

A Note on Deterministic PIT for $\Sigma^{[3]}\Pi\Sigma\Pi^{[\delta]}$ Circuits

Zeyu Guo*

September 5, 2026

Abstract

Guo and Wang gave a deterministic polynomial-time black-box identity test for $\Sigma^{[3]}\Pi\Sigma\Pi^{[\delta]}$ circuits over fields of arbitrary characteristic, for constant δ , assuming that one product gate is squarefree. This note communicates an observation suggested by a large language model: the squarefreeness assumption can be removed by combining the normalization argument of Guo and Wang with the Mason–Stothers theorem.

1 Introduction

Garg, Oliveira, and Sengupta [GOS25] gave a deterministic polynomial-time black-box polynomial identity testing (PIT) algorithm for $\Sigma^{[3]}\Pi\Sigma\Pi^{[\delta]}$ circuits over fields of characteristic zero, for every constant δ . Guo and Wang [GW25] proved such a result over fields of arbitrary characteristic under the additional assumption that one of the three product gates is squarefree. Their argument uses constructive normalization of algebraic curves.

A large language model pointed out to us that the squarefreeness assumption in our result can be removed by combining the argument of Guo–Wang with the Mason–Stothers theorem [Mas84, Sto81], also known as the function field analogue of the ABC conjecture. In this note, we communicate this result. The resulting hitting set has size $(nd)^{O_\delta(1)}$, where n is the number of variables and d bounds the degrees of the product gates, and works over arbitrary fields.

After removing a common gcd and common Frobenius powers, we use the following dichotomy. If some irreducible factor of a product gate does not divide the output polynomial, the normalization argument of [GW25] applies without a squarefreeness assumption. Otherwise, the radical of the product of the three summands divides the nonzero output. Its degree is consequently at most the degree of a summand. A line restriction that preserves the distinct factors and their multiplicities cannot turn this sum into an identity: the Mason–Stothers theorem would give the opposite strict inequality.

The Mason–Stothers theorem and its variants have also appeared in recent work on algebraic computation. Shpilka and Tal [ST26] use a strengthening of the theorem in their deterministic PIT and reconstruction algorithms for $\Sigma^{[r]}\wedge^{[d]}\Sigma^{[s]}\Pi^{[\delta]}$ circuits over fields of characteristic zero or sufficiently large characteristic. Kedlaya and Kopparty [KK24, Section 2.2, Item 6] also remark that their lemma on consecutive zero coefficients in polynomial powers can be deduced from the Mason–Stothers theorem.

2 Removing the Squarefreeness Assumption

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field and let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ be its algebraic closure. All factorizations and squarefreeness statements below are over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$. A hitting set for a class of polynomials is a set containing a nonzero evaluation

*The Ohio State University. Email: zguotcs@gmail.com.

of every nonzero member of the class. As in [GW25], evaluation points may lie in an extension field of $\mathbb{F}$. Explicitness and running time are understood in the algebraic model used there.

For integers $n, d, \delta \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{C}_{n,d,\delta}^{\text{hom}}$ be the class of polynomials admitting a representation

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F_i \quad \text{with} \quad F_i = c_i \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} f_{i,j} \quad (1)$$

where $k \leq 3$, $c_i \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}^\times$, the $f_{i,j}$ are nonconstant homogeneous polynomials of degree at most δ , and all F_i have the same degree $D \leq d$. Empty products are allowed. We work with circuits over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$, which also include circuits originally defined over $\mathbb{F}$.

We first recall the homogeneous theorem of Guo–Wang.

Theorem 2.1 (Guo–Wang [GW25, Theorem 1.1]). *There is an explicit hitting set of size $(nd)^{O_\delta(1)}$ for the polynomials in $\mathcal{C}_{n,d,\delta}^{\text{hom}}$ admitting a representation (1) in which at least one F_i is squarefree.*

In [GW25], squarefreeness is used to find an irreducible factor θ of a summand that does not divide the output. Specifically, in the proof of [GW25, Lemma 5.5], after excluding the case $F_1 + F_2 = cF_0$, the equality of degrees gives $F_0 \nmid F_1 + F_2$. Since F_0 is squarefree, some irreducible factor θ of F_0 does not divide $F_1 + F_2$, and hence does not divide F . Once the existence of such a factor θ is assumed, the subsequent arguments do not require squarefreeness. The following lemma records this consequence of the proofs in [GW25].

Lemma 2.2 (Implicit in the proofs of [GW25, Lemmas 5.5, 5.7, and 5.8]). *There is an explicit set $H_{\text{fac}} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}^n$ of size $(nd)^{O_\delta(1)}$ with the following property. Suppose $F = F_0 + F_1 + F_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{n,d,\delta}^{\text{hom}}$ and there is an irreducible polynomial θ dividing some F_i but not dividing F . Then H_{fac} contains a nonzero evaluation of F . No squarefreeness assumption is imposed on the F_i .*

Without squarefreeness, a factor θ as in Lemma 2.2 need not exist. It remains to handle the case where every irreducible factor of $F_0 F_1 F_2$ divides F . We address this case using the Mason–Stothers theorem.

First, we need an explicit family of affine lines such that restriction to a suitable line preserves the degrees, squarefreeness, and pairwise coprimality of the factors.

Lemma 2.3 (Preserving factors on a line). *For $n, s, \delta \geq 1$, there is an explicit family $\mathcal{L}$ of affine maps $\ell : \overline{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}^n$, of size $(ns)^{O_\delta(1)}$, such that for every collection of $r \leq s$ pairwise nonassociate irreducible polynomials $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degrees at most δ , some $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ satisfies*

- (i) $\deg(\theta_i \circ \ell) = \deg \theta_i$ for all i ;
- (ii) every $\theta_i \circ \ell$ is squarefree;
- (iii) the $\theta_i \circ \ell$ are pairwise coprime.

Proof. Write $\ell(t) = a + tb$ with $2n$ parameters (a, b) . The desired conditions are ensured by the nonvanishing of the leading coefficient $\text{lc}_t \theta_i(a + tb)$, the discriminant $\text{Disc}_t \theta_i(a + tb)$, and the resultant $\text{Res}_t(\theta_i(a + tb), \theta_j(a + tb))$ for all relevant i and j . These are $O(s^2 + s)$ nonzero polynomials in the coordinates of a and b , each of degree $O(\delta^2)$ and hence with at most $(2n)^{O(\delta^2)}$ monomials. We can use the explicit hitting-set construction of Klivans and Spielman [KS01] for sparse polynomials to hit these polynomials simultaneously. The resulting set of pairs (a, b) , and thus the family $\mathcal{L}$, has size $(ns)^{O_\delta(1)}$. $\square$

We use the following characteristic-free version of the Mason–Stothers theorem; see [Jeo14].

Theorem 2.4 (Mason–Stothers). *Let $a, b, c \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}[t]$ be nonzero pairwise coprime polynomials satisfying $a + b + c = 0$. If a', b', c' are not all zero, then*

$$\max\{\deg a, \deg b, \deg c\} < \deg \text{rad}(abc).$$

We now address the case where every irreducible factor of $F_0 F_1 F_2$ divides F . We further assume that $\gcd(F_0, F_1, F_2) = 1$; a circuit satisfying this condition is called *simple*. The reduction to simple circuits is given in the proof of Theorem 2.6.

Lemma 2.5 (The case where every factor divides the sum). *Let $F = F_0 + F_1 + F_2 \neq 0$, where the F_i are nonzero homogeneous polynomials of a common degree $d > 0$, with $\gcd(F_0, F_1, F_2) = 1$. Suppose every irreducible factor of $F_0 F_1 F_2$ divides F . In positive characteristic p , suppose additionally that the F_i are not all p -th powers. If an affine line map ℓ satisfies the three conditions of Lemma 2.3 for all distinct factors of $F_0 F_1 F_2$, then $F \circ \ell \neq 0$.*

Proof. The F_i are pairwise coprime. Indeed, a factor dividing two summands also divides F by hypothesis, and hence divides the third, contradicting the common gcd being one. Put $R = \text{rad}(F_0 F_1 F_2)$. Since $R \mid F \neq 0$ and $\deg F = d$, we have $\deg R \leq d$.

Write $\bar{F}_i = F_i \circ \ell$. The line conditions give $\deg \bar{F}_i = d$, $\gcd(\bar{F}_i, \bar{F}_j) = 1$ (for $i \neq j$), and $\deg \text{rad}(\bar{F}_0 \bar{F}_1 \bar{F}_2) = \deg R$. Their derivatives are not all zero. This is immediate in characteristic zero. In characteristic p , at least one factor of one F_i has multiplicity not divisible by p , since $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ is a perfect field and that F_i is not a p -th power. The line preserves this multiplicity at each root of the corresponding restricted factor, so the corresponding $\bar{F}_i$ is not a p -th power and has nonzero derivative. If $\bar{F}_0 + \bar{F}_1 + \bar{F}_2 = 0$, Theorem 2.4 gives $d < \deg R$, contradicting $\deg R \leq d$. $\square$

We can now remove the squarefreeness hypothesis entirely.

Theorem 2.6 (Homogeneous circuits without squarefreeness). *For every field $\mathbb{F}$ and every $n, d, \delta \geq 1$, there is an explicit hitting set $H \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ of size $(nd)^{O_\delta(1)}$ for $\mathcal{C}_{n,d,\delta}^{\text{hom}}$. No restriction is imposed on the multiplicities of the factors.*

Proof. Explicit hitting sets for circuits with at most two summands are known, e.g., using Lemma 2.3, so we focus on circuits with three summands. First construct a hitting set H_0 for nonzero sums $F = F_0 + F_1 + F_2$ whose summands have a common positive degree at most d , have common gcd one, and, in characteristic p , are not all p -th powers. If an irreducible factor of a summand does not divide F , apply Lemma 2.2. Otherwise, apply Lemma 2.5 together with Lemma 2.3, using $s = 3d$, and evaluate at $d + 1$ distinct parameter values on each line. Taking the union of these evaluations with H_{fac} gives H_0 of size $(nd)^{O_\delta(1)}$.

Now consider an arbitrary nonzero sum $F = F_0 + F_1 + F_2$ in $\mathcal{C}_{n,d,\delta}^{\text{hom}}$. Let $G = \gcd(F_0, F_1, F_2)$ and $Q_i = F_i/G$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. In characteristic p , if the Q_i are nonconstant, let q be the largest power of p dividing all irreducible-factor multiplicities in all three Q_i . Otherwise, including in characteristic zero, set $q = 1$. Since $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ is a perfect field, we may write $Q_i = P_i^q$ over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$, and hence

$$F = G(P_0 + P_1 + P_2)^q.$$

The P_i have common gcd one and a common degree at most d , and their irreducible factors have degree at most δ . If the common degree of the P_i is positive, then in positive characteristic the P_i are not all p -th powers by the maximality of q . Thus H_0 hits $P_0 + P_1 + P_2$; the nonzero constant case is immediate.

The polynomial G is a product of at most d irreducible factors of degree at most δ , counted with multiplicity. The construction of Klivans–Spielman [KS01], used in the proof of Lemma 2.3, therefore gives an explicit set H_1 of size $(nd)^{O_\delta(1)}$ that hits every such G .

A standard technique then yields a hitting set for $F = G(P_0 + P_1 + P_2)^q$: take $d + 1$ distinct points on every line joining a point of H_0 to a point of H_1 . The resulting set has size $(nd)^{O_\delta(1)}$. $\square$

The homogeneity assumption can also be removed as in [GW25]. We present a proof here for completeness.

Corollary 2.7 (Arbitrary $\Sigma^{[3]}\Pi\Sigma\Pi^{[\delta]}$ circuits). *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be any field. The class of polynomials*

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_i \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} f_{i,j}, \quad k \leq 3,$$

where $\deg f_{i,j} \leq \delta$ and every product gate has degree at most d , has an explicit hitting set of size $(nd)^{O_\delta(1)}$ in $\bar{\mathbb{F}}^n$. In particular, for constant δ , this gives deterministic polynomial-time black-box PIT over arbitrary fields, without homogeneity or squarefreeness assumptions.

Proof. Homogenize all summands to the same degree $D \leq d$ with a new variable x_0 . Each homogenized summand is a product of homogenized bottom factors and possibly repeated copies of x_0 , so the bottom degree bound remains δ . The homogenized sum $\tilde{F}$ is nonzero if and only if F is nonzero. Apply Theorem 2.6 in $n + 1$ variables.

To dehomogenize the hitting set H , fix a set $T \subseteq \mathbb{F}^\times$ of size $d + 1$ and use

$$H^* = \{(a_1/t, \dots, a_n/t) : (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in H, t \in T\}.$$

If $\tilde{F}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$, the polynomial $\tilde{F}(t, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is nonzero and has degree at most d . It is therefore nonzero at some $t \in T$, and $\tilde{F}(t, a_1, \dots, a_n) = t^D F(a_1/t, \dots, a_n/t)$. Thus H^* is a hitting set, with only a factor of $d + 1$ increase in size. $\square$

References

- [GOS25] Abhibhav Garg, Rafael Oliveira, and Akash Kumar Sengupta. Rank bounds and PIT for depth-4 circuits with top fan-in 3 and constant bottom fan-in via a non-linear Edelman–Kane theorem. In *2025 IEEE 66th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pages 2143–2169. IEEE, 2025.
- [GW25] Zeyu Guo and Siki Wang. Deterministic depth-4 PIT and normalization, 2025. [arXiv:2504.15143](https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.15143).
- [Jeo14] Sangtae Jeong. Two generalized abc theorems for $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. *Journal of Number Theory*, 139:80–90, 2014.
- [KK24] Kiran S. Kedlaya and Swastik Kopparty. On the degree of polynomials computing square roots mod p . In Rahul Santhanam, editor, *39th Computational Complexity Conference (CCC 2024)*, volume 300 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 25:1–25:14, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2024. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.
- [KS01] Adam R. Klivans and Daniel A. Spielman. Randomness efficient identity testing of multivariate polynomials. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 216–223. ACM, 2001.
- [Mas84] R. C. Mason. Equations over function fields. In Hendrik Jager, editor, *Number Theory Noordwijkerhout 1983*, volume 1068 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 149–157. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1984.
- [ST26] Amir Shpilka and Yann Tal. Polynomial identity testing and reconstruction for depth-4 powering circuits of high degree, 2026. [arXiv:2602.20832](https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.20832).
- [Sto81] W. W. Stothers. Polynomial identities and Hauptmoduln. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 32(3):349–370, 1981.