at most  $C(f)$  times.

Next,  $\text{search}(f, A, S)$  is a binary search over a domain of size  $|S| \leq |S_{x^*}| \leq n$ . Therefore,  $\text{search}(f, A, S)$  uses at most  $\log n$  queries.

Finally, the check  $f(x_A^*) = 1$  costs 1 query, and this runs at the beginning of every iteration of the loop. In total, we make at most  $C(f) \cdot (\log n + 1)$  queries, as desired. □

Combining these lemmas finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

## 4 Extension to Real-Valued Functions

In this section, we prove the following corollary of our main result wherein the output domain is  $\mathbb{R}$  instead of  $\{0, 1\}$ .

**Corollary 4.1.** *There exists an algorithm that, given an input  $x^*$  and query access to a monotone  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , makes  $O(C(f) \cdot \log n)$  queries to  $f$  and outputs a size- $2 \cdot C(f)$  certificate for  $f(x^*)$ .*

### 4.1 Our Algorithm

We begin with two necessary definitions.

**Definition 4.2** ( $\text{binary\_cert}(f, x^*)$ ). *The procedure  $\text{binary\_cert}(f, x^*)$  is given query access to function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and an input  $x^*$ , runs our algorithm from Section 3, and outputs a size- $C(f)$  certificate for  $f(x^*)$ .*

**Definition 4.3** ( $g_{0,f,x^*}(x)$ ,  $g_{1,f,x^*}(x)$ ). Let  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $x^* \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . The function  $g_{b,f,x^*} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is defined as follows:

$$g_b(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & f(x) < f(x^*) \\ 1 & f(x) > f(x^*) \\ b & f(x) = f(x^*) \end{cases}$$

We will abbreviate  $g_{b,f,x^*} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  as  $g_b$  when  $f$  and  $x^*$  are clear.

**Algorithm 2 : Algorithm to Certify a Real-Valued Monotone Function**

1. **Input:**  $f, x^*$ .
2. Set  $C_0 \leftarrow \text{binary\_cert}(g_0, x^*)$ .
3. Set  $C_1 \leftarrow \text{binary\_cert}(g_1, x^*)$ .
4. **Output:**  $C_0 \cup C_1$ .

## 4.2 Analysis

**Theorem 4.4.** *The Algorithm in 4.1 outputs a certificate for  $f$  of length at most  $2C(f)$  and makes at most  $O(C(f) \log n)$  queries.*

We break the proof into a series of lemmas. Call the output  $A$ .

**Lemma 4.5.**  *$A$  is a valid certificate for  $f$  on  $x^*$ .*

*Proof.* For any input  $y$  such that  $y|_A = x^*|_A$ , we must have  $g_b(y) = g_b(x^*)$  for both  $b = 0, 1$ . Notice that both of the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(y) = g_0(x^*) & \text{ implying } f(y) \leq f(x^*) \\ g_1(y) = g_1(x^*) & \text{ implying } f(y) \geq f(x^*) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have  $f(y) = f(x^*)$ . □

**Lemma 4.6.**  $|A| \leq 2C(f)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $C(f) \geq C(g_b)$  for  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ . We will show that any certificate  $B$  for  $f$  on  $x$  is also a certificate for  $g_b$ . For all  $y, y'$  with  $y|_B = y'|_B$ , we have  $f(y) = f(y')$ , but this implies by definition that  $g_b(y) = g_b(y')$ . Hence,  $B$  is also a certificate for  $g_b$ . □

Combining these lemmas concludes the proof of Theorem 4.4.

## 5 Finding the Shortest Certificate for a Monotone Function

In this section, we show that there exists a family of instances on which the problem of finding the shortest certificate for a binary-valued  $f$  on an input  $x^*$  (denoted  $k := C(f, x^*)$ ) requires at least  $\Omega\left(\binom{n}{k}\right)$  queries.

Notice that this result is essentially optimal: for any function  $f$ , any input  $x^*$  and  $k = C(f, x^*)$ ,  $O\left(\binom{n}{k}\right)$  suffice to find a size- $k$  certificate. Assuming  $f(x^*) = 1$ , the algorithm can simply query  $f(x_S)$  for all subsets  $S$  of size  $k$  and check if each one of them is a certificate.

**Definition 5.1** ( $F_k$ ). We define the set of  $k$ -indicator functions, denoted  $F_k$  as follows.

Let  $f_P: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  for some  $P \subset [n]$  be defined as follows:

$$f_P(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & |S_x| < k \\ 1 & |S_x| > k \\ 0 & |S_x| = k, P \neq S_x \\ 1 & |S_x| = k, P = S_x \end{cases}$$

Finally, let  $F_k = \{f_P : |P| = k\}$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** Every function  $f \in F_k$  has  $C(f, \mathbb{1}^n) = k$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that every function in  $F_k$  is monotone for all  $k$ .

Let  $P \subset [n]$  be such that  $f_P = f$ . Observe that  $|P| = k$ . Next, notice that  $f(\mathbb{1}_P^n) = f(\mathbb{1}^n) = 1$ . This implies that  $C(f, \mathbb{1}^n) \leq |P| = k$ . Finally, consider any  $S \subset [n]$  such that  $|S| < k$ . Note that for  $x = \mathbb{1}_S^n$ , we have  $|S_x| < k$ , so  $f_P(x) = 0$ . Thus, we have  $C(f, \mathbb{1}^n) \geq k$ , and we're done.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** For any  $k \in [n - 1]$  and (randomized) algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exists a function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and input  $x^*$  with  $C(f, x^*) = k$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  must make at least  $1/2 \cdot \binom{n}{k}$  queries to  $f$  to find the size- $k$  certificate with probability  $\geq 1/2$ .

*Proof.* Fix an arbitrary  $k \in [n - 1]$ . We will show that some function  $f \in F_k$  takes  $\geq 1/2 \cdot \binom{n}{k}$  queries to certify on the input  $\mathbb{1}^n$ . Note that  $C(f, \mathbb{1}^n) = k$ . Additionally, observe that any randomized algorithm to find a certificate for  $f(\mathbb{1}^n) = 1$  can be converted to one that only makes queries  $x$  satisfying  $|S_x| = k$ .

Let  $X = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^n : |S_x| = k\}$ . Notice that  $|X| = \binom{n}{k}$ . Any randomized algorithm for finding the single  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = 1$  can be viewed as one that samples a permutation from some distribution over permutations of  $X$  and makes queries to  $f$  in the order determined by the permutation until the algorithm encounters the  $x \in X$  for which  $f(x) = 1$ . This is because query  $i$  only depends on the values of the queries  $1, \dots, i - 1$ , and not their responses – in particular, the responses to queries  $1, \dots, i - 1$  are all 0 if the algorithm has not terminated prior to issuing query  $i$ . With this interpretation in mind, fix some distribution of permutations of  $X$ ; call this distribution  $\mathcal{P}$ .

For each  $x \in X$ , consider  $\Pr_{P \in \mathcal{P}} [P^{-1}(x) \leq |X|/2]$  where  $P^{-1}(x)$  is the index of element  $x$ . Let  $\mu(P)$  denote the probability that a random permutation drawn from  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $P$ , and observe the

following manipulations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in X} \Pr_{P \in \mathcal{P}} [P^{-1}(x) \leq |X|/2] &= \sum_{x \in X} \sum_P \mu(P) \cdot \mathbb{1} \{P^{-1}(x) \leq |X|/2\} \\ &= \sum_P \mu(P) \cdot \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{1} \{P^{-1}(x) \leq |X|/2\} \\ &= \sum_P \mu(P) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot |X| = \frac{1}{2} \cdot |X| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists at least one  $x \in X$  for which  $\Pr_{P \in \mathcal{P}} [P^{-1}(x) \leq |X|/2] \leq 1/2$ . It follows that the algorithm does not find a sized- $k$  subset of  $\mathbb{1}^n$  certifying  $f(\mathbb{1}^n) = 1$  with probability  $> \frac{1}{2}$  without making at least  $\frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{k}$  queries.  $\square$

## References

- [Ang88] Dana Angluin. Queries and concept learning. *Machine learning*, 2(4):319–342, 1988.
- [AB09] Sanjeev Arora and Boaz Barak. *Computational Complexity: A Modern Approach*. Cambridge University Press, USA, 1st edition, 2009. ISBN: 0521424267.
- [BKLT22] Guy Blanc, Caleb Koch, Jane Lange, and Li-Yang Tan. The query complexity of certification, 2022. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.2201.07736](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2201.07736). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.07736>.
- [ODo21] Ryan O’Donnell. Analysis of boolean functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.10386*, 2021.